

Respondent	Site	Designation	Officers' initial thoughts	Comment	Action
Abbey ward:	Nelson trading estate - there is a green buffer to the north between it and the residential area to the north (circa The Path) - should be protected.	None presently	Propose policy amendment to strengthen requirement for vegetation buffers between SILs and residents	Visited site. Good buffer between businesses and residents. Wall preventing public access - private land. Ask arboriculturalist to review trees (no TPOs at present)	Rose on trees, policy amendments to apply to all industrial / semi-industrial areas.
Abbey ward:	Masterplanning: large estate masterplans must include green space, play space, healthcare and community space within the scheme		This is in policy but could be much clearer	Strengthen policy to make this clear	Amend policy to make this clear
Abbey ward	Discussion re the use of business viability and pub protection - does the council assess the viability of the business (not just the development proposal).		Yes to development viability and questioning assumptions made on business viability, but unsure how far we go on this.	Check with DC	Not for DPD but will explore with DC
Abbey ward:	Discussion re schools and schools expansion which involve open space - opposed. Need for school expansion strategy			See CSF (also reflects Wimbledon Society comments)	See CSF
Cannon Hill ward	Check Hillside Close, Cherry Close, Buckleigh Ave and Beaford Close - wide verges, enhance character	None presently	Reviewed case law and planning appeals. Open space designation will not necessarily prevent vehicle crossovers - however character issues will (see dismissed Grand Drive appeal on basis of Character Study. Also in neighbouring boroughs. Review	See Cannon Hill marked up map - this comment refines the map	Update Design policy with detailed Borough Character Study maps for this and other wards - must be flexible to be updated as new streets created (e.g. Rowan, Brenley) where verges enhance character
Cannon Hill ward:	Check land around The Oaks (block of apartments) - is it open space		Planning permission 11/P1021 to redevelop site for sheltered housing and had protection of trees and open areas. There is a substantial loss of existing open space on the site as a result. Only retention of oak trees was required. Likely to be associated with development therefore private open space. Check with borough character study	Public accessible but amenity space for sheltered housing garden therefore not open space	See Borough Character Study for delivery on this

Respondent	Site	Designation	Officers' initial thoughts	Comment	Action
Cannon Hill ward:	Is Morden Park an emergency aeroplane landing pathway?		Interesting!		No-one seems to know about this
Cannon Hill ward:	Want to continue resistance of driving across wide verges in Cannon Hill to create vehicle crossovers as undermines nature and character of area		Reviewed case law and planning appeals. Open space designation will not necessarily prevent vehicle crossovers - however character issues will (see dismissed Grand Drive appeal on basis of Character Study. Also in neighbouring boroughs.	Raised by Cllr Shears in open space maps response October 2012	See above
Colliers Wood ward:	Site 08 Leyton Road - commercial neighbour interested in very small parcel			Pass info to Property Mgt	Done
Colliers Wood ward:	Thames Water - Fortescue Road: Derelict land for housing		1 TW have advised their interest in releasing land for residential use. Awaiting further information/detail from TW regarding this.	Get in touch with Thames Water	Done
Colliers Wood ward:	Denison Road land ownership			Check for councillors	No action needed for DPD - ownership contact
Colliers Wood ward:	Waterfall Road semi industrial - improvements			Reviewed planning history - flooding issues affecting development potential here	No action needed for DPD - flooding issues on this site (from Graveney culvert) have made development proposals fail in the past. Explore with Env Agency
Cricket Green:	Raleigh Gardens - remove from plan as local needs for car park and ReDiscover Mitcham in development		Reviewed with ReDiscover Mitcham team	Reviewed	Amend site plan to make clear that any delivery after ReDiscover Mitcham completed and on receipt of parking surveys for whole town centre at time of delivery.
Cricket Green:	Discussion re Sibthorp Road, Worsfold house - chapel orchard. Welcome use of Worsfold for a training centre		Merton Priory Homes / Grenfell using Worsfold House for training now council has vacated offices - thanks to Regen and Renewal section		Noted with thanks
Cricket Green:	Discussion of Fair Green proposals, changes to Mitcham town centre boundary, social activities and shopping at the heart of the town centre				
Cricket Green:	Importance of historic Cricket Green (cricketeers pub design)			Apr2013 - Mitcham Cricket Green Charter	No action for DPD (Apr 2013 - Conservation Area mgt plan and CG Charter for June 2013 councillors)
Dundonald ward:	Pleased to note logical increase in local open space protection (various sites across the ward)		Noted with thanks		Noted with thanks

Respondent	Site	Designation	Officers' initial thoughts	Comment	Action
Dundonald ward:	site 77 (26 Bushey Road) and site 41 (corner of Kingston Road) should be a school		Reviewed by Capita - not scope for school. Also appeal issues for Site 77 on basis of neighbours concerns re building height and local amenity will restrict develop		No action needed - Capita report has assessed
Dundonald ward:	Southey Bowls club. Views that the club were only in debt by £4k, views that the club were being slightly naive and were being led by the developer. Views that the intensity of what the developer might be proposing was too high (9 three bed houses, although this is news to us); also views that development might be possible on the site to provide a nursery or other development at lower intensity and to keep the bowling green		Agreed. Bowling green to be protected. In touch with club.	Need consistent info on this from club / their reps	Bowling green designated as open space. In contact with club and their reps to ascertain their approach more clearly.
Dundonald ward	Manuplastics = school				in touch with Manuplastics reps
Dundonald ward:	Dundonald: pleased that the Rose Garden and other exit are now designated open space. Wants to know why the gate by the electricity substation can't be reopened? Does the council own the land	Designated open space	See Greenspaces	Greenspaces - The gate should be open, there's no reason why it should be closed. The park's gates are opened and closed by members of the public (Friends group) - maybe it has been missed.	Pass info to Cllrs
Dundonald ward:	P3 (site 1) and P4 (site 28) and YMCA (site 62) - if Wimbledon leisure centre services were provided as part of any of these developments, this would free up the existing leisure centre site for a school. The leisure centre site is also close to Haydon's Road recreation ground for playspace.			Unlikely to be YMCA (planning application imminent; YMCA HQ, council doesn't own site) or P4 (size and other physical restrictions). Possible for P3 after 2019	Keep under consideration for P3
Dundonald ward:	Wimbledon Chase primary school- at present people are using the playground and tennis courts by climbing through the broken fence at weekends. It would make more sense if this was a shared publicly accessible area outside school hours		Check with CSF	CSF state - It would be up to the school governors. No reason from CSF why not; would be the school's decision. Might be additional cost to the school (more maintenance etc)	Pass info to Cllrs
Dundonald ward	listed first world war seaplane hanger in Dundonald Goods Yard		Gave councillors contact link		Done

Respondent	Site	Designation	Officers' initial thoughts	Comment	Action
Figges Marsh ward	Bridge over tracks near St Marks - needs improving, esp for access to St Marks from north of tracks. Net Rail told us they will be extending Eastfields platforms in 2013, lobbying opportunity to seek improvements?		Net Rail told us they will be extending Eastfields platforms in 2013, lobbying opportunity to seek improvements. Passed contact details on to Peter Walker	Checked with Chris Chowns	Done
Figges Marsh ward	Elm nursery car park - discussed usage (closed) and redevelopment scenarios to improve area.			Note that Dreams now closed	No change for DPD
Figges Marsh ward	Mitcham town centre - potential for cinema				
Hillside ward:	Pleased to note that Hillside ward now has designated open space (none in UDP 2003)		Noted with thanks	Ward now has designated open spaces	Noted with thanks
Hillside ward:	Previous suggestions that areas outside housing development on Edge Hill be designated - done		done	Done	Done
Hillside ward:	Discussion re community groups in area and level of activity in response to one-off events (received responses from Wimbledon East Hillside RA). Aside from town centre, most planning issues relating to householder applications as no development sites in this ward.			Very similar to southern half of the borough	
Hillside ward:	Discussion re town centre sites: Wimbledon library, P3, Wimbledon Community Centre. Keen not to change library façade or function		Noted. Library façade listed so no change. Currently no plans to change library function from this site, although not great for a library (not as much room for customers and v limited potential to increase or improve	Check with Libraries	Checked that site proposal makes this clear
Lavendar Fields Ward:	Update on the only two development sites in the ward: Gasworks and Western Road				None needed
Lavendar Fields Ward:	Need for new homes for local people - very limited scope for new homes to be built in the ward			Similar to southern half of borough and some western wards, also north east...	Noted
Lavendar Fields Ward	Rose Avenue: former building on site (community centre). Review site. Allotments access to be maintained		Site review needed	Cemetary expansion may be nearby. Route across site to allotments	Site being reviewed

Respondent	Site	Designation	Officers' initial thoughts	Comment	Action
Lavendar Fields Ward:	ensure that respondents really represent their local communities viewpoints and that all responses are considered			Reflects previous responses from residents and councillors	Noted
Longthornton Ward	Woodstock avenue – derelict building, do not protect	Check designation	Site visit to confirm no other buildings within designation (appears to be a nice park - Long Bolstead Rec Grnd)		No action needed for DPD - not protected
Longthornton Ward:	Corner of Rowan Road and Greyhound Road known as Mizen corner, desire for protection, community gardening	None presently	small (~240m2), fenced & gated, mature trees, not currently or proposed to be designated. Could be designated, doesn't stick as being being against open space criteria. Do we have local green space in draft DPD? Site is council owned.		small (~240m2), fenced & gated, mature trees, not currently or proposed to be designated. Could be designated, doesn't stick as being being against open space criteria. Do we have local green space in draft DPD? Site is council owned.
Longthornton Ward:	Centre of Birch Walk: residents want it protected and adopted by them	Probably highways land	Policy/criteria appears to preclude the protection of such spaces. Not identified as anything in Merton open space study 2011.	Further assessment needed	Further assessment - see also ownership
Longthornton Ward:	68 Rowan Road is a HMO	N/A			Pass to HMO officer (No action needed - for DPD)
Lower Morden ward:	Bow Lane - unadopted road although council maintains it with tarmac and lights. Retain as cycle route.				Done - designated for improvements(part of park
Merton Park ward:	Would like to know more about Morden town centre proposals inc who owns Sainsburys				FM Morden team to provide update (No action needed for DPD)
Merton Park ward:	Would like explanation to Merton Park residents on viability of supermarkets in petrol stations		Allow small metro-style shops to attempt to make petrol stations more viable and less prone to closure		Has this been done? Check
Merton Abbey Ward	Propose designation of amenity space within High Path (see maps)		Reviewed sites. Intimate amenity spaces for residents; too small for development within existing estate layout. Does not meet open space criteria. See policy amendment on masterplan		Propose policy amendment on masterplanning issues to clarify existing policy on the need for residential amenity land within developments. Not proposed to designate as open space.
Pollards Hill ward	Open space M090 - antisocial behaviour				Under review
Pollards Hill ward:	Open space around Community centre - reposition boundary line				Under review
Pollards Hill ward:	Neighbourhood parade Sherwood Park - additional protection recommended			Relates to removal of Tamworth Lane neighbourhood parade just up the road	Site visit done
Pollards Hill ward:	Neighbourhood parade Tamworth Lane - could remove as only one shop left			Relates to new designation for Sherwood Park neighbourhood parade	Nena

Respondent	Site	Designation	Officers' initial thoughts	Comment	Action
Pollards Hill ward:	Open space S032 Harris Academy: redraw boundary line				Under review
Pollards Hill ward:	Open space M023 - Sherwood park pavillion		It is shown as designated for 2013, appears correct as ancillary building.		Under review
Ravensbury ward:	Noted very limited potential for development in the ward: only one development site (similar to other wards in south of borough, e.g., St Helier, Cannon Hill)		Noted	Yes, all southern part of the borough very similar (Lower Morden, Cannon Hill, St Helier, Ravensbury, Pollards Hill - little change	Noted
Ravensbury ward:	Health centre (Ravensbury) has been drafted in error - health centre now on site	Part of Wandle Valley Regional park - not open space as health centre	Make change (also note middleton road new homes error, St Helier)	Draughting error	Done
Ravensbury ward:	Discussed Wyverne Youth centre				
St Helier Ward,	128 Green Lane – is it a listed building?	Yes it is locally listed	Yes		Done - passed info to councillor (no action for DPD)
St Helier Ward,	Change St Ann's school to Perseid on topo layer in MapInfo	N/A	Comes with the GIS Ordnance Survey basemap -need to ask GIS manager if it is possible to amend this		Done
St Helier Ward,	Hatfield Mead - MPH developing 22 garages to 8 homes	N/A			For info (no action needed for DPD)
Trinity ward,	Noted very limited potential for development in the ward outside of Wimbledon town centre				N/A
Trinity ward	Noted additional open space designation - smaller sites in ward (including Haydon's Road rec, now open space designated			Haydon's road not previously designated on map (although in Schedule 2 in UDP)	N/A
Trinity ward	Views that the policy restriction on the conversion of small houses is having a positive effect in the South Park Gardens area - less redevelopment, more stability in the local population				N/A
Trinity ward,	Discussion on benefits of energy efficiency in business saving money				N/A
West Barnes	Site 48 - Japanese knotweed along back of site near Bodnant Gardens		Pass info to all landowners (although they probably know)	How to record this	How to record this in DPD (if at all)? If knotweed eradicated next year, then it will be part of the plan for much longer - impact on neighbouring homes?

Respondent	Site	Designation	Officers' initial thoughts	Comment	Action
West Barnes	247 Bushey Road. Tesco owns it. Contact provided by M-J Jeanes. Tidy up, eyesore. Contact Tesco to find out intentions		Relates also to access to Tesco site to north - see transport planning	Tara has contact from M-J Jeanes	Contacting Tesco to ascertain their ambitions for the site
West Barnes	Open space at end of Rookwood Ave: owned by Kingston Council, managed by Merton. Keep it tidy	Currently green corridor	Officers sought SINC review - not SINC level at present. Also see Wimbledon Society response re proposal for open space		site reviewed by ecologist for SINC - not currently making the grade. Now proposed as open space (as well
West Barnes	who owns Raynes Park Vale FC?				Check ownership (no action for DPD)
West Barnes	Open space P006 - has planning permission for a house.		Adjust plans to reflect reality		Done
West Barnes	CG001 - community site (David Freeman contact)				Done
West Barnes:	Is Raynes Park post office closing?		Think so		(no action needed for DPD)
West Barnes	Garages off c120-130 West Barnes Lane: Pyl Brook runs underneath				Record this?
West Barnes:	Suggestion to improve the view into the industrial estates: landscaping is important		See also the Path by Staples		Propose policy and Borough Character Study combination to deliver this
West Barnes	Bushey Road estate - Blossom Hill school				Noted
West Barnes	247 Burlington Road: Tesco's owned. Opposite restaurants but eyesore, left to run down, detracting from local businesses. Explore redevelopment to make area more attractive				Contacting Tesco to ascertain their ambitions for the site
West Barnes:	West Barnes. Library. Would a lift be possible to access station? Possible for the community toilet scheme to come to Motspur Park				Check (no action for DPD)
West Barnes:	West Barnes Library: supports improvement. Valuable community resource for groups meeting (good for social interaction, physical and mental health). Could there be a grant to provide alternative meeting facilities while the library is closed during construction?		Pass info to Libraries		None needed for DPD
West Barnes	Open space P014 - see David Freeman			Done	Done
West Barnes	Small open space by West Barnes level crossing - review		Highways land. Very small sliver. Not possible to develop through the planning system	Reviewed	Open space designation can't deliver against desire to prevent highways works taking place

Respondent	Site	Designation	Officers' initial thoughts	Comment	Action
West Barnes:	Open space W007 (Rookwood Avenue) owned by Kingston Council, managed by Merton. Allotments? Covenant?		Site has been suggested as having potential for nature conservation by community groups. Reviewed by ecologist - not SINCE material but should be part of green corridor for movement of species.	Reviewed	Plan amended to add to green corridor
Wimbledon Park ward	Plough Lane development: no facilities; lots of single parents, lots more children than thought; local nursery wants to use vacant commercial units as nursery; Linda provided contact for commercial agent (GVA);	N/A	Andrew to use GVA contact to find out intentions for commercial element, esp with regard to nursery potential.		Actioning
Also see Cllr Jones' email sent 05 December 2012 18:04					
Cllr Judge (Wandle Valley Regional Park Board)	Can inclusion of Savacentre in Wandle Valley Regional Park also be justified on the basis of the heritage value of the remains of Merton Priory being under the tarmac of the car park and probably some of the building.	Wandle Valley Regional Park	Probably because the ancient monument designation stretches over a large part of the site	Site surrounds currently proposed for Wandle Valley Regional Park	See WVRP Director
Cllr Neil Mills (Wandle Valley Regional Park board)	All of the area surrounding Merton Priory site must be included and the Merton Priory Trust would be, in my view, best placed to comment on these boundaries.	Wandle Valley Regional Park	Agree.	See WVPR Director to ensure implementation	See WVRP Director and Merton Priory Trust
Cllr Neil Mills (Wandle Valley Regional Park board)	Mitcham Cricket Green should be included in Wandle Valley Regional Park boundary for its heritage value Local historical society best placed to comment on boundaries	Wandle Valley Regional Park	Agree	See WVPR Director to ensure implementation	See WVRP director
Cllr Neil Mills (Wandle Valley Regional Park board)	retain the school sites (buildings and play areas) within the boundary, as well as other residential buildings proposed for exclusions, due to their proximity to the park. If these sites were ever redeveloped, their location within the park boundary would therefore be an important consideration.	Wandle Valley Regional Park	Disagree as these uses are not ancillary to the primary leisure and nature conservation uses of the park - check with neighbouring boroughs	Sites situated within the park so policy relating to greenways and public realm layout already applies if redevelopment of these sites were proposed	Check neighbouring boroughs and also to see WVRP director

Respondent	Site	Designation	Officers' initial thoughts	Comment	Action
Cllr Stanford	The wide grass verge on Upper Green East alongside Langdale Parade, is part of the Fair Green MO33 open space. Could you please confirm, as this piece of land also has several very mature trees, and needs protecting from creeping road and pavement encroachment.	Open Space	Can't deliver aim of proposal via the Sites and Policies Plan.	Open space designations in the Sites and Policies Plan or Proposals Map do not have any bearing on whether or not road or pavement works take place. Highways works (such as road and pavement works) take place outside the planning system - without the need for planning permission. Designating these tiny sites as open space will still allow road and pavement works to take place. Open space designations have no impact the protection of trees. Designating small parcels of highways land does not conform to the NPPF definition of open space and is likely to confuse residents, who may not be aware that the Proposals Map will have no bearing on pavements, road widening or changes to tree	See character study for area to try and deliver proposal. No change proposed for the Plan
Cllr Brierly	M046 Raynes Park Sports Ground. Raynes Park 1 SO23 and P020 are both now owned by Old Wimbledonians and I am copying this message to Dr Stef Milewzyk who is the Manager of the whole site. There is a tiny triangle of land where it meets with M046 which we are hoping will be transferred to Old Wimbledonians soon to tidy things up. There was an agreement made two or three years ago for this to happen but it got put on one side	Open Space	Dr Stef Milewzyk subsequently emailed a map and it has become clear that the subject portion will remain designated as open space. Open space designation has been confused with control/ownership.	Will remain open space	Done
Cllr Dean	(no changes)...residents are pleased that all open spaces in Dundonald seemed to be protected within the UDP and will continue to be protected from development ... As Councillor in Dundonald I fully support complete protection of all open spaces in the ward	Open Space	Noted with thanks	Noted with thanks	

Respondent	Site	Designation	Officers' initial thoughts	Comment	Action
Cllr Draper	<p>M015 Myrna Close Open Space: Following our conversation, I'd like to confirm that the site marked down as Open Space off Fortescue Road on COLLIERS WOOD map 2 is in fact a yard, an access road and an outdoor store for scaffolding, pallets etc. and, though run down, is apparently in use by its owners Thames Water. Some parts of the site are very overgrown, and the eastern end, which adjoins the widest part of the Myrna Close open space, is clearly unused and has a mixture of trees and bushes on it, although there are some discarded bits of ring main half-buried by undergrowth: if there was a clear separation between this and the used site, and if it were open to the public, I'd personally be delighted to add it to Colliers Wood's treasured existing green space.</p> <p>During our conversation you told me that an 'expert' from the GLA decided in 2003 that this was in fact open space, and that it would be difficult at this stage to do much about it. I do appreciate that the Myrna Close Nature Reserve is one of Britain's best breeding-grounds for slow-worms, and</p>	Open Space	This Open Space is listed in Schedule 2 of the UDP	Explore with Thames Water. Part of site within residential frontage fenced off, not maintained gravel, old pallets etc. Detracts from neighbouring residential properties	
Cllr Draper	New - Land south side of High Street Colliers Wood at rail bridge - add. The 'pocket park' next to the Graveney at the northern entrance to the Ward (by the High Street) has been tended more or less carefully by the Residents' Association and by Making Colliers Wood Happy for about 14 years. It's not generally accessible to the public (too steep) but it has charm as a green wooded space	Open Space	Visited site. Not generally publicly accessible. As gardening maintaining biodiversity, propose as extension to green corridor	Propose to extend green corridor designation into this site.	Proposed

Respondent	Site	Designation	Officers' initial thoughts	Comment	Action
Cllr Draper	New - Land at corner of High Street Colliers Wood & Byegrove Road - along the High Street, between Tesco's & Byegrove Road, we have a small 'village green' with concrete furniture provided about 12 years back by Groundwork. It's also been planted and tended by Making Colliers Wood Happy, although a contractor unfortunately cut down the 60-or-so saplings planted there by residents under the supervision of Dave Lofthouse. It's a small, nondescript area, but I think it deserves recording nevertheless	Open Space	Visited site. Trees and benches. Used for relaxation? Area has v disjointed character - petrol station to south, Donald Hope Building opposite, Wandle Park v nearby, terraces to north, 3 and 4 storey apartments behind,	Continue reviewing this with Greenspaces	
Cllr Williams	M084 Edge Hill Court on Edge Hill - this is an area of land in front of Edge Hill Court, fronting onto Edge Hill and between the 'In' and 'Out' of the access road Whereas it could be considered to be 'garden' it is not but we question if it is right to restrict the freeholders potential to develop their own land by placing an even greater restriction on it and designating it as 'Open Space	Open Space	Considering this	To do	To do
Cllr Simpson	M084 Edge Hill Court on Edge Hill	Open Space		supports Cllr Williams' comments	

Also see mark-up from Cllr Debbie Shearer received 7 January 2013 but note that this map amended by meeting with D Shears Feb 2013

Also see email from Cllr Nelles sent Wed 12/12/2012 12:01

Also see mark-up from Cllrs Nelles and NeilMills received 6/12/2012

Also see mark-up from Cllr Gilli Lewis-Lavender received 16/01/2013

<b>Respondent</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Site</b>	<b>Designation</b>	<b>Comment</b>	<b>Officers' initial thoughts</b>
Cllr Brenda Fraser, Longt	07-Feb-13	Woodstock avenue – derelict building,		do not protect	
Cllr Brenda Fraser, Longt	07-Feb-13	Corner of Rowan Road and Greyhound Road known as Mizen corner,			
Cllr Brenda Fraser, Longt	07-Feb-13	Centre of Birch Walk: residents want it protected and adopted by the			
Cllr Brenda Fraser, Longt	07-Feb-13	68 Rowan Road is a HMO			
St Helier Ward, Cllrs Mar	07-Feb-13	128 Green Lane – is it a listed building?			
St Helier Ward, Cllrs Martin, Pearce and		Change St Ann's school to Perseid on topo layer			
St Helier Ward, Cllrs Martin, Pearce and		Hatfield Mead - MPH developing 22 garages to 8 homes			
Wimbledon Park ward, C	07-Feb-13	Plough Lane development: no facilities; lots of single parents, lots mo			
Cannon Hill ward: cllrs D Shears and L Lohendron					

r, desire for protectio  
ner

more children than thought; local nursery wants to use vacant commercial units as nursery; Linda provide

ed contact for commercial agent (GVA); long leases with difficult terms sought for commercial, hence nc

) interes

<b>Respondent</b>	<b>Site</b>	<b>Designation</b>	<b>Comment</b>
<b>Also see Cllr Jones' email sent 05 December 2012 18:04</b>			
Cllr Judge	25: Savacentre	Wandle Valley Regional Park	<i>Can inclusion of Savacentre in Wandle Valley Regional Park also be justified on the basis of the heritage value of the remains of Merton Priory being under the tarmac of the car park and probably some of the building.</i>
Cllr Neil Mills	18: Merton Priory Chapter House	Wandle Valley Regional Park	<i>All of the area surrounding Merton Priory site must be included and the Merton Priory Trust would be, in my view, best placed to comment on these boundaries.</i>
Cllr Neil Mills	Mitcham Cricket Green	Wandle Valley Regional Park	<i>Mitcham Cricket Green should be included in Wandle Valley Regional Park boundary for its heritage value Local historical society best placed to comment on boundaries</i>
Cllr Neil Mills	All school sites and residential	Wandle Valley Regional Park	<i>My preference would be to retain the school sites (buildings and play areas) within the boundary, as well as other residential buildings proposed for exclusions, due to their proximity to the park. If these sites were ever redeveloped, their location within the park boundary would therefore be an important consideration.</i>

Cllr Stanford	M033 Upper Green (also known as Fair Green)	Open Space	<p><i>... the wide grass verge on Upper Green East alongside Langdale Parade, is part of the Fair Green M033 open space.</i></p> <p><i>Could you please confirm, as this piece of land also has several very mature trees, and needs protecting from creeping road and pavement encroachment.</i></p>
Cllr Brierly	M046 Raynes Park Sports Ground	Open Space	<p><i>Raynes Park 1 SO23 and P020 are both now owned by Old Wimbledonians and I am copying this message to Dr Stef Milewzyk who is the Manager of the whole site.</i></p> <p><i>There is a tiny triangle of land where it meets with M046 which we are hoping will be transferred to Old Wimbledonians soon to tidy things up. There was an agreement made two or three years ago for this to happen but it got put on one side.</i></p>
Cllr Dean	None	Open Space	<p><i>(no changes)...residents are pleased that all open spaces in Dundonald seemed to be protected within the UDP and will continue to be protected from development ... As Councillor in Dundonald I fully support complete protection of all open spaces in the ward</i></p>

Cllr Draper                      M015 Myrna Close Open      Open Space

Space

*Following our conversation, I'd like to confirm that the site marked down as Open Space off Fortescue Road on COLLIERS WOOD map 2 is in fact a yard, an access road and an outdoor store for scaffolding, pallets etc. and, though run down, is apparently in use by its owners Thames Water. Some parts of the site are very overgrown, and the eastern end, which adjoins the widest part of the Myrna Close open space, is clearly unused and has a mixture of trees and bushes on it, although there are some discarded bits of ring main half-buried by undergrowth: if there was a clear separation between this and the used site, and if it were open to the public, I'd personally be delighted to add it to Colliers Wood's treasured existing green space.*

Cllr Draper                      New - Land south side of      Open Space

High Street Colliers Wood  
at rail bridge

*During our conversation you told me that an 'expert' from the GLA decided in 2003 that this was in fact open space, and that it would be*

*Finally, I'd actually like to add some green open space to the ward. The 'pocket park' next to the Graveney at the northern entrance to the Ward (by the High Street) has been tended more or less carefully by the Residents' Association and by Making Colliers Wood Happy for about 14 years. It's not generally accessible to the public (too steep) but it has charm as a green wooded space.*

Cllr Draper	New - Land at corner of High Street Colliers Wood & Byegrove Road	Open Space	<i>Also, along the High Street, between Tesco's &amp; Byegrove Road, we have a small 'village green' with concrete furniture provided about 12 years back by Groundwork. It's also been planted and tended by Making Colliers Wood Happy, although a contractor unfortunately cut down the 60-or-so saplings planted there by residents under the supervision of Dave Lofthouse. It's a small, nondescript area, but I think it deserves recording nevertheless.</i>
Cllr Williams	M084 Edge Hill Court on Edge Hill	Open Space	<i>Essentially this is an area of land in front of Edge Hill Court, fronting onto Edge Hill and between the 'In' and 'Out' of the access road Whereas it could be considered to be 'garden' it is not but we question if it is right to restrict the freeholders potential to develop their own land by placing an even greater restriction on it and designating it as 'Open Space'</i>
Cllr Simpson	M084 Edge Hill Court on Edge Hill	Open Space	<i>supports Cllr Williams' comments</i>

Also see mark-up from Cllr Debbie Shearer received 7 January 2013 but note that this map amended by mee  
Also see mark-up from Cllrs Nelles and NeilMills received 6/12/2012

Also see mark-up from Cllr Gilli Lewis-Lavender received 16/01/2013

**Open Space Proposal Map Mitcham**

This still shows as Open Space the section of Ravensbury Park removed from MOL to allow the surg

### **Officers' initial thoughts**

Probably because the ancient monument designation stretches over a large part of the site

Agree. See WVRP Director to confirm

Agree but former Crickers pub to be excluded as very likely to be housing (once design matters are agreed)

Disagree as these uses are not ancillary to the primary leisure and nature conservation uses of the park - check with neighbouring boroughs

No, designations in the Sites and Policies Plan or Proposals Map do not have any bearing on whether or not road or pavement works take place. Highways works (such as road and pavement works) take place outside the planning system - without the need for planning permission. Designating these tiny sites as open space will still allow road and pavement works to take place. Open space designations have no impact the protection of trees. Designating small parcels of highways land does not conform to the NPPF definition of open space and is likely to confuse residents, who may not be aware tha

Dr Stef Milewzyk subsequently emailed a map and it has become clear that the subject portion will remain designated as open space. Open space designation has been confused with control/ownership.

Noted with thanks

This Open Space is listed in Schedule 2 of  
the UDP

Will reconsider

Will reconsider

Will reconsider



ery development. Half of this is now built

Respondent	Date	Site	Designation	Comment	Officers' initial thoughts
<b>Also see Cllr Jones' email sent 05 December 2012 18:04</b>					
Cllr Judge	2012-11-12	25: Savacentre	Wandle Valley Regional Park	<i>The only comment, I would make would be to ask if the inclusion of the Savacentre could also be justified on the basis of the heritage value of the remains of Merton Priory being under the tarmac of the car park and probably some of the building.</i>	Probably because the ancient monument designation stretches over a large part of the site
Cllr Neil Mills	2012-11-23	18: Merton Priory Chapter House	Wandle Valley Regional Park	<i>All of the area surrounding Merton Priory site must be included and the Merton Priory Trust would be, in my view, best placed to comment on these boundaries.</i>	Agree
Cllr Neil Mills	2012-11-23	Mitcham Cricket Green	Wandle Valley Regional Park	<i>I am aware of boundary issues around Mitcham Cricket Green and in principle also believe that this area is important for its heritage value and should be therefore included within the park boundary. I again would believe that the local historic society would be best placed to comment on these boundaries.</i>	Agree
Cllr Neil Mills	2012-11-23	All school sites and residential	Wandle Valley Regional Park	<i>My preference would be to retain the school sites (buildings and play areas) within the boundary, as well as other residential buildings proposed for exclusions, due to their proximity to the park. If these sites were ever redeveloped, their location within the park boundary would therefore be an important consideration.</i>	Disagree as these uses are not ancillary to the primary leisure and nature conservation uses of the park - check with neighbouring boroughs
Cllr Stanford	2012-11-26	M033 Upper Green (also known as Fair Green)	Open Space	<i>... the wide grass verge on Upper Green East alongside Langdale Parade, is part of the Fair Green M033 open space. Could you please confirm, as this piece of land also has several very mature trees, and needs protecting from creeping road and pavement encroachment.</i>	Disagree because the three small soft landscaped portions (of which the the largest is 546sqm) are each surround by adopted highway, this area would not conform to the NPPF definition and it is not the function of open space designations to protect trees (which in this instance are maintained by the Council).
Cllr Brierly	2012-11-19	M046 Raynes Park Sports Ground	Open Space	<i>Raynes Park 1 SO23 and P020 are both now owned by Old Wimbledonians and I am copying this message to Dr Stef Milewzyk who is the Manager of the whole site. There is a tiny triangle of land where it meets with M046 which we are hoping will be transferred to Old Wimbledonians soon to tidy things up. There was an agreement made two or three years ago for this to happen but it got put on one side.</i>	Dr Stef Milewzyk subsequently emailed a map and it has become clear that the subject portion will remain designated as open space. Open space designation has been confused with control/ownership.
Cllr Dean	2012-11-30	None	Open Space	<i>(no changes)...residents are pleased that all open spaces in Dundonald seemed to be protected within the UDP and will continue to be protected from development ... As Councillor in Dundonald I fully support complete protection of all open spaces in the ward</i>	Noted with thanks

Cllr Draper	2012-11-29	M015 Myrna Close Open Space	Open Space	<p><i>Following our conversation, I'd like to confirm that the site marked down as Open Space off Fortescue Road on COLLIERS WOOD map 2 is in fact a yard, an access road and an outdoor store for scaffolding, pallets etc. and, though run down, is apparently in use by its owners Thames Water. Some parts of the site are very overgrown, and the eastern end, which adjoins the widest part of the Myrna Close open space, is clearly unused and has a mixture of trees and bushes on it, although there are some discarded bits of ring main half-buried by undergrowth: if there was a clear separation between this and the used site, and if it were open to the public, I'd personally be delighted to add it to Colliers Wood's treasured existing green space.</i></p> <p><i>During our conversation you told me that an 'expert' from the GLA decided in 2003 that this was in fact open space, and that it would be difficult at this stage to do much about it. I do appreciate that the Myrna Close Nature Reserve is one of Britain's best breeding-grounds for slow-worms, and I'm rather proud of that; but the indu...</i></p> <p><i>I have to say I'm a bit surprised to find out about t</i></p>	Part of the site has been designated as open space since 2003 (in the UDP
Cllr Draper	2012-11-29	New - Land south side of High Street Colliers Wood at rail bridge	Open Space	<p><i>Finally, I'd actually like to add some green open space to the ward. The 'pocket park' next to the Graveney at the northern entrance to the Ward (by the High Street) has been tended more or less carefully by the Residents' Association and by Making Colliers Wood Happy for about 14 years. It's not generally accessible to the public (too steep) but it has charm as a green wooded space.</i></p>	Reviewed site. Not really accessible to the public. Now proposing its protection for biodiversity - as green corridor - as it is being gardened
Cllr Draper	2012-11-29	New - Land at corner of High Street Colliers Wood & Byegrove Road	Open Space	<p><i>Also, along the High Street, between Tesco's &amp; Byegrove Road, we have a small 'village green' with concrete furniture provided about 12 years back by Groundwork. It's also been planted and tended by Making Colliers Wood Happy, although a contractor unfortunately cut down the 60-or-so saplings planted there by residents under the supervision of Dave Lofthouse. It's a small, nondescript area, but I think it deserves recording nevertheless.</i></p>	Pretty large. Benches etc. Maintained as a park. Propose designation as open space
Cllr Williams	2012-12-02	M084 Edge Hill Court on Edge Hill	Open Space	<p><i>Essentially this is an area of land in front of Edge Hill Court, fronting onto Edge Hill and between the 'In' and 'Out' of the access road Whereas it could be considered to be 'garden' it is not but we question if it is right to restrict the freeholders potential to develop their own land by placing an even greater restriction on it and designating it as 'Open Space'</i></p>	Will reconsider

Part III page no	Raised by, or resulting from NPPF	Matter raised	Action	Done ?	n	Nena's comments
Criteria	Apostles R.A	(same as Fischer P) There needs to be a paragraph added to make it clear that as the definitions of 'open space' that are being used cover a 'broad <i>range of types of open space within London, whether in public or private ownership and whether public access is <b>unrestricted, limited or restricted</b></i> ', the basis on which <b>open space deficiency for general recreation</b> will continue to be assessed will be based solely on <b>fully</b> publicly accessible open space. reasons for this are that some open spaces such as Prince George's Playing Fields are privately owned and not available to the public for unrestricted general recreation. This particular site is wrongly shown on Figure 21.1 Merton's Open Spaces in the adopted LDF Core Strategy as being 'publicly accessible'. Whilst some members of the public have access to play football (for which they pay) and to car boot sales or fun fairs that the owners arrange (for which they also pay), this is not a site that the public can use for general recreation. There is, for example, no public access point along the northern edge of the site on Bushey Road through which the public can access the site, the owners quite specifically preve	Consider: Eben, Tim C	No	Consider whether open space deficiency for recreation based on full free public access. Tricky because even some council facilities are payable at some times and free at others (e.g tennis courts). Also public rights of way exist across Prince Georges. There are othre similar sites in the borough to this.	
	Fischer P	(same as Apostles R.A) There needs to be a paragraph added to make it clear that as the definitions of 'open space' that are being used cover a 'broad <i>range of types of open space within London, whether in public or private ownership and whether public access is <b>unrestricted, limited or restricted</b></i> ', the basis on which <b>open space deficiency for general recreation</b> will continue to be assessed will be based solely on <b>fully</b> publicly accessible open space. reasons for this are that some open spaces such as Prince George's Playing Fields are privately owned and not available to the public for unrestricted general recreation. This particular site is wrongly shown on Figure 21.1 Merton's Open Spaces in the adopted LDF Core Strategy as being 'publicly accessible'. Whilst some members of the public have access to play football (for which they pay) and to car boot sales or fun fairs that the owners arrange (for which they also pay), this is not a site that the public can use for general recreation. There is, for example, no public access point along the northern edge of the site on Bushey Road through which the public can access the site, the owners quite specifically preve	Consider: Eben, Tim C	No	Consider whether open space deficiency for recreation based on full free public access. Tricky because even some council facilities are payable at some times and free at others (e.g tennis courts). Also public rights of way exist across Prince Georges. There are othre similar sites in the borough to this.	
	Kings College School	The boundaries of the Open Space have (in the main) been significantly extended beyond the 2003 UDP areas to include land and buildings that we think do not warrant inclusion, and continues to include land and buildings that we think should be excluded ( <i>officers note - details of exclusions separately</i> ) King's College School is currently one of the best in the country being in the top 10 academically. It educates some 1300 pupils every year and employs around 270 staff. It also has a significant outreach programme with local schools which takes place every Friday. The twelve projects identified in the master plan are critical to its future and the open space proposals being put forward, if accepted, would put this development in jeopardy. For the reasons set out the school believes that the four areas described should not be designated open space.	Details on case by case basis below		Details on case by case basis below	

Ref	Raised by, or resulting from NPPF	Matter raised	Action	Done ?	Initial comment
	Apostles R.A	There needs to be a paragraph added to make it clear that as the definitions of 'open space' that are being used cover a 'broad range of types of open space within London, whether in public or private ownership and whether public access is unrestricted, limited or restricted', the basis on which open space deficiency for general recreation will continue to be assessed will be based solely on fully publicly accessible open space. reasons for this are that some open spaces such as Prince George's Playing Fields are privately owned and not available to the public for unrestricted general recreation. This particular site is wrongly shown on Figure 21.1 Merton's Open Spaces in the adopted LDF Core Strategy as being 'publicly accessible'. Whilst some members of the public have access to play football (for which they pay) and to car boot sales or fun fairs that the owners arrange (for which they also pay), this is not a site that the public can use for general recreation. There is, for example, no public access point along the northern edge of the site on Bushey Road through which the public can access the site, the owners quite	Consider		Consider whether open space deficiency for recreation based on full free public access. Tricky because even some council facilities are payable at some times and free at others (e.g tennis courts)
	Apostles R.A	The reasons for this are that some open spaces such as Prince George's Playing Fields are privately owned and not available to the public for unrestricted general recreation. This particular site is wrongly shown on Figure 21.1 Merton's Open Spaces in the adopted LDF Core Strategy as being 'publicly accessible'. Whilst some members of the public have access to play football (for which they pay) and to car boot sales or fun fairs that the owners arrange (for which they also pay), this is not a site that the public can use for general recreation. There is, for example, no public access point along the northern edge of the site on Bushey Road through which the public can access the site, the owners quite specifically preventing access.	See above point		See above point
C1 (also Glossary)	English Heritage	Policy DM C1 – It is noted that this policy has not been amended to reflect our previous comments. A way forward could be to include a reference to the historic context of sites in the Justification (e.g. para 3.5). Specific reference could be made for proposals to be developed accordance with design policies such as DM D3 Managing Heritage Assets. (For info, stage 2 comments = "English Heritage requests that Policy DM C2 allows more explicitly for the upgrading of historic schools to meet modern standards. Guidance on this matter is available on our HELM website referred to above in our comments on the SA").	Changes made - see comments	yes - 08 March 2013	DM.C1 para 3.5 added " <b>In relation to historic school buildings, the council will support the upgrading of these buildings to meet modern standards for learning environments in line with Merton's Core Planning Strategy CS.7 Design, policy DM.D3 Managing Heritage Assets and English Heritage guidance.</b> " and EH guidance added to Delivery and Monitoring section, also to Glossary
C2	English Heritage	Policy DM C2 – Reference could be made in the Justification to the opportunity of upgrading schools in historic buildings in line with English Heritage guidance Refurbishing Historic School Buildings ( <a href="http://www.helm.org.uk/guidance-library/refurbishing-historic-school-buildings/">http://www.helm.org.uk/guidance-library/refurbishing-historic-school-buildings/</a> ).	Changes made to C1 as this is where design reference for schools is included	yes - 08 March 2013	

O1	Environment Agency	The proposed policies do not contain any reference to seeking to enhance biodiversity or improving river habitats. Policy <i>DM 01: Open Space</i> seeks to “protect <i>and enhance open space and to improve access to open space</i> ’. In the justification for the policy (5.2) the value of urban green open spaces for nature is recognised however there is no reference to preserving and enhancing the biodiversity of these open spaces within the policy.	None proposed		Eight separate points made under biodiversity and nature conservation in Core Strategy CS13 "Open space, nature conservation, leisure and culture" (section (g)).
O1		The River Wandle and the Beverley Brook are important features of the natural environment of Merton, however there is no policy seeking to protect and enhance these rivers. The Thames River Basin Management Plan, which includes the River Wandle and Beverley Brook, requires the restoration and enhancement of water bodies to prevent deterioration and promote recovery of water bodies. A policy requiring development adjacent to rivers to restore the rivers, or enhance them to a more natural state, wherever possible, would provide clear, tangible and significant environmental gains in terms of character of the area and nature conservation, as well as contributing to the objectives of the Water Framework Directive. We recommend that the policy be amend to included reference to the enhancement of biodiversity. Please see Lewisham Borough Council’s policy for rivers below as an example. <i>Lewisham Core Strategy Policy 11 River and waterways network 1. The Council will work closely with the Environment Agency, English Heritage and a range of community organisations to ensure the River Thames, Deptford Creek and the Ravensbourne River Network are preserved an</i>	Possibly add " <i>In line with Merton’s Core Planning Strategy CS13 (g) and the London Plan, development adjacent to rivers and the waterway network should contribute to their special character by improving the urban design quality and natural ability of the rivers and waterways to function, the vitality of the river frontages, and improving access to the foreshore and</i>		The Lewisham example is from a Core Strategy, not a lower order DPD. There is reference in CS13 (g) <i>improve public access to and enhance our waterways, including the river wandle and its banks, for leisure and recreational use while protecting its biodiversity value</i> "Justification states (P.21.12) <i>The biodiversity value of the river wandle, beverley brook and ply brook will be protected and we will work wit developers to encourage new linkages in landscape and visual terms into the river corridor where development opportunities arise. The council recognises the waterways as anatural asset and will follow the advice of the EA’s Thames Rivre Basin Management Plan and the London Plan. the only ref that is missing from Merton's policies is "development adjacent ro rivers "... etc</i>
DM C2 Paragraph 3.14	Merton's Childrens Schools and Families Dept	Paragraph 3.14 states that "The projected growth in demand for school places is set out in paragraphs 19.11 - 19.15 of Merton’s Core Planning Strategy". While this is a simple reference and it is recognised demographics may change over the lifetime of the document it should be acknowledged somehow that Merton’s Core Planning Strategy document was agreed nearly 2 years ago and based on evidence that is approximately 3 years out of date. Since then GLA population forecasts, including those now coming out based on the 2011 census shows an even greater increase in demand. 3 years later, the substantial need for secondary school places, and the land required for this is also much clearer.		No	
DM C2 Paragraph 3.14	Merton's Childrens Schools and Families Dept	Given the substantial need for additional school places - over 4,000 age 11 to 16 secondary school places alone over the next 10 years - it appears that your development plan would not allow the council to deliver its statutory requirement to provide sufficient school places.		No	

DM C2 Paragraph 3.14	Merton's Childrens Schools and Families Dept	Only a small number of large sites are identified for development, which means that the council will need to also utilise existing school sites for development to provide sufficient school places. However, the draft policies maps document has the following inclusions for open space where there is a presumption not to develop "Large soft landscaped open spaces within school grounds (e.g. playing fields) and (hard) demarcated playing pitches (e.g. netball courts), including ancillary school buildings or hard standing (e.g. car parks) not in the immediate vicinity of the main school building". With this very tight definition of open space on school sites we will be left in the position where in the vast majority of cases where the council seeks to meet its statutory requirement to expand a school in keeping with DM C2 it will clash with the open space policy. While, of course, the council would wish to retain open space as much as possible on school sites for school pupils and the community to enjoy, there has to be some acknowledgement of the dilemma and therefore greater flexibility			
DM C2 Paragraph 3.14	Merton's Childrens Schools and Families Dept	. One means could be to acknowledge this by stating in the above school grounds definition "except where it is required to provide statutory school places to meet an identified shortfall in line with policy DM C2 and there is a plan to provide sufficient sport and play space for the school pupils and general community."		No	
DM C2 Policy aim	Officers	Include a reference to child care e.g. "...sufficient school and child care places of..."		no	example does not work because of the last phrase in the current aim so consider rewording whole
DM C2 Policy part e)	Officers	Consider inserting additional criteria referring to the loss only being acceptable where supported by local needs (in accordance with London Plan Policy 3.16 B)	Consider	no	
DM C2 Paragraph 3.24	officers	"..., provided that the loss of the children's day care facilities does not create or add to a shortfall in day care provision within that area." This could be viewed as additional criteria and might be more appropriate in the policy (as in C1 b)i). In the policy there is however no requirement for new facilities to demonstrate that it is addressing an identified local need, which is OK and in accordance with London Plan policy 3.16 B	consider	no	
DM C2 Paragraph 3.24	officers	Consider whether the reference to the Childcare Sufficiency Assessment (in the final sentence) is still appropriate	consider	no	
DM C2 after Paragraph 3.24	officers	Consider inserting a cross reference to the housing policy in justification text with regard to part f) of the policy	consider	no	Speak to Val Mowah
DM O1 b) i	officers	Clarify (in justification ?) that this is referring to the MOSS and not site-by-site basis		no	
DM C2 after Paragraph 5.15	officers	There are no references to geodiversity. Consider including the following wording: <i>There are no regional or local important geological sites in Merton, however there is a site on Putney Heath, approximately 160metres outside the borough's boundary that has the potential to be of local importance. Development proposals that would have an impact on the geological features of this site, should have regard to the policies in the London Plan (7.20).</i>	Consider	no	
	London Gypsy and Traveller Unit				

Sustainability appraisal for DM.O1 (paragraph 5.1)	LoveWimbledon	support mention of open space assisting with biodiversity, surface water run-off and flood risk but would welcome additional plans for green infrastructure with respect to this eg green roofs.	None proposed (already done)	yes	This section is appraising the open space policy; green roofs are supported in the design policies
5.14 page 78	LoveWimbledon	Page 78 – 5.14 – whilst undesignated open spaces are seen as not relevant to this policy, we would support more proactive use of these spaces in terms of sustainability eg community food growing as part of a plan for local food security (rather than these spaces being seen as insignificant and perhaps only useful for recreation and leisure).	None proposed (already done)	Yes	Agreed - council is facilitating community food growing activities on a variety of open spaces, including the council's own assets, Merton Priory Homes and other spaces
Page 76 DM. O1	Natural England				
Page 76 DM.O1	Natural England	Natural England broadly supports policy but wants to see reference to the creation of new open / green space where appropriate	None	Yes	Already referenced in DM.O1(d)
Page 76 DM.O1	Natural England	Natural England is pleased to see the references under paragraph 5.2, to the multiple roles Biodiversity and the natural environment can provide	None	yes	Noted with thanks
Page 76 DM.O1	Natural England	Paragraph 5.3 is welcomed in respect of the potential for Habitats Regulation Assessment and Appropriate Assessment, in respect of scheme and or policy documents that may impact upon Wimbledon Common.	None	yes	Noted with thanks
Page 80 DM.O2	Natural England	This policy is broadly supported and can be linked to the Council's aspirations to improve green infrastructure and provision off green links, chains and corridors. This also has the potential to link in with the All London Green Grid	None	yes	Noted with thanks
Page 119 Chapter 9 Transport	Natural England	Natural England is supportive of sustainable transport options and encourages the links between this Policy and those of provision of green chains/links/corridors (Policies DM 01 and DM 02), together with increasing access to open/green spaces and nature where possible and appropriate (paragraph 9.4	None	yes	Noted with thanks
Page 63 DM. C2	Merton Tree Wardens Group	Where schools are next to public open spaces which are used by the school as play areas or playing fields, particularly if the school has sole use during school hours, the school should pay for their use. When schools received local management status they became the equivalent of private companies and so should fund the use of public facilities. This would be a source of income for Greenspaces which has suffered significant budget cuts in recent times.	Yes - add to policy	no	Agree with principle of legal agt needed between school and leisure facility, where planning permission is granted on the basis of the school's need for access to that open space, MUGA etc. Under Education Act, schools can become under separate ownership/management from the council; under Localism Act, greenspace can become under separate management from council. Important to include legal agreement from start to accommodate this
Page 76 DM.O1	Merton Tree Wardens Group	SA/ SEA implications 5.1 Add. ....and can accommodate trees that alleviate flooding and mitigate climate change. Justification 5.2 Add. Ditto above	Consider	No	Ask sustainability appraiser

Page 80 DM.O2	Merton Tree Wardens Group	DM.O2 Policy b)Add iv. within a conservation area and has amenity value only to neighbouring residents (to avoid the wholesale clearance of gardens in conservation areas which is occurring increasingly frequently)	None	No	Point 2 (ii) already states "within a conservation area". The policy as currently drafted requires development not to damage or destroy any tree of amenity value in a conservation area. Amenity value includes value to neighbouring residents - it does not only mean from the public realm.
Page 81 para 5.27	Merton Tree Wardens Group	Clause 5.27 needs clarification. Does this mean that the arboricultural officers will deliver and monitor or someone unnamed?	None	No	Planning policy team usually writes the report, using data from the council's aboricultural officers and occasionally other sources. Consider amendment to clarify this.

Ref	Raised by, or resulting from NPPF	Matter raised	Action	Done ?
p.140	officers	delete heading 'B.4.1 Primary' because it is not relevant and the list includes secondary schools	delete	no
Appdenix H on p.163	officers	replace "Superseded" in the title with 'Replaced'	replace	no
p.173	officers	UDP policy L.14 was replaced by London Plan Policy 3.16, not 3.17	change	no
Glossary	officers	move 'Open Space' definition to after 'Open Land' and revise the definition to be in accordance with the NPPF and London Plan i.e. refer to 'value' and private land.	change	no
Appendix B MOL	Merton Tree '		Add	yes
Appendix C SINC	Merton Tree '	. B1 Metropolitan Open Land Add Ravensbury Park and C3/ C4 SINC	Add	no
Appendix D Historic Parks and Gardens	Merton Tree '	C3/ C4 SINC Add Ravensbury Park which has SINC desi; D2 Part 2: Historic Parks and Gardens Add Ravensbury I	No change	N/A

Comment

forand accuracy and consistency

Have to think about this more but the current definition is wrong.

Added text to table to clarify that Ravensbury is already part of Wandle Valley MOL and Prince George's is already part of Cannon Hill MOL  
Think it is already included as part of "Lower river Wandle" but must check whether SINC grade 1 or 2  
Neither park is on the English Heritage registered list of historic parks and gardens so can't be added.