APPENDIX

Consultation's Responder	Estate	Comment	Policy Area	Officer Response
JP Hutchins	High Path	I have just received the 230 page plan about the regeneration plan on High Path Estate (the third one) I wonder how much all this costs?	General	The Estates Local Plan has been prepared within the council's existing resources.
JP Hutchins	High Path	I did fill in a form for Priory Homes and said then that all the residents I speak to do not want to move especially my neighbours in Will Miles Court who are mostly elderly and do not want to be uprooted at their time of life as they have done a lot of decorating and got new carpets, flooring, curtains and furniture and are happy where they are.	General	Noted. Issues relating to maintence sit with Clarion Housing Group. It is outside the remit of the Estate Local Plan. Clarion Housing Group response "CHG place a strong focus on providing responsive, reliable services to residents and will continue investing in the communities. With regards to the repairs service CHG acknowledge that there have been problems in the past so they put an improvement plan in place that involved working with Councillors and Residents to identify areas where they needed to refocus efforts to deliver the best possible service for residents. The improvements implemented have resulted in a more consistent and better quality service with locally based delivery and dedicated surveyors for each neighbourhood."
JP Hutchins	High Path	The houses in Will Miles Court are only 30 years old and are big with separate kitchen and bathroom that suit the residents just fine. They also are well insulated and warm in the winter and most look after the gardens front and back which they will miss. I do hope that you can consider some of these points and think about the people who live here who are getting upset and worried about their future. They also are well insulated and warm in the winter and most look after the gardens front and back which they will miss. I do hope that you can consider some of these points and think about the people who live here who are getting upset and worried about their future.	General / Design	Layout of flats will be determined within the planning application and will be required to comply with the Statutory Development Plan.

Ward Gethin Arthur	High Path	Re: 20 Edgehill Road, Mitcham, Surrey, CR4 2HU Local Authority Search Number: 2016/11/00333 We act for a proposed purchaser of the above property and our Local Authority search has revealed a proposed route of a new road within 200 metres of the above property. We should be grateful if you could let us have further information regarding this including a Plan showing the proposed route and its impact on the property. WARD GETHIN ARCHER	General	N/A
Met Police	All three	Thank you for inviting me to comment on the Estates Local Plan update, due to internal technical difficulties I am unable to open any externally held documents that are greater than 5mb. Is it possible for you to kindly email the three estate documents in separate emails as I can receive up to 15mb. Sorry for any inconvenience.	General	N/A
B Sleight	Eastfields	Thank-you for the opportunity to comment on the plans.Re: p156 Part 03 R3: Movement & Access 3.237 Proposed alterations will increase the volume of vehicular traffic along Ravensbury Grove. Proposed alternations at the end of Ravensbury Grove will give the impression that Ravensbury Grove is a connector road. Proposals should consider introducing physical features at key points along Ravensbury Grove to better manage the speed and flow of traffic to improve road safety.	Transport	As the main access, traffic conditions and movement along Ravensbury Grove is expected to remain broadly the same. A best practice approach streetscape design and access management will prevent improper use of this street Traffic management measures at specific loations within the estate will be determined within the planning application and will be required to comply with the Statutory Development Plan.
B Sleight	Eastfields	Re: Part 03, p172 EP R8 Building heights e) Building heights in the vicinity of Ravensbury garages should take into account existing views to the tree-line from the existing buildings.	Design	Building Heights at specific loations within the estate will be determined within the planning application and will be required to comply with Statutory Development Plan.
B Sleight	Eastfields	Re: Part 03, p172 EP R8 Building heights, Justification 3.3.10 Reference to the existing buildings in vicinity of Ravensbury garages should made in relation to the views to the tree line visible from around the estate. I would be grateful if you can confirm receipt of this email. I would also be grateful if I can to be kept informed about the submission, the publication and the adoption. Best Regards,	Transport	Building Heights at specific loations within the estate will be determined within the planning application and will be required to comply with the Statutory Development Plan.

J Nkrumah-Deity	High Path	Please use High Path area to build a hospital, and an arena like O2 don't forget there should be a large Safeway Superstore there. This place need where a lot of people will be around always. It is not safe for flats and houses. This country in general is a very dangerous place because of the set up. Most places have no roads or streets. It is a close or crescent. No proper bright street lights. And good separate rooms in flats or houses to be very very spacious indeed.	Design	The principle land use will be residential in accordance with the existing site and surrounding area. Streets design and non-residential uses at the estate will be determined in accordance with Ithe Statutory Development Plan.
Met Police	All three	This is further to my previous comments regarding Merton's Estate Local Plan, please pass the following onto the inspector. By the inclusion of Secured by Design principles and standards within the regeneration of the estates the cumulative impact for all three estates would be positive in relation to crime. The design and layout of the estates should provide well-defined routes with spaces and entrances promoting convenient movement without compromising security so improve access and movement. The designs should be structured so that different uses do not cause conflict. All publicly accessible spaces should be overlooked to enhance surveillance. The developments should promote a sense of ownership, respect, territorial responsibility and community. If necessary physical protection can be included with well-designed security features. Increased activity in appropriate locations can create a reduced risk of crime and increase a sense of safety. The designs should also have future management and maintenance in mind to discourage crime in the present and the future.	Design	Noted. The "Urban Design Principles" (Part 02) of the ELP which apply to all three estates are all founded on the principles of Secure by Design, including active frontages, defensible space, permeable, legible and accessible layouts. These issues are woven throughout the Estates Local Plan.
Met Police	All three	In order to achieve a sustainable development the government has defined three fundamental dimensions: economic, social and environmental (National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF), page 2, paragraph 7). Crime has a direct impact on all three dimensions. NPPF section 7. Requiring good design, paragraph 58 requires local authorities to produce 'Local and neighbourhood plans' with a specific aim to 'create safe and accessible environments where crime and disorder, and the fear of crime, do not undermine quality of life or community cohesion'. This message was repeated in paragraph 69 in section 8 Promoting healthy communities with the addition of 'safe and accessible developments, contain clear and legible pedestrian routes, and high quality public space, which encourage the active and continual use of public areas'.	Design	Noted. The "Urban Design Principles" (Part 02)of the ELP which apply to all three estates are all founded on the principles of Secure by Design, including active frontages, defensible space, permeable, legible and accessible layouts. For example, paragraph 2.46 "active design "The design of new development and streets must promote active design. This approach incorporates local facilities that are easily accessible on foot or cycle, and create good quality well maintained and safe places with convenient and direct routes throught the development" These issues are also woven throughout the Estates Local Plan.

Met Police	All three	Policy 7.3 Designing Out Crime of the London Plan promotes a city of diverse, strong, secure and accessible neighbourhoods with greater security through design. "Boroughs and others should seek to create safe, secured and appropriately accessible environments where crime and disorder, and the fear of crime do not undermine quality of life or community cohesionDevelopment should reduce the opportunities for criminal behaviour and contribute to a sense of security without being overbearing or intimidatingMeasures to design out crime should be integral to development proposals and be considered early in the design process, taking into account the principles contained in Government guidance on 'Safer Places' and other guidance such as Secured By Design published by the Police". In the interest of creating safer, sustainable communities Secured by Design principles and practices should be incorporated within the Estates Local Plan for Eastfields, High Path and Ravensbury and the development carried out in accordance to those details. By working with the local Met Police Designing Out Crime Officers I am sure accreditation could be achieved	Design	Noted. The Estates Local Plan is in general conformity with the London Plan 2016. The London Plan is part of Merton's statutory development plan and any planning applications for the three estates wwill be subject to the policies within it, including those on designing out crime. For example, paragraph 2.46 "active design "The design of new development and streets must promote active design. This approach incorporates local facilities that are easily accessible on foot or cycle, and create good quality well maintained and safe places with convenient and direct routes throught the development". We would like to thank the Met Police for their contribution to date which has helped to improve the Estates Local Plan and they will continue to be a consultee on planning applications for the three estates. We are also aware that Clarion Housing Group has engaged with the Designing Out Crime officer at a very early stage.
P Modasia	Eastfields	In reference to your recent communication dated 16th January, please find attached a petition, signed by residents of Hammond Avenue in relation to our concerns regarding the draft proposed plans that Circle Housing are in the process of submitting. We did not receive a response from Merton regarding this, so would appreciate it if you could kindly follow up accordingly and similarly enhance further your plan guidance with reference to the proposed layout and building heights.	Design	The diagram for Policy EP.E8 Buiding heights illustrates that the land to the rear of Hammond Avenue should be a base height (a) according to policy Ep.E8 (a) which states "the majority of buildings acrss the estate must be of a height similar and harmonious to surrounding residential areas to contribute to achieving a consistency with the surrounding character" The policy continues with "building heights must be based on a comprehensive townscape appraisal and visual assessment" Planning applications will be expected to demonstrate that they will make a positive, not negative contribution to the existing townscape.

P Modasia	Eastfields	At present, it appears that not enough consideration has been taken regarding our concerns and similarly incorporating these concerns to ensure they are addressed in your plan guidance. As you can appreciate, Hammond Avenue consists of mostly one storey bungalows, so the new plans are deeply concerning for us, as they include the removal of the road (Clay Avenue) behind us and propose to build taller 4/5 storey buildings closer to our homes, which will leave us with no privacy whatsoever. (We are already forced to keep our rear curtains drawn with the current 3 storey buildings at the rear of our properties, so under these new proposals, it will worsen the situation for us all). A few of the residents also went to voice concerns at the evening sessions that Circle Housing held at the local school (St Marks Academy), but with no avail, as the architects/planning team were quite dismissive of our concerns and suggestions for amendments. This is very disheartening, as there is plenty of scope for them to amend the designs to avoid causing upset and imposing on anyone's privacy.	Design	Noted.
P Modasia	Eastfields	I believe it was approximately page 80 in the plan guidance that has specific reference to building heights being, ie buildings across the estate must be of a height similar and harmonious to surrounding residential areas to contribute to achieving consistency with the surrounding character" and "when viewed from outside the estate, taller buildings must not be seen to dominate the landscape". The current designs are not taking any of this into consideration, which is very worrying, as there is plenty of scope for the designs to be amended and similarly the plan guidance needs to be reviewed to ensure that this is being addressed, as at present the guidance relating to the height and layout appears to be very generic. A copy of the attached petition was also sent to: Royal Mail Tracking: KX 7802 6042 5GB Mr Chris Lee Environment and Regeneration Department London Borough of Merton Merton Civic Centre London Road Morden SM4 5DX Please kindly confirm receipt.	Design	Noted. It would inappropriate for the ELP to be overly prescriptive at this stage. Details relating to amenity will be picked up at the planning application stage.
Anonymous	Eastfields	Dear Sir/Madam I received your letter dated 8th December 2016. Thank you very much. I welcome your plan to rebuild and improve Eastfields, High Path and Ravensbury estates. It is appreceiateable by all Merton council householder. Thank you. Yours faithfully.	General	Noted with thanks.

C Falzon	Eastfields	Regarding Mitcham Eastfields estates plan. In my view I think the estate should stay the same but make improvements to whats already there, to make better, the front, rear and internal of the properties. this will save a lot of money, better then knocking down peoples homes. That is my view.	General	Noted.
R Moore	Eastfields	I just wanted to send an email stating my views on the new estate plan. I am completely for the regeneration of Eastfields and I think it would be great for the community.	General	Noted with thanks.
R Moore	Eastfields	I am however, dissapointed with the lack of communication about what is actually going to happen to the tenants. I have recieved numerous leaflets and paperwork showing me what the estate is going to look like, but nothing telling me when we are likely to be moving or what year everything is likely to happen. I currently have a front door that is close to falling off, which the council refuse to replace because they said that as they are knocking the estate down they dont see this as an urgent repair. This is frustrating because if I knew how long I was going to be living in my flat for, I would predict if it was worthwhile to spend almost £300 on a new front door as I wouldnt like to spend that kind of money and be told that we are moving within the next couple of years ! I would also like to know that if I would like to move away or out of the area, would I be given priority to move quicker than others? thanks for reading and answering my questions,	Clarion	Noted. Details of the tenant offer sit with Clarion Housing Group. It is outside the remit of the Estate Local Plan.
C Muller-Carpente	High Path	Please note that I am the owner of XXXXX Merton High Street, SW19, please could you forward me any information/correspondence relating to the redevelopment of High Path as the building I own is next door.	General	N/A
I Buckman	All three	Thank you for your letter of 16th January regarding the Merton's "Pre-submission Estates Local Plan". I have no particular comments or views to make.	General	Noted.
I Buckman	All three	However, I would be grateful if you could keep me informed about the submission to the Secretary of State, the publication of the independent planning inspector's report and when Merton Estates Local Plan is adopted.	General	Noted.
J&H Tinnelly	High Path	Thank you for your letter inviting us to view the 'Pre-Submission Estates Plan'. Our main concern, that we would like to see reflected before submission, is that the plan does not seem to reflect the most recent proposals we have seen and objected to from Circle Housing. This raises serious concerns that the council and the housing authority are not in synch, and that Circle Housing is not reflecting key elements and policies laid out in your plan. In general we like and support your plan, which clearly puts the people who live in the neighbourhood at the centre of its policies. However we feel that these policies are not reflected in the plans of Circle Housing.	Design	Noted with thanks. Any regeneration proposals, including those presented by Clarion Housing Group will be determined in accordance with the Estates Local Plan, the London Plan and other policies. Any proposals that are not in accordance with the plans set out above, require the applicant to justify their proposals and amend their proposals accordingly.

J&H Tinnelly	High Path	We raised objections to the most recent consultation which was the proposed purchase and regeneration of the Old Lampworks in Rodney Place (Planning Application 16/P3738) - an area which is almost entirely excluded from the plan you outline (exceptions are noted below). Attached are a copy of the concerns we raised in October, which in summary are right to light, disturbance/overcrowding, loss of privacy, the proposed houses do not fit the look & feel of Rodney Place and historical significance.	Design	A planning application for The Old Lampworks in Rodney Place (Planning Reference 16/P3738) has been submitted to the council and is under consideration. I (as at February 2017). The issues that you have raised are being considered as part of this assessment.
J&H Tinnelly	High Path	In relation to the 'Pre-Submission Estates Plan' we have the following comments: Building Height We respect and support your guidance on building height, in particular in respect to street width and density. e.g. That any taller buildings should be placed nearer the wide boulevard of Morden Road and in keeping with other developments on that road. We feel however that because Rodney Place is not part of the estates plan our views, right to light, building proximity & height in relation to our buildings are not being considered, and that Circle Housing are not adhering to the policies you have outlined. The Pre-Submission Estates Plan Policy EP H8 Building Heights states: (a) "The prevailing height across the estate must be lower than the heights along Morden Road and Merantun Way, but marginally higher than heights in the more sensitive areas of High Path, Abbey Road, Rodney Place" (g) "The close proximity of Rodney Place and Merantun Way create a need to respect existing low-rise development as well as retaining the most of the potential for taller buildings fronting Merantun Way. Building heights in this area must particularly respect, and be sensitive to, these constraints and opportunities".	Design	Thank you for your support. Policy EP.H8 Building Heights sets out that applicants must consider the sensitivity of Rodney Place. For example section (g) "the close propximity of Rodney Place and Merantun Way create a need to respect existing low rise development Building heights in this area must particularly respect and be sensitive to these constraints"
J&H Tinnelly	High Path	The proposed 3 storey townhouse opposite our house may be marginally higher but adds density in a very narrow estate, whilst a 7 storey apartment block directly contradicts your policy asking that the Rodney Place development be respected.	Design	Noted.
J&H Tinnelly	High Path	The illustration on pg. 98 (Site analysis) highlights a negative view from the centre of Rodney Place looking west to the existing blocks. We believe that a similar, if worse, view would exist looking south if the proposed apartment block were to be developed, as well as generally taller buildings in surrounding area than the existing majority 2-3 storey blocks (with the exception of the 12 storey tower blocks). Whilst you have considered the new buildings in the estate in relation to each other, you have not considered existing buildings who are also valid council members and part of this neighbourhood, and the impact on us.	Design	A planning application for The Old Lampworks in Rodney Place (Planning Reference 16/P3738) has been submitted to the council and is under consideration. I (as at February 2017). The issues that you have raised are being considered as part of this assessment.

J&H Tinnelly	High Path	Streets & Passways: Policy EP H2 Street Network 3.140 (pg 106) references Mews style streets for Rodney Place. A change to the street layout would open us to additional traffic, parking problems, strangers in the area (we've already been robbed over Christmas) and general security issues which we feel is unfair as a street which is not part of the local estate.	Design / Transport	The general aim is for Rodney Place to integrate well with any new adjacent streets. There are no proposals to change the character of the street or alter its cul-desac layout. The plan show a potential change to the access to Rodney Place, from Nelson Grove road (a main estate street) to a new north-south street (a minor street) that itself could have a mews style character. This is likely to reinforce the secluded character of Rodney Place, rather than encourage more people to use it. Some changes are suggested to reinforce this point. Replace paras. 3.140 and 3.141 with new para: "Mews street style development should be reserved for shorter streets - the existing Rodney Place is a good example. Whilst Rodney Place is outside the estate boundary, better linking it into the new street pattern should be considered in order to both protect its character and improve access from it to the surrounding streets." The key to the map on p107 regarding Rodney Place shoule be amended to read: "Rodney Place potential integration into new street pattern."
E Betancourt	All Three	Good afternoon. I would like to be informed about the submission to the Secretary of state.	N/A	N/A
J&H Tinnelly	High Path	Illustration on pg. 111 (H3 Movement & Access) highlights a cycle/pedestrian path/flow through my back garden without any further elaboration on how or why this is propose. Similarly the illustration on pg. 122 (H6 Environmental Protection) highlights a 'green chain' towards Wandle River crossing our front garden without any further elaboration on how or why this is proposed	Design/Transport	Both diagrams are indicative: the aim of the policy is to highlight the need to improve better links, however it is not intended to advised on the definitive route. We will add the word indicative" to the key for each diagram to make this clear.
J & H Tinnelly	High Path	We hope that you will listen to our concerns and consider them, as throughout this process we and our neighbours feel we've been ignored. In particular we urge that measurable controls are put in place to ensure that Circle Housing must adhere to the policies you've outlined.	Design	Noted with thanks.

SA How	High Path	Dear Future Merton Team and Secretary of State (Environment). I would be pleased to take this opportunity to put forward my comments, based on my unique experience of life here on High Path Estate, where I've resided since 1973. I would say that it is the variety and character of the buildings that give this area a quality which the residents, especially the younger folk benefit from in their individual approaches to everyday living. This is a precious gift and should be maintained, for future generations; therefore the best way forward is to demolish the three ugly high rise blocks, to build, as proposed on the area behind the Old Lamp Works, and to house families in available houses elsewhere, in Merton, leaving new flats on the estate for use of single people, or older couples on their own. I suggest Lovell House is left alone, as this suits families.	Design	Noted with thanks.
SA How	High Path	As for the older flats, they were built to last, and will continue to provide solid weatherproof homes for at least another ¼ century, so why demolish.	Design	Noted. Clarion Housing Group have undertaken research, which supports the case that Decent Homes can be more sustainably achieved via regeneration which will help create new well designed high quality neighbourhoods aimed at fundermentally improving the quality of lives of existing and future genenerations living in the area.
SA How	High Path	The playground and football pitch are excellent and they are, and I would say you might easily re-surface the public paths and roads through the estate, leaving precious trees alone.	Environment	Maintenance of public paths and roads is outside the remit of the Estates Local Plan.
SA How	High Path	Sheds and garages could be removed!	Design	Noted.
SA How	High Path	Across the road, there are blocks which could be improved on but basically are sound, because they offer privacy and more sheltered outlooks than the proposed new flats. I would be surprised to hear of many people wishing to leave – especially Merton Place which looks eastward, offering a historic perspective rather than the plans which Circle housing heave put on the table, which are quite frankly boring, dully and soul-less, and biased toward ethnicity.	Design	Noted.
SA How	High Path	The block I live in, Eleanor House, needs some attention. Eg the stair way and damp proofing of walls to exterior but basically, again the homes are solid and sound enough to give at least 25 years more habitation. Minor improvements to surrounding areas could be undertaken and more trees put in to give shade and interesting outlook from our windows.	Design	Noted. The council has resolved to support the regeneration of Eastfields, High Path and Ravensbury to improve all three estates comprehensively and provide decent new homes set in a well designed neighbourhood, which incorporates street trees and open space

			Т	
SA How	High Path	Isome Dutch housing estates, using new technologies, eg split level housing or spirals. Also why use	Environment / Design	Noted. The general response at public consultation on the plan has shown a strong preference for the use of traditional building materials such as brick which have proven to be a robust material and complement the surrounding area. New materials and technologies are also supoported where approprioate. For example policy EP.H6 (iv) sets out that developers must demonstrate how their new buildings will be more energy efficient than the existing buildings.
SA How	High Path	Finally the name High Path is not relevant to the 21st Centenary. I suggest Hopemore Estate instead.	General	Noted.
L Kilroy	Eastfields	I agree with the through road proposal between Tamworth Lane and Woodstock Way mainly to allow the diversion of or provision of a new bus route to serve the estate. But there could be a problem of traffic using the road as a 'shortcut route' through the estate. Probably a restriction maybe required for non-estate traffic. In any case a junction improvement with Tamworth Lane would be required.	Transport	This connection would improve connectivity to adjoining neighbourhoods, facilitate improved public transport and generally eases traffic movement throughout the area, especially given the rail safety and congestion problems prevalent around the nearby Mitcham Eastfields level crossing. Proposals will need to demonstrate how proposals will impact the road network and might include measures to smooth or restrict access.
L Kilroy	Eastfields	In view of the increased number of residents planned consideration should be given for provision of a GP surgery on the estate.	Other	Noted, this remit sits with the Public Health team.
L Kilroy	Eastfields	Concern also for the increased amount of road traffic that will follow the redevelopment which will impact on the congestion that already occurs at the nearby railway level crossing. Thank you for the information that is available please keep me informed of further developments. Kind Regards,	Transport	Traffic management can permit access for those who need access and deter those that don't while still providing access for bus routes.
T Latimer	High Path	I am a local resident in Bathurst Avenue and my house backs on to High Path. The estate is a huge eyesore and a hideous blot on the landscape. All around are lovely victorian terraced houses. The new proposed 'cheap' blocks are just replacing one eye-sore with another. The victorian style terraced houses work. They have stood the test of time. They will not need redeveloping in 30 years. The answer is staring us in the face - I think we should learn from history and flatten the High Path Estate and rebuild it in the style of victorian terraced houses. It's what people actually want to live in.	Design	Noted. Building at the scale of terraced houses would seriously reduce the number of homes on the estate, risking leaving existing residents without somewhere to live and not contributing to London's overwhelming need for new homes. The vision for the High Path Estate is that of the New London Vernacular which is based on some of the characteristics inherent to Vicorian neighbourhoods such as pitched roofs, use of brick, traditional streets, mansion blocks, at a higher density.

C Phillips	High Path	Thanks for a copy of the above 'Plan'.I thought it was very Geometric in design.No curves or bends.	Design	Noted.
ι τιιιιιμο	ingii ratii	Right angles and like it!! And you must face in the correct direction!! Very Eco. Eutopia then????	CSIGII	Noted. Issues relating to maintence sit with Clarion
				Housing Group. It is outside the remit of the Estate Local Plan.
C Phillips	High Path	What I find a bit mystifying about the whole 'Plan', is the sudden change in mind-set, re. estate maintenance. Tasks which should be carried out to a high standard at present, eg. street cleaning, street lighting, replacement of broken or missing fencing, out door painting of bike shed door, of course there aren't any in 'The Plan', so that's one problem solved, highway maintenance etc. All these tasks are going to be of the highest standard. If it is known standards are not satisfactory at the moment, why are residents having to wait. Surely insulting treatment to residents.	Clarion	Clarion Housing Group response "CHG place a strong focus on providing responsive, reliable services to residents and will continue investing in the communities. With regards to the repairs service CHG acknowledge that there have been problems in the past so they put an improvement plan in place that involved working with Councillors and Residents to identify areas where they needed to refocus efforts to deliver the best possible service for residents. The improvements implemented have resulted in a more consistent and better quality service with locally based delivery and dedicated surveyors for each neighbourhood."
C Phillips	High Path	A couple of points. You mention a couple of times how presently, some people complain of feeling 'unsafe' when walking through the estate (High Path). It's the lack of right angled junctions and controlled traffic, but, might it also be the fact; * there are parts on this estate, where there have been no street lights (five in one area alone) * roads not swept for years, think Hayword Close and Nelson Grove Road, where the leaves are so crunched into the Tarmac, on wet days, it's downright dangerous under foot.	General	Noted.
C Phillips	High Path	What I find a bit mystifying about the whole 'Plan', is the sudden change in mind-set, re. estate maintenance. Tasks which should be carried out to a high standard at present, eg. street cleaning, street lighting, replacement of broken or missing fencing, out door painting of bike shed door, of course there aren't any in 'The Plan', so that's one problem solved, highway maintenance etc. All these tasks are going to be of the highest standard. If it is known standards are not satisfactory at the moment, why are residents having to wait. Surely insulting treatment to residents.		Noted. The maintenance of the Estate sits with Clarion. It is outside the remit of the Estates Local Plan.
C Phillips	High Path	One last thing. A few years ago, large sums of money were spent renovating Nelson Gardens to celebrate his, I think, 200th anniversary. All sorts of people attended, including the media. It wasn't long before the place became a wilderness and a popular for alcoholics to chill out in.	General	Noted.

C Phillips	High Path	You forgot to mention the 1,000 pupil school going to be 'slotted in' in a narrow strip of land on High Path!!!!	Other	Noted. The Estates Local Plan provides guidance for the regeneration of the three housing estates. The proposed school relates to a site outside the Estates Local Plan boundary. Such proposals for a school will be required to comply with the Statutory Development Plan.
A Mundy and KBoniface	Eastfields	This is a submission to the Merton's pre-submission Estates Local Plan. We broadly welcome the proposal and revised pre-submission with four reservations. To gain a greater level of support amongst the residents, the final iteration of this plan must address the following:	General	Noted.
A Mundy and KBoniface	Eastfields	1. Take the opportunity to improve the transport accessibility from in the Mitcham Eastfields area from 'poor' to 'good'. Perhaps with the addition of more frequent busses and/or a commuter shuttle to and from Balham station from Mitcham Eastfields by using large area of unused land in and around the station. Turing Mitcham Eastfields into a spoke and Balham into a commuter hub — essentially giving tube access to Mitcham residents without the need to extend the Northern Line. Examples of hub and spoke model can be found globally.	Transport	Public transport accessibility should improve by the time the regeneration of the estate is complete. However large scale infrastructure investment is unlikely due to its prohibitive cost. LBM will continue to liaise with TfL for bus, rail tram and tube improvements to the Public Transport infrastructure in Merton.
A Mundy and KBoniface	Eastfields	2. p. 57 and 81 does not consider residents' views from Grove Road in line of sight through Lonesome Primary school site. Preferably a limit of building to two story within this line of sight. This would also address the feeling of being overlooked by residents of Woodstock way whose property back on to the site. Such a statement would add further weight and clarifies paragraph 3.38 p.62.	Design	Policy Ep.E8 (a) states "the majority of buildings acrss the estate must be of a height similar and harmonious to surrounding residential areas to contribute to achieving a consistency with the surrounding character". The policy continues with "building heights must be based on a comprehensive townscape appraisal and visual assessment" Planning applications will be expected to demonstrate that they will make a positive, not negative contribution to the existing townscape. The view of the estate across Lonesome primary school is somewhat screened by trees and other vegetation. Woodstock Way backs on to the BMX track which is not part of the Eastfields Estate.
A Mundy and KBoniface	Eastfields	3. Further develop the ideas stated on pg.68 to allocate reasonable space to the development of a business community to support local employment. Restrict zoning to avoid the sites use to serve fast food. This would be in line with the councils stated ambitions of making Mitcham a safer and healthier place to live.	Other	The Estates Local Plan sets out the principles to guide regeneration proposals. Prescriptive detailing on the allocation of reasonable space for buisiness use will be determined at the planning application stage.

A Mundy and KBoniface	Eastfields	4. Clear guarantee that the construction of a road adjacent to the Acacia Centre would not put at risk the continued existence of the centre i.e. that it would be demolished or footprint reduced because of the roads construction.	Transport	Noted. This will be reviewed as part of the construction management plan highway.
A Mundy and KBoniface	Eastfields	Final point, the plan has misidentified the estate's location as Figges Marsh Ward (P.46). It is in fact located in Longthornton Ward. Please do keep us informed about the submission to the Secretary of State and the publication of the independent planning inspector's report and adoption of Merton's Estate Local Plan. Regards	General	The estate is on the boundary of Longthornton ward but is located in Figges Marsh ward.
A Shellard	Ravensbury	I've had a quick look through the Estate local plan regarding Ravensbury Estate and would like to highlight three points. Firstly, photos of the estate show just about the most drab and down-at-heal section of the estate - in Rutter Gardens. There are no photos showing the trees and lovely open green area in front of the community centre, the raised flower beds at the end of Ravensbury Grove (near the garages) or the other open/green spaces around Henglo Gardens and Ravensbury Court. If the more typical/prettier parts of the estate were shown it would be highlight the fact that regeneration isn't really needed at all and that the current residents are at risk of losing some beautiful spaces if the current plans go ahead.	Design	Noted. The Ravensbury part 3 "site analysis and planning policies" contain a number of photos including of the raised flower beds outside Ravensbury Grove and the open / green spaces around Hengelo Court.
A Shellard	Ravensbury	Secondly, the photos in the "The Vision" section, suggesting what the Ravensbury estate could look like (page 34), show mainly low-level buildings surrounded by plenty of green space. The actual plans however indicate that the pretty, low-level buildings currently in place (eg around the community centre) are to be bulldozed & replaced by high-rise blocks. No-one who lives on the estate wants high-rise blocks. We do not want to lose the current amount of open space or the low-level buildings which encourage a sense of community. The only valid purpose of these high-rise, wind-tunnel, community destroying blocks is to cram more people onto the estatesomething that no-one living on the estate wants.	Design	Policy. EP.R8 "building heights" states "while there is a need to increase density, to do so too much would undermine the landscape character of the area. Building heights must not compete with established mature trees which envelope the estate. Any strategy for building heights should make a positive contribution to the existing townscape, character and local distinctiveness of the area"
A Shellard	Ravensbury	Thirdly, I understand that parking will be reduced in the current plans, though this is not made clear in the stage 3 Local estates plan at all. Parking is already quite difficult around the estate. Reducing parking spaces and increasing residents will make the situation very stressful, and could, I imagine will lead to residents parking permits etc, something else that no-one wants. It's a shame that Merton Council and Circle Housing are so focused on meeting government housing quotas that the continued pleas of actual residents seem to be ignored, and something really rather lovely is likely to be destroyed. I'm writing this email in the vain hope that someone has enough integrity to look again at the plans and reign in the bull-dozers.	Transport	Parking provision must meet London Plan standards for a highly accessible location this will mean reduced levels of parking provision. Measures will be put in place to manage demand and how spaces are used.

V Odera	High Path	House building projects which are fair and are not robbing us to subsidise new housing at our expense in the name of general/common good, through systematic means. Merton does not own any housing stock therefore the subject heading is misleading. It is the housing association in the driving seat and not the Merton Council and the housing association staff are the main contributors of the above document. Our current freehold housing rights, housing facilities and housing standards as we are enjoying now must be fully protected and at least be matched if cannot be improved in the replacement houses being offered to us. There must not be any restrictive/punitive covenants and ransom/clawback clauses (if we were to sell our house to upsize to meet our family needs), such as a 5 year 100% property price difference repayment and 11 year staggered profit repayment clauses, when we are currently enjoying 100% freehold house ownership rights without any debts and are in our 60s. We must be given at least the same opportunity to maintain our current housing facilities and employment chances as we are enjoying now, if it cannot be improved in the regeneration plan. Unfortunately, it would appear that the secret pact between the housing association and Merton Council is to safeguard their financial position without safeguarding our freehold and leasehold house owners' housing, financial and economic rights and wellbeing. It would also appear that the local plan is prepared to meet Merton's and the housing association's objectives at the expense of the High Path freeholders and leaseholders and we must be protected from these almighty powers who are not acting fairly in performing their public duties to all their residents.	General	Noted with thanks.

VO	dera	High Path	and somewhat manipulative, for example, we have a separate living room and kitchen, but in the new property it is open plan, so if we want to divide it separately, then the new wall which we will build will make it an even smaller house than what we have now. Also, access to living room is via the kitchen door which is totally impractical and dangerous for family living as well detrimental to home/self-employment. Focal spaces such as a fire/chimney place, is not taken into account. If we were to remove the chimney, we can have enough space to fit an office desk. So that is a total loss of space. Also we have big windows and windowsills, which will be lost in the new designs. We will not have direct sunlight and natural air circulation because of the new designs which are extremely poor, for example, a bathroom is in the middle of two bedrooms, without windows. All new houses are at least 0.5-1metre narrower than our current house, therefore we will not be able to use bigger 4 seater sofas as we have now in our new houses. It will be cramped and an impractical living space. Although it may be same in total square metres, it is not in terms of its most usable design/space. Roof terrace space and the ground level space are not comparable spaces.Our living rooms are not of the same size as we have now. The layout/designs of the living room, kitchen and bathroom are impractical unusable designs – for example, all rooms are rather narrower and linear than our current squarely build living room and bedrooms. The wall between the kitchen and living room allows us to	Clarion	advises that CHG will need to consult with residents to ensure that they continue to have a say in how their neighbourhood will be developed. Residents needs and priorities have been the focus of our consultation and engagement with residents since 2013. The layout of replacement homes is not yet determined but houses will be capable of being built either as open plan or with separate kitchens and living space. Where the design allows bathrooms will have windows but the priority will be to provide natural light and ventilation to habitable rooms." Noted. Details of the tenant offer sit with Clarion Housing Group. It is outside the remit of the Estate Local Plan. Clarion Housing Group resopnse "As part of their planning application process, the local community will continue to be consulted, and the Estates Local Plan advises that CHG will need to consult with residents to
V Odera	High Path	have extra wall cupboards for storage, as well as ground level storage or to hang a flat screen TV. But new designs are open space, therefore totally useless for our big family use. The same applies to loft space and quality ground level garden space, as well as the location of the property which is deprived of direct sun light, natural air circulation and open sky views which we are enjoying at the present time. Rodney Place replacement houses' internal designs and sizes are extremely undesirable. Our needs and views are totally ignored, particularly for internal designs and sizes, which is extremely demoralising.	Clarion	ensure that they continue to have a say in how their neighbourhood will be developed. Residents needs and priorities have been the focus of our consultation and engagement with residents since 2013. The layout of replacement homes is not yet determined but houses will be capable of being built either as open plan or with separate kitchens and living space. Where the design allows bathrooms will have windows but the priority will be to provide natural light and ventilation to habitable rooms."	

V Odera	High Path	The so called independent surveys (e.g. Membership Engagement Services, Newman Francis, PRP architects, Savills, Future Merton) and public consultation questions were designed to achieve selective outcomes in favour of the housing associations and the Merton Councils secret housing regeneration plans and true feedback from affected residents is systematically excluded or misrepresented in all documents.	Clarion	Noted.
V Odera	High Path		Design	Noted with thanks. Policy EP.H8 Building Heights sets out that applicants must consider the sensitivity of Rodney Place. For example section (g) "the close propximity of Rodney Place and Merantun Way create a need to respect existing low rise development Building heights in this area must particularly respect and be sensitive tothese constraints"
V Odera	High Path	We were going to convert our huge loft space into a third bedroom similar to 68 Neison Grove Road, but cannot do it now due to the impending housing regeneration proposals. We have plenty of loft space, which we are using for multipurpose use, and we can convert it to another bedroom as per our needs. We are an overcrowded family and we look to have a bigger house in Rodney Place, but we will only be offered a 2 bed house as we have now, we are happy to upsize it to a 3 bedroom house to alleviate overcrowding by paying a reasonable cost to difference between 2 bed and a 3 bed house, but not at an open market value. We will be charged for a 3 bed house, which is in fact of the same size as our 2 bed house. The only difference is that the loft in our 2 bed replacement house, is converted into a bedroom, calling the same size house a 3 bed house, therefore we are financially penalised for the same sized house, which we are supposed to get as a replacement house. New housing is supposed to resolve overcrowding problems for all residents affected by the housing regeneration and not only the social tenants. We were social tenants in the past and just managed to improve our life chances after 40 years of struggle and hard work only to face punishment for being prudent when we are at the end of our lifecycles. There is nothing wrong with our current house. It is of sound build and has gas central heating, double glazing, loft and cavity insulation etc. and we are being forced to accept lower housing facilities to facilitate housing regeneration at our cost, basically to rob us to support the housing association to meet their decent home standards requirements at our cost. This should not be allowed because the housing association acquired Merton's housing stock to bring it up to what it considers decent home standards within five years, and it failed to do so. And we are being penalised for Merton Council's and the housing association's deficiencies.	Clarion	The issues raised concern Clarion Housing Group's detailed masterplans. These detailed matters will be addresed through the statutory planning application process.

V Odera	High Path	Pincott Road is a historical, traditional Victorian terrace type street with some traditional terrace houses similar to Victory Road across Merton High Street, but it is not mentioned in any documents, and there are no prominent pictures of houses in Pincott Road in the housing association's or Merton Council's documents. All of High Path can be designed to fit in with the streets and houses across Merton High Street and Abbey Road which is more in line with the properties in the area. Rather than turning High Path into a concrete jungle of tower blocks owned by a monopoly multinational charitable organisation, robbing Paul to pay Peter. We were told that the tower blocks will be replaced with traditional street type houses as there was plenty of space on High Path to do so with innovative designs but this was just a ploy to meet their devious objectives. The above option is not mentioned or offered in any of the residents surveys or local plans and documents. We the law abiding, prudent citizens and true financial stakeholders, (owners of freehold traditional	Design	Pincott Road is identified as a traditional street. Policy EP.H2 "street networks" sets out how new streets should be based on a traditional street alignment for example (a) Nelson Grove Road and Pincott Road provide an appropriate basis for the deisng of the new street network". The vision for the high Path Estate is that of the New London Vernacular which is based on some of the characteristics inherent to Vicorian neighbourhoods such as pitched roofs, use of brick, traditional streets, mansion blocks, at a higher density.
V Odera	High Path	houses and leasehold houses and flats are the main losers because we are not treated fairly in terms of replacement houses and terms and conditions related to new housing/housing offer etc. in comparison to social housing tenants). It is rather strange that the housing association and Merton Council has decided to exclude the proposed Harris Academy Secondary School development on High Path from all their documents. The impact of a new proposed secondary school on High Path, is not mentioned anywhere in the whole document, and will have a detrimental and devastating effect on the current and future residents, the entire High Path regeneration project, antisocial behaviour/law and order situation and an adverse effect on other residents of Merton passing through High Path. For example, extra traffic, footfall, problems at bus stops and underground stations, local supermarkets with more than 1000 children entering and leaving High Path at least 3 times a day and not to mention evening activities which is now a norm for all Secondary Comprehensive Schools. The entire project is skewed in favour of Circle Housing and Merton Council, but not in favour of independent residents of freehold houses and leaseholders of Merton, for example Pincott Road terraced houses. The High Path local plan must not be inferior than any other housing standards applicable to other houses in Merton. The so called acute need for more houses in London must not be used to subsidise Council budgets at the expense of current freehold and leasehold owners of High Path. London Mayor's minimum housing standards, density and parking restrictions must not be used to lower our current and better standards of housing and parking facilities.	Clarion / Other	Parking provision is required tomeet London Plan standards. Forr a highly accessible location this is likely to mean reduced levels of parking provision. Measures will be put in place to manage demand and how spaces are used.

V Odera	High Path	It is rather strange that the housing association and Merton Council has decided to exclude the proposed Harris Academy Secondary School development on High Path from all their documents. The impact of a new proposed secondary school on High Path, is not mentioned anywhere in the whole document, and will have a detrimental and devastating effect on the current and future residents, the entire High Path regeneration project, antisocial behaviour/law and order situation and an adverse effect on other residents of Merton passing through High Path. For example, extra traffic, footfall, problems at bus stops and underground stations, local supermarkets with more than 1000 children entering and leaving High Path at least 3 times a day and not to mention evening activities which is now a norm for all Secondary Comprehensive Schools.	Other	Noted. The Estates Local Plan provides guidance for the regeneration of the three housing estates. The proposed school relates to a site outside the Estates Local Plan boundary. Proposals for a school will be determined through a planning application and required to comply with the Statutory Development Plan.
V Odera	High Path	The entire project is skewed in favour of Circle Housing and Merton Council, but not in favour of independent residents of freehold houses and leaseholders of Merton, for example Pincott Road terraced houses. The High Path local plan must not be inferior than any other housing standards applicable to other houses in Merton. The so called acute need for more houses in London must not be used to subsidise Council budgets at the expense of current freehold and leasehold owners of High Path. London Mayor's minimum housing standards, density and parking restrictions must not be used to lower our current and better standards of housing and parking facilities. If our current housing standards and facilities cannot be improved by the regeneration project than please do not rob us of what we have got now. Thank you. V.Odera	Clarion	Clarion Housing Group response "A Case for Regeneration has been prepared and this demonstrates that the comprehensive regeneration of the Estate is the most sustainable option, and this has taking into account surveys of the existing building stock as well as consideration of issues such as environmental performance, housing need, urban design, sustianability and economic viability. CHGs residents offer allows for the repalcement of leasehold and freehold homes with homes of the same type designed to a modern standard and layout. Residents willhave the option to move to larger homes if they wish (subject to availablity) as long as they pay the difference in open market value."
A Carr	High Path	In regards to the High Path estate proposals. my main concerns are: increase in general road traffic - Abbey Road is a rat run in itself, often clogged up or with speeding cars. With a proposed secondary school and increasing accommodation numbers locally, are there any contingencies in place. I am a Croft road resident and cars will bomb down this street, Mill road, Dane Road, Meadow road when they come up against queues on Abbey Road or Merton High Street. Many residential streets are dead ended locally and I don't understand why some streets both off Merton High Street and Colliers Wood are prioritised for such a feature over others. can you explain this to me.	Transport	The Council has previously promoted measures to mitigate through movements. However, these were reject by residents during the statutory consultation process. Parking provision is required to meet London Plan standards for a highly accessible location. This will mean reduced levels of parking provision. Measures will be put in place to manage demand and how spaces are used.
A Carr	High Path	You mention you want to avoid rat- runs through the estate so maybe this whole area, south of Merton High Street, north of Momentum way, East of Morden road could be devoid of rat-run oppurtunities. so only residents would need to access the area and keep the Mementum way access for the schools, church, Eddie Catz and High Path, Station Road Business units.	Transport	Any major new development is expected to prepare a detailed transport assessment to evaluate potential impacts and provide recommendation as to how these might be managed/mitigated. This review typically includes consideration of other significant planned development nearby.

A Carr	High Path	I imagine making Abbey Road straight would increase traffic and speed - so we would need efficient speed control furniture put in place - what is in place now doesn't work assides from the narrow entrance at the South end of the road and the immediate left turn into High Path at the South end.	Transport	Any major new development is expected to prepare a detailed transport assessment to evaluate potential impacts and provide recommendation as to how these might be managed/mitigated. This review typically includes consideration of other significant planned development nearby.
A Carr	High Path	Building work, noise and dust pollution for all local residents and local schools. Can you give me an indication what disturbance I and my neighbours are likely to endure. I am led to believe the actual building work could go on for over a decade. What timeline do you have? it's a great concern for people living on the estate as well. Amanda.	Clarion	Clarion Housing Group response "The phasing of the development is still to be determined, but will be derived following consideration of the decant of existing residents and construction logistics. It is expected that the build period at High Path will extend to c.15 years. The potential for construction impacts will be carefully considered as part of planning applications that are submitted, and the Council will use this assessment process and where necessary planning conditions to ensure that the impact of construction is minimised."
F Acquah	All three	To whom this may concern, In regards to the Pre-Submission Estates Local Plan, I have had a look through and I'm fairly pleased with it. Kind regards,	General	Noted with thanks.
F Acquah	All three	Although my only concerns are the sizes of the rooms as I am assuming that they will be quite small as your aim is to build more houses?. Nevertheless the plan seems fine.	Design	Noted with thanks. All development proposals will need to addhere to relevant Development Plan requirements including those concerning room sizes e.g. as set out in the Mayor's London Plan (Table 3.3 Minimum space standards for new dwellings) and also the Mayor's Housing SPG.
S Baskaran	All Three	My name is S Baskaran I would like to inform you that we are happy with the estate plan.	General	Noted with thanks.
D Andrews and M Macara	High Path	Dear Sir/ Madam, We write with reference to pdf document '16-12-05-High-Path-boards_final-exhibition' we provide the following questions and considerations of the proposed redevelopment of the High Path Estate. We understand that the new population is 1600 people, please could you provide information on the current population and therefore the population increase?	Clarion	Clarion Housing Group response "There are 608 existing homes on the High Path Estate. The Socio-Economic Analysis confirms that according to GLA population estimates, there are 1,700 people in the immediate area of High Path."
D Andrews and M Macara	High Path	Please could the council advise how future additional nursery, primary and secondary school provision will be met? Both primary and secondary provisions in the area have been extended to cope with the current population.	Other	Noted, this reimit sits with the Education department.

D Andrews and M Macara	High Path	We would like assurances from the council that all public services would cope with an increase in population.	Other	Any new development proposals will be required to comply with requirements concerning supporting infrastructure as appropriate.
D Andrews and M Macara	High Path	Have TFL been consulted and confirmed additional tube services, especially during peak hours?	Transport	TfL has been consulted from the outset and raised no concerns regarding underground capacity.
D Andrews and M Macara	High Path	Please could the council advise on how future additional Doctors GP provision will be met?	Other	Noted. This remit sits with Public Health.
D Andrews and M Macara	High Path	We assume that Traffic Impact Studies have been carried out and the proposals have no negative impact on the current traffic?	Transport	Any significant new development is expected to prepare a detailed transport assessment to assess potential impacts, including recommendation as to how these might be managed. This typically includes consideration of other significant development nearby.
D Andrews and M Macara	High Path	What is the split between social housing, PRS and private ownership?	Clarion	There are 609 homes on High Path. 60% are owned by Clarion Housing Group, an affordable housing provider. The remaining approx 200 homes are privately owned and of these approximately 50% (100) are rented out by their landlords. The nunber of homes privately rented out can change at any time as it up to the landlord whether they they choose to occupy the home or rent it.
D Andrews and M Macara	High Path	Are all existing High Path residents, in both private ownership and social housing, offered the opportunity to move back to high path with the same housing offer as their existing properties or better and at no additional cost?	Clarion	Clarion Housing Group response "The Residents Offer has been a separate process and does not form part of the Estates Local Plan. All existing residents have been offered the opportunity to move back to High Path at no additional cost."

D Andrews and M Macara	High Path	What percentage of existing High Path residents are in favour of the proposed development?	Clarion	Clarion Housing Group response "The research was conducted as a face-to-face, telephone and online self completion survey consisting of one open and 15 close questions. The fieldwork was carried out between 1st July and 29th July 2015 and a 52.5% response rate was achieved with 634 responses collected across Eastfield High Path and Ravensbury" Key findings from the survey are summarised below: VIEWS ON REGENERATION "In each neighbourhood, respondents were asked the extent to which they agree that the proposed regeneration is for the best both for their household and for their neighbourhood overall. Overall, 50.5% of respondents agree that the regeneration is for the best for their household and just under a third (30%) disagree. A slightly higher proportion (58.4%) agree that the regeneration is for the best for their neighbourhood overall and 24.6% disagree. On High Path, 47% of respondents agree that the regeneration is for the best for their household and 31.1% disagree. Over half (56.3%) agree that it is for the best for High Path and 26.8% disagree."
D Andrews and M Macara	High Path	What assurances can be provided that large volumes of people living in such a dense community will be satisfied with their built and social environment?	Other	The council will consult with residents and stakeholde on all submitted development proposals. Any plannin decisions will take account of any responses received from that consultation.
D Andrews and M Macara	High Path	The CGIs should genuinely show the intended construction materials and architectural details. The use of lower quality, cheaper materials should not be permitted.	Design	Noted with thanks. As there are no CGI's in the Estate Local Plan, we undertand that these comments relate to Clarion Housing Group proposals and we will pass this is for the planning application stage we will pass to to Clarion Housing Group.
D Andrews and M Macara	High Path	Please could you advise on what the mechanism is for answering our questions? With regard to the drawings represented in the proposals we provide the following pros and cons: Pros • Coherent street layout responding to the existing street pattern; • The principal of taller development to the rear of the tube station is appropriate, but general concerns over the overall height shown in the development; • Seemingly good provision of public realm; • CGIs at end of doc titled 'Nelson's Yard', 'Mansion Blocks', 'St. John's Mews' suggest properties will be masonry constructed with good quality brick and precast elements with attention to detail. The Council and the developer need to ensure that the quality suggested in the CGI's is upheld and not diluted into cheaper options such as characterless polymer modified renders	Design/Transport	As these comment relate to the Clarion Housing Groumasterplan they will be passed to them for a response

D Andrews and M Macara	High Path	Cons: • The scheme is too dense, overdeveloped and out of scale with the context; • All properties surrounding the proposals are primarily 2 – 3 stories; • 6 stories onto the Merton High Street is twice the height of the existing properties on the north side of the road. The proposed new properties will tower above the existing on the opposite side of the road; • We suspect that in winter the large areas of the Merton High Street will be in shadow cast by the 6 story properties on the south side of the road; • The majority of outer London high streets are characterised by properties of a maximum height of 3 stories such as the existing context. 6 stories is not only out of character of the context it is out of character to the city.	Design	Noted. Policy EP.H8 Building Heights sets out that applicants must consider the sclae of building relates well to the building heights on the north side of Merton High Street.
D Andrews and M Macara	High Path	Existing schools are already strained and there is no provision for addition school places in the proposals	Other	The site for the propsed Academy is outside the boundary of the Estates Local Plan. Any application submitted to develop the site will be required to comply with statutory planning legislation.
D Andrews and M Macara	High Path	The proposal appears to indicate an increase in the housing density which would mean increased congestion in the area and an increase in the pollution. Merton High Street, and the surrounding areas are already very polluted, anything that will increase the congestion must be avoided. The housing density must be decreased	Design	National Policy and London wide policy requires land ot be used efficiently. The High Path area has a excellent access to public transport and other facilities and can support more homes. London has an overwhelming need for new homes.
B Thomas	High Path	• The plan appears to show a decrease in the amount of green areas, this too will mean that pollution will be increased. There needs to be focus on creating more green spaces, and more trees being planted and cared for.	Design	Policy EP.H5 "open space" states " development proposals must provide public open spaceto addressthe identified deficiency in access to local open spaces" demonstrates that currently High Path has a small dificency in open space. The Estates Local Plan clearly proposes that any future development will add more open space.
B Thomas	High Path	The plan appears to show a decrease in the amount of green areas, this too will mean that pollution will be increased. There needs to be focus on creating more green spaces, and more trees being planted and cared for. I would like to be kept informed about the submission to the Secretary of State, the publication of the independent planning inspector's report and when Merton's Estates Local Plan is adopted. Please can you acknowledge receipt of this email	Environment	The existing estate features a number of disconnected incidental areas of green space that have little amenity value. There is a lack of central open space or a network of open space areas for recreational use by residents. Policy EP E5 (a) of the Estates Plan states that there must be an equivalent or better re-provision of the area of designated open space with the boundary. Any planning application to re-develop the site will have to be assessed against this policy along with policies in Merton's Core Strategy (Policy CS13 (d)), Merton's Sites and Policies Plan (Policy DM 01) and the London Plan (Policy 7.18) which ensure developments maintain appriate levels of open space and address identified deficiencies.
B Thomas	High Path	• The tram stop need not be in South Wimbledon as the walk to either Morden Road or Merton Park tram stops are not more than a 6 minute walk from South Wimbledon station. The tram stop would be better placed in Colliers Wood	Transport	Proposals for a tram stop at South Wimbledon are still at a early feasibility stage and may therefore change. The Council will continue to work in partnership with TfL to ensure that transport infrastructure opportunities are incorporated where viable.

B Thomas	High Path	• Further to the pollution, it needs to be re-considered whether to have schools built in such a highly polluted area, any further increase in local schools will simply increase the number of children suffering from toxic air respiratory problems. Along with the impact on Teachers who will be required to work in the area and parents/residents. I would like to be kept informed about the submission to the Secretary of State, the publication of the independent planning inspector's report and when Merton's Estates Local Plan is adopted. Please can you acknowledge receipt of this email	Other	These considerations will be assessed as part of any submitted development proposals.
Environment Agency	All three	Thank you for consulting the Environment Agency on the above. Having been involved in the previous consultations, we are satisfied that most of our comments have been incorporated in the Merton's Estates Local Plan pre-submission publication. Overall the pre-submission publication appears to be founded on robust and credible evidence base. The Environment Agency notes that the findings of the Sustainability Appraisal have been reflected in the document and used to inform the policies.	General	Noted with thanks.
Environment Agency	All three	plan. We certainly welcome that the preferred options for the redevelopment of the estates are seeking to ensure that flood risk elsewhere is not increased, ways to reduced flood risk are being sought and any opportunities to make space for water are being considered. The proximity of the Ravensbury Estate to the river Wandle and Ravensbury Park mean that there are good opportunities to restore the river Wandle through the park or undertake enhancements to improve the condition of the river as part of major redevelopment adjacent to it. Currently, the river is impounded and subject to a number of problems such as midges which have been problematic on a yearly basis. Redevelopment of the area provides an opportunity to improve the park and consider river restoration and enhancement to create a better functioning river and river corridor. This is recognised on paragraph 3.282, which we welcome. Since we last made comment on the Estates Plan, the legislation for permitting works on watercourses has changed. Flood Defence Consents have been superseded by Flood Risk Activity Permits and now fall under the Environmental Permitting Regulations. Prior permission is still required for works in, over or under a main river or within 8m of the top of the riverbank. We have attached more detailed comments below for your consideration. Please do not hesitate to contact me should you wish to discuss this further. Yours faithfully,	Environment	Noted. Sections 3.281 and 3.282 of the Estates Local Plan encourages the opportunity to undertake in channel and river bank enhancements to the River Wandle. When considering applications for development these considerations will be taken into account and the Environment Agency would be consulted as this would be subject to a Environment Agency Flood Defence Consent. Any application to undertake works would have to comply with the most up to date legislation.
Environment Agency	All three	Eastfields This area is situated within Flood Zone 1. However, the need to ensure surface water runoff is suitability managed to allow for the runoff rates that are compliant with guidance and policy is noted, as are the references to the inclusion of SUDS. The suggestion of opening up a currently culverted watercourse on the eastern boundary of the site should be investigated further as part of the overall redevelopment. The opening up of a currently culverted watercourse could assist in managing flood risk at the site, as well as providing habitat and other biodiversity benefits.	Environment	Noted. Opportunities for river corrdior enhancements will be considered as part of the planning application process in accordance with the London PlanPolicy 7.24 Blue Ribbon Network and policy 7.19 Biodiversity and access to nature. The Sequential and Exception test will be undertaken for all sources of flooding in accordance with the NPPF.

Environment Age	ency All three	High Path This area is mainly situated within Flood Zone 1, though a part of the site is located within Flood Zone 2. The opportunity to increase the density of housing within a low fluvial flood risk area has been highlighted in the Plan. The recognition of needing to ensure surface water runoff is suitability managed to allow for the runoff rates that are compliant with guidance and policy is noted, as are the references to the inclusion of SUDS. The Bunces Ditch, a designated main river, runs along the edge of or just within the boundary of the overall site. We note that comment is made regarding further investigations into the origin and route of this watercourse, as the exact line of a culverted watercourse can be difficult to determine from the surface. If there was an opportunity to open up a culverted watercourse it should be looked into further, as this can help to manage flood risk as well as having a number of biodiversity benefits. If development could be moved away from the watercourse that would also be of benefit in terms of access for maintenance purposes.	Environment	Noted. Opportunities for river corrdior enhancements will be considered as part of the planning application process in accordance with the London PlanPolicy 7.24 Blue Ribbon Network and policy 7.19 Biodiversity and access to nature. The Sequential and Exception test will be undertaken for all sources of flooding in accordance with the NPPF.
Environment Agency	All three	Ravensbury The Ravensbury Estate is shown as being located within an area considered to be a high risk to fluvial flooding from the adjacent River Wandle. However the plan recognises that this needs to be effectively managed as part of the redevelopment of the estate. Ravensbury Estate is already developed for residential use and new development would offer the opportunity and potential for mitigation measures to be incorporated into the redevelopment. This would include the raising of the finished floor levels of dwellings to a minimum of 300mm above the 1 in 100 year flood level, taking into account climate change. There would also be the opportunity for flood resistant and resilience in the redevelopment, which is also welcomed. Reference is also made to a SUDS strategy as part of the redevelopment. However, due to the varying levels of flood risk across the Estate, there is a need to carefully consider the sequential and exception tests, as well as the requirement for a site specific flood risk assessments. Adequate provision and consideration needs to be given to the category of development proposed for each area on the Estate and its compliance with the NPPF and the Boroughs own Policy on flooding. The introduction of a greater number of residential dwellings in an area at risk to flooding should be carefully assessed to determine whether it can be considered as appropriate in that location. In addition, any redevelopment proposal should be able to clearly demonstrate that there will be no loss of floodplain storage capacity and ideally, further storage for flood waters should be created. It should also be noted that updated climate change guidance was released earlier this year, and therefore the most up to date information should be taken into account as part of any redevelopment plans. Any development should also take every opportunity to increase both the flood resistance and resilience to buildings and the surrounding environment.	Environment	Noted. Opportunities for river corrdior enhancements will be considered as part of the planning application process in accordance with the London PlanPolicy 7.24 Blue Ribbon Network and policy 7.19 Biodiversity and access to nature. The Sequential and Exception test will be undertaken for all sources of flooding in accordance with the NPPF.
Environment Agency	All three	We note that reference is made to the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) in relation to flood risk, and that it will be necessary to comply with the Sequential and Exception Tests as appropriate, and also the necessity of producing site specific Flood Risk Assessments to accompany detailed plans for the redevelopment of these areas. Charles Muriithi, MRTPI	Environment	Noted. Opportunities for river corrdior enhancements will be considered as part of the planning application process in accordance with the London PlanPolicy 7.24 Blue Ribbon Network and policy 7.19 Biodiversity and access to nature. The Sequential and Exception test will be undertaken for all sources of flooding in accordance with the NPPF.

	1	IDear Sir/Madam	ı	Ţ
Sport England	All Three	Dear Sir/Madam Thank you for consulting Sport England prior to the consultation on the preferred options version of the above document. Sport England is the Government agency responsible for delivering the Government's sporting objectives. Maximising the investment into sport and recreation through the land use planning system is one of our national and regional priorities. You will also be aware that Sport England is a statutory consultee on planning applications affecting playing fields. In response to the below email, Sport England would like to make the following comments: Eastfields Policy EP E4 Land Use, High Path Policy EP H4 Land Use and Ravensbury EP R4 Land Use Objection These policies should specifically mention indoor and outdoor sports facilities and be in line with Objective 3 of Sport England's Land Use Planning Policy Statement 'Planning for Sport Aims and Objectives', to ensure that new sports facilities are planned for and provided in a positive and integrated way and that opportunities for new facilities are identified to meet current and future demands for sporting participation. Eastfields Policy EP E5 Open Space, High Path Policy EP H5 Open Space and Ravensbury Policy EP R5 Open Space Objection	Environment	Noted. Development proposals are required to provide public open space to address any identified deficiency and access to Local Open Spaces in accordance with London Plan Policy 7.18 'Protecting Open Space and addressing Deficiency'.
Sport England	All Three	These policies should specifically mention outdoor sports facilities and playing pitches and be in line with Eastfields Policy EP E4 Land Use, High Path Policy EP H4 Land Use and Ravensbury EP R4 Land Use Objection These policies should specifically mention indoor and outdoor sports facilities and be in line with Objective 3 of Sport England's Land Use Planning Policy Statement 'Planning for Sport Aims and Objectives', to ensure that new sports facilities are planned for and provided in a positive and integrated way and that opportunities for new facilities are identified to meet current and future demands for sporting participation.		Noted. Development proposals are required to provide public open space to address any identified deficiency and access to Local Open Spaces in accordance with London Plan Policy 7.18 'Protecting Open Space and addressing Deficiency'.
Sport England	All Three	Eastfields Policy EP E5 Open Space, High Path Policy EP H5 Open Space and Ravensbury Policy EP R5 Open Space. Objection These policies should specifically mention outdoor sports facilities and playing pitches and be in line with Objective 3 of Sport England's Land Use Planning Policy Statement 'Planning for Sport Aims and Objectives', to ensure that new sports facilities are planned for and provided in a positive and integrated way and that opportunities for new facilities are identified to meet current and future demands for sporting participation.	Environment	Noted. Development proposals are required to provide public open space to address any identified deficiency and access to Local Open Spaces in accordance with London Plan Policy 7.18 'Protecting Open Space and addressing Deficiency'.

Sport England	All Three	Plan for its area. Local Plans should address the spatial implications of economic, social and environmental change. Local Plans should be based on an adequate, up-to-date and relevant evidence base. In addition, paragraph 73 of the NPPF requires that: "Planning policies should be based on robust and up-to-date assessments of the needs for open space, sports and recreation facilities and opportunities for new provision. The assessment should identify specific needs and quantitative deficits or surpluses of open space, sports and recreational facilities in the local area." Paragraph 175 of the NPPF states: "Where practical, Community Infrastructure Levy charges should be worked up and tested alongside the Local Plan." Sport England is not aware of a robust evidence base for playing pitches and indoor sports facilities for Merton. It is not clear how this lack of evidence base has been/will be taken into account to develop this document.	Environment	Noted. Development proposals are required to provide public open space to address any identified deficiency and access to Local Open Spaces in accordance with London Plan Policy 7.18 'Protecting Open Space and addressing Deficiency'.
Sport England	All Three	Sport England advocates that new developments should contribute to the sporting and recreational needs of the locality made necessary by their development.	Environment	Noted.
Sport England	All Three	Sport England would be happy to provide further advice on how local authorities can strategically plan for sports facilities. There are a number of tools and guidance documents available, which can be found on Sport England's website at:http://www.sportengland.org/facilities-planning/planning-for-sport/forward-planning/. In addition Sport England has a web based toolkit which aims to assist local authorities in delivering tailor-made approaches to strategic planning for sport. This can be found on Sport England's website at: http://www.sportengland.org/facilities-planning/planning-for-sport/previous guidance/. The toolkit focuses on built facilities for sport and recreation, setting out how planners can make the best use of sport-specific planning tools in determining local facility needs. Information regarding planning obligations for sport can be found on Sport England's website at: http://www.sportengland.org/facilities_planning/planning_tools_and_guidance/planning_contribution s.aspx		Noted.
Sport England	All Three	We hope these comments can be given full consideration. Please do not hesitate to contact me if you have any queries or would like to discuss the response.	General	Noted with thanks.
R Shea	High Path	I am writing to express my reasons why the regeneration plan should not go ahead. The main reason is that Merton Priory has not considered the impact of Merton Council Decision to build a 1000 place school on the estates (see enclosed article from Wimbledon Guardian). As the last meeting I attended they were not aware of the school being built.	Other	Noted. The Estates Local Plan provides guidance for the regeneration of the three housing estates. The proposed school relates to a site outside the Estates Local Plan boundary. Such proposals for a school will be required to comply with the Statutory Development Plan.
B Thomas	High Path	I would like to be kept informed about the submission to the Secretary of State, the publication of the independent planning inspector's report and when Merton's Estates Local Plan is adopted.	General	Noted.
R Shea	High Path	The available land is limited and pollution levels will affect the local residents.	Environment	Through the staturory planning application process proposed developments will be required to minimise air quality impacts.

				,
R Shea	High Path	The other reason is the fact that the housing stock on High Path is not sub-standard and it is questionable as to why they have to demolish all of the properties when a high percentage of residents are on a low income	Clarion	Clarion Housing Group response "A Case for Regeneration has been prepared and this demonstrates that the comprehensive regeneration of the Estate is most the sustainable option, and this has considered surveys of the existing building stock as well as consideration of issues such as environmental performance, housing need, urban design, sustianability and economic viability."
C Reeves	Eastfields	I am responding to the Pre-Submission Estates Local Plan for Eastfields. I do not feel there has been enough time to fully process and research the plan, having received it after the 16th January. Especially for those of us who work full time and have young/elderly families to support. Also, the Design workshops planned by Circle, supposedly to give us a fuller understanding of design, design principles and how these fit with local planning have not yet been completed; the last one is not due to be held until the 28th February.	General	Noted. The council has adhered to relevant planning requirements e.g. the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012, concerning the preparation of the Estates Local Plan, to ensure that sufficient time has been provided at each stage of the Plan's preparation to respond to consultation.
C Reeves	Eastfields	As a homeowner, I am also concerned about the mixed messages being received from Circle Housing and Merton Council. Whilst the plan, and covering letter, infers that the regeneration will go ahead, we were told by Merton representatives, at a meeting last year, that Eastfields was highly unlikely to be regenerated due to flooding, insufficient space for new builds (before houses could be demolished), and one road in, one road out making it impossible for lorries and building work to access the estate safely, and without major disruption to residents. How has this suddenly changed?		Merton council has been working with Clarion Housing Group and the Environment Agency to consider the flooding within the Eastfields. Through the statutory planning process any development which takes has minimal detrimental flooding impact.
C Reeves	Eastfields	The plan itself only appears to focus on the 'negatives' within the estate and, whilst I agree Eastfields does look slightly shabby (predominantly those dwellings owned by Housing Associations), I was concerned about Eastfields being seen as a 'Fortress'. Having lived here for over 30 years, the sense of community is strong, as witnessed by the attendance at meetings and the anger and dismay of being told Eastfields was being regenerated.	General	Noted.

C Reeves	Eastfields	More specifically: Health & wellbeing 2.12 Who was involved in the health impact assessment? Does this relate to health and wellbeing during the whole process, or just once regeneration is agreed and takes place? And whose health and wellbeing does it relate too? Obviously not those of use currently living here. At no point during the past four years has our health and wellbeing been discussed. The stress and upset of not knowing what will happen to our homes has been immense. This has been made worse by crass and insensitive comments by both Merton and Circle staff during the consultation, i.e. 'You're lucky you haven't got a mortgage. My husband left me and I've got to pay mine mortgage for another 20 years.' (but they aren't at risk of losing their home). 'You should feel lucky you are getting a new home which is better that where you live now, and will be worth more.' We do not feel lucky at all! We have worked hard to pay our mortgages and keep our properties in good order, as have many of the housing association tenants. We may now be forced to move out of our homes (Circle representatives did admit, in a public meeting, that compulsory purchase would be used if residents didn't agree to their offer), or move into temporary accommodation whilst Circle houses are being built! We will then have to live on a building site for up to 10 years whilst the regeneration is completed.	Other	The Health Impact Assessment (HIA) was carried out by the council in partnership wtih Public Health England and is a key piece of evidence that supported the preparation of the Estates Local Plan. The purpose of HIA is to promote sustainable development by integrating health (including mental health) and wellbeing considerations into the preparation of plans or strategies; by identifying the key health and wellbeing issues and the groups that are likely to be affected by the implementation of the Plan or the development options. The HIA will be used to assess each stage of the Plan making process and make recommendations to mitigate identified negative impacts, to enhance the proposals or to secure a positive impact.
C Reeves	Eastfields	Urban Design principles 2.4 'promote biodiversity through open space, street trees' There will be much less open space once regeneration takes place, with over twice the amount of dwellings/units are there are currently. One of the features that attracted us to Eastfields was the open space, which creates light within our homes. Under the new designs, houses will be much closer together and overlooking each other, making them darker inside. 2.8 Permeable, legible and accessible layouts Eastfields is accessible and easy to get around. Many local people from 'off the estate', are able to walk or cycle to Eastfields station, to local bus stops and to Mitcham Town Centre. There is nothing on the estate that restricts this.	Design	Noted. New housing development will be expected to meet relevant planning policy standards including standards concerning the provision of gardens, amenity space, private outdoor space and play space as appropriate and make a positive contribution to the wider network of open spaces.
C Reeves	Eastfields	Site analysis 3.23 & 3.27 'Access for vehicles is confusing as the estate is part access from Acacia Road and part from Woodstock Way.' 'This inefficient layout restricts accessibility for vehicles, pedestrians and cyclists.' The road closure was put in place to stop commercial and private vehicles, from off the estate, using the road as a rat run, causing danger to children, and air pollution. There were also young moped riders using it as a race track, with several accidents. Fastfields is accessible and easy to get around. Many local people from 'off the estate' are able to walk	Transport	Appropriate traffic management measures will stop rat running and permit access to those that need it.

C Reeves	Eastfields	3.30 'the smaller spaces leading off this are less successful, as they are enclosed by the back gardens of the surrounding houses.' This is precisely what has supported the community feel within the estate. Children have a safe space to meet and play, where parents can keep an eye on them. During summer months, people use the smaller spaces to socialise.		Noted. Development proposals will be expected to accord with relevant planning policies and requirements e.g. Core Strategy Policy CS14 (Design), Sites and Policies Plan Policy DM D2 (Design considerations in all new devellopments) and the Mayors Housing SPG. The council will expect development proposals to be of high quality and standard, meet minimum space standards, and provide functional internal and external spaces that are fit for purpose.
C Reeves	Eastfields	3.36 'to make the BMX track less visually isolated.' Whoever has been involved in this plan has not taken anyone's views into account regarding the BMX track and the major issues it causes. Noise; air pollution, from the dozens of cars attending events; danger from cars; road rage; residents not being able to park, or get into their own properties easily as BMX spectators have parked in front of their houses. Fortunately, I don't live anywhere near the track, but these are just some of the issues which have been raised at meetings and ignored. Whilst the BMX track is a great idea for children, there needs to be some consideration of the problems caused.	Design	Noted. The Estates local plan does not include the BMX track.
C Reeves	Eastfields	Site specific policies Justification 3.61 'Eastfields is located in an area with a low Public Transport Accessibility Level' We have a mainline railway station, within 10 minutes walk, with easy access to London Victoria and London Bridge, as well as many local stations, including Balham, Streatham, Sutton, Wimbledon and Kingston. We can also get the train to local tram stops. There are two local buses that stop just on the edge of the estate, both go through Mitcham, where other transport can be accessed. Ten minutes walk away are buses to Croydon and Streatham. These bus stops can also be accessed via the local buses. Whilst I appreciate that people with disabilities may find access difficult, it would not be because their is a lack of public transport. During our many previous meetings with Circle, one thing that was raised was the good transport links, with people who have moved to Eastfields as it's easy for them to get to London for work. The cynical amongst us are wondering whether this is being 'played down', as new properties could bring a premium price being so close to the station. To be honest, I could add more points but I have run out of both time and motivation (I can hear you cheering!!). And have no faith that these comments will be acknowledged, especially as they are the same comments that we, as residents, have put to both Merton Council and Circle Housing time and again, and which continue to be ignored.	Transport	Traffic management will ensure that access is provided for vehicles that require it.

R Hobbs	High Path	It has been considered appropriate for a Secondary school to be located next to the primary school in High Path. I understand that at the moment there are over 400 children in the current primary school and that in excess of 1000 pupils will be accommodated in the proposed Secondary school. My concern is that there is already difficulty for parents to park in the one way High Path both for dropping their children off to attend school and even more so when collecting them when school is over for the day. Has consideration been given to the chaos which will occur when another 1000 children arrive and leave at the same time, some of whom will be dropped of by a parent and some arriving by public transport. I live in The Path and can only imagine the sight of so many children swamping the area both arriving and leaving, plus parents attempting to park at the same time. Nowhere to park - insufficient public transport - safety - the possibility of road rage as a consequence - frustration - 5 days each week. Am I alone in worrying about the inevitable chaos? The mind boggles and I would like to receive your alternative view, if you have one, as an attempt to put mine and I'm sure many other minds at rest. Ron Hobbs - No 2, The Path.	Transport	Traffic management will ensure that access is provided for vehicles that require it.
Ravensbury Residents Association	Ravensbury	Ravensbury Residents Association -3rd February 2017 Ravensbury RA Response to Stage 3 Local Plan for Ravensbury 1.0 Introduction: This is the Ravensbury Residents Association response to Merton Council's Stage 3 Pre-Submission Local Plan for Ravensbury. 2.0 Overview of Report In the Stage 3 report, it does appear that very little attention has been paid to certain aspects of the residents Stage 2 responses. We would like the Planning Inspector to request copies of all of these Stage 2 responses in order to independently ascertain to what extent the residents own views have been overruled by the council officers own personal viewpoints which lack proper professional substantiation relative to the actual experience of living in Ravensbury the residents themselves. Case in point is EP R1 para 3.242 (page 150) concerning the reversal of the Ravensbury Court flats. At all stages of this consultation, many residents have said that this is a ridiculous idea, but Merton Council have chosen to retain this idea even at Stage 3, showing lack of regard to consultation responses. It also appears that conversations between the council and their housing partner Circle Housing (now Clarion/Latimer) have exerted excessive influence in certain aspects of this final version of the Local Plan. Case in point are the references to the area of This seems to be an attempt to usurp the proper process of consultation for the Local Plan, and therefore the push for regeneration and the consultation itself has been seen as an affront to Ravensbury community at large. This is why many residents feel it to be pointless to partake in the consultation.		Noted.

Ravensbury Residents Association	Ravensbury	3.0 Previous Responses to Stage 2 It should be noted that we have provided extensive responses to Stage 2 of the Local Plan, but have observed that some of these points have been ignored by Merton Council and their Future Merton team at Stage 3. Those responses will not be extensively repeated here but we hope they will be reviewed by the Planning Inspector.	General	Noted.
Ravensbury Residents Association	Ravensbury	4.0 Policy EP R1: Townscape Paragraph 3.242 makes mention of the reversal of Ravensbury Court flats. This idea was revealed to the residents of Ravensbury Court who thought the idea preposterous. They were more than happy with their current layout as it provides the privacy & intimacy of a post-war mews type arrangement. Furthermore, the interior courtyard provides for a sense of community and has done for a number of generations. It seems that the council is unhappy with a cohesive community such as Ravensbury and it is also unhappy that such an enormous amount of scorn has been poured upon their ideas. This idea has to be one of the most ridiculous ones ever meted out on a thoroughly undeserving number of residents. In paragraph 3.243, Ravensbury Court is said to have "a rather dead frontage". Some residents thought it might be nice to be able to access the grassed areas through a new back door, but none thought it a good idea to actually reverse the entire layout to suit. Why this idea has been retained at Stage 3 is beyond any understanding. It should be also noted that residents did not want further concrete patios to be installed to the rear of their properties.	Design	Noted. Paragraph 3.242 pg. 150 replace with new paragraph. To read: 'Any proposals to refurbish Ravensbury Court flats should consider providing doors to the living rooms of the ground floor flats to provide direct access from the open space on Ravensbury Grove. There is also scope to improve the space to the rear of the flats for the benefit of residents. This should be explored in partnership with residents.'
Ravensbury Residents Association	Ravensbury	5.0 Policy EP R2: Street Network EP R2 part b) states that Ravensbury Grove MUST be extended fully to the boundary of Ravensbury Park, suggesting that a paved area or road should be run right up to the park. However this ignores the fact that the southern end of Ravensbury Grove forms designated open space land that has been used by residents for access to the park as well as an area for leisure pursuits and relaxation ever since the estate was built. This area must not be lost. For many years this has formed a transition zone from park to estate. This area has been invaluable to residents as it presents an introduction to the estate and also actively extends the park into the estate. We feel that the report serves to undervalue this grassed space in order to enable excessive construction density.	Transport	Traffic management measures at specific loations within the estate will be determined within the planning application and will be required to comply with the Local Plan

Ravensbury Residents Association	Ravensbury	In paragraph 3.252, the Morden Road access lane should be retained. Flood attenuation measures can be served without removal of this area which currently serves as shared space and for incidental play.	Environment	The planning application will be accompanied by a Flood Risk Assessment and a Drainage Strategy, which will be in accordance with the NPPF, the London Plan 5.11 (Green Roofs), 5.12 (Flood Risk Management) and 5.13 Sustainable Drainage. Full consideration must be given to the incorporation of Sustainable Drainage Measures (SuDS) and this will include the use of green roofs. In terms of the comment made regarding the two existing river channels on Ravensbury Mill, this is taken into account within the existing River Wandle flood risk maps and hydraulic model and this will form a part of evidence base for the Flood Risk Assessment. Any proposals must not result in an increase in flood risk either to or from the site. The comment made with regards to the channel along Morden Road will also need to be assessed in detail with regards to hydraulic performance and flood risk. Such a channel may offer improvements for other sources of flooding, particualrly surface water and this could form part of a wider swale network. The Flood Risk Assessment will assess the existing and proposed impacts on overland flow routes from all sources of flooding, including impacts from the road network. The top of bank is a defined as being the general line of the river, when flows are running at 'bank-full' and it is the point whereby Environment Agency consent is required for any structures or works
Ravensbury Residents Association	Ravensbury	In paragraph 3.253, residents have repeatedly rejected the potential for a new access onto Morden Road. A new access would ruin the secluded nature of the estate, which it should be noted is considered by both residents and professionals as a high quality of the area, allowing for relaxation, seclusion from the busy main road and a very high quality of living for those away from the main road. Running a straight Ravensbury Grove up to the park will also serve to destroy the tranquillity of this area. It should also be noted that Ravensbury Grove will need speed attenuation measures in order to reduce speeding in future. There is little mention in this report of speeding and prevention of anti social behaviour through motorbikes or speeding cars.	Transport	Traffic management measures at specific loations within the estate will be determined within the planning application and will be required to comply with the Local Plan

Ravensbury Residents Association	Ravensbury	6.0 Policy EP R3: Movement & Access Little has been said of the Ravensbury Urban Design review report by Sue McGlynn Urban Design Ltd, in which mention is made in regards to: "However, it is important not to make the estate over-permeable as this will undermine seclusion for residents and disperse movement and activity without any real gains in wider connectivity." Our residents back this concept and feel that the theme of permeability is exaggerated in terms of the benefits to Ravensbury. We feel that there has been no suggestion to improve links with Morden in terms of crossing Morden Road. Removal of the current crossing and moving it towards the Surrey Arms helps leisure usage but does nothing to encourage the safe passage of commuters. Inclusion of another crossing at the junction of Wandle Road would be preferable due to the fact that many people attempt the dangerous crossing in order to shorten the journey towards Morden. This should form part of the traffic calming measures suggested. Ravensbury Grove will need speed attenuation measures in order to reduce speeding in future. There is little mention in this report of speeding here and prevention of anti social behaviour through motorbikes or speeding cars.	Transport	Traffic management measures at specific loations within the estate will be determined within the planning application and will be required to comply with the Local Plan
Ravensbury Residents Association	Ravensbury	7.0 Policy EP R4: Land Use Densities are key to the character of Ravensbury and should be moderated in an area of such outstanding character. We are concerned that there is little here in this section than fully reflects the unique environment of the Ravensbury estate and the need to restrict the densities to the benefit of the immediate area and that of Merton generally.	Design	Noted. Policy EP.R4 and Policy. EP.R8 "building heights" states "while there is a need to increase density, to do so too much would undermine the landscape character of the area. Building heights must not compete with established mature trees which envelope the estate. Any strategy for building heights should make a positive contribution to the existing townscape, character and local distinctiveness of the area"

Ravensbury Reside Ravensbury	8.0 Policy EP R5: Open Space The open space directly adjacent to Ravensbury Park has the potential to be of lower quality than that currently in existence. In fact, the planning permission for Ravensbury Garages has already implied that the actual quality of the space is a feature that is lacks proper interrogation. We would request that the language in this section be strengthened to preserve high quality views and appreciation of Ravensbury Park. Replacing the total area of say a grassed space with chunks of gardens that will no longer be public space is not the correct reinterpretation of open space. Also suggesting that the sum area of patches of grass placed around a paved area is equal to the previously large expanse of grass that provided for an extensive view of the park and also provided communal leisure space, seems an incorrect deployment of planning guidance. Positioning a building in the direct line of sight of the park seems against the spirit of planning itself. Essentially, the division of a large open space into multiple area and redistributing those parts around an area in piecemeal fashion should be proscribed in this section. This would enable the quality of the space and environment to be retained and enhanced.	Environment	The RRA has raised concern over Policy EP R2(b) (Street Network) which states that Ravensbury Grove must be extended to the boundary of Ravensbury Park for clear views along the entire length to the park as the association believes that this area forms part of the designated open space on the site. I confirm that this are is no longer designated for open space. A resolution to approve this application was secured on 15/09/2016 under Application 16/P1968 subject to the negotiation of a section 106 agreement to allow the establishment of 21 residential units. Policy EP R5(a) requires any future development to re-provide this open space to a suitable location within the development. The Council officer's report for Application 16/P1968 confirms that Council considers that the proposed re-provision options submitted by the applicant did not meet the quality and quantity tests for Council to designate these proposed pieces of land for open space use. Council Committee resolved to approve this application. It is considered that this decision supersedes proposed Policy EP R5(a). It is recommended that Council amend Policy EP R5 to read as follows: Development proposals must provide public open space to address any identified deficiency in access to Local Open Spaces in accordance with London Plan Policy
------------------------------	---	-------------	--

Ravensbury Residents Association	Ravensbury	9.0 Policy EP R6: Environmental Protection Little seems to be said in regards to the creation and promotion of habitat within the estate as the current estate layout provides for wildlife through its large gardens, extensive number of trees and shrubbery. The new estate should readily incorporate wildlife provision through the planting of hedges, trees and general shrubs. There will otherwise be a sum loss of wildlife & habitat through the regeneration of Ravensbury. Gardens and even homes themselves should be designed to actively promote wildlife in the form of birds, invertebrates and small mammals. Green roofs could be incorporated but there seems to be no mention of this. Maintenance of the banks of the Wandle needs to be controlled by means of a wildlife statement detailing when its ok to trim vegetation and which trees should be left alone. We have had recent cases of Merton Council instructing their tree surgeon contractors to cut back the trees and carry out their own risk assessments. We think risk assessments for wildlife need to be created independently in such a sensitive area frequented by roosting bats and other creatures. Independent wildlife risk assessments should be incorporated into part n) In terms of flooding, nothing has been said of the Ravensbury Mill which has two channels already, one hidden beneath the mill, and one that runs alongside. This suggests that there is no need for a small channel along Morden Road and that such a channel would actively promote flooding due to the contours of the land in the area. Existing flow paths could be exacerbated by the incorrect provision of roads and openings on to Morden Road. Provision should be made for studies to examine the impact of the road layout on flooding and the modifications required to improve the situation. In paragraph 3.289 we think it advisable to agree where the top of the bank of the main river actually lies, and to consider the reinstatement of the bank where possible if this is of benefit to the wildlife	Environment	The RRA has raised concern over Policy EP R2(b) (Street Network) which states that Ravensbury Grove must be extended to the boundary of Ravensbury Park for clear views along the entire length to the park as the association believes that this area forms part of the designated open space on the site. I confirm that this are is no longer designated for open space. A resolution to approve this application was secured on 15/09/2016 under Application 16/P1968 subject to the negotiation of a section 106 agreement to allow the establishment of 21 residential units. Policy EP R5(a) requires any future development to re-provide this open space to a suitable location within the development. The Council officer's report for Application 16/P1968 confirms that Council considers that the proposed re-provision options submitted by the applicant did not meet the quality and quantity tests for Council to designate these proposed pieces of land for open space use. Council Committee resolved to approve this application. It is considered that this decision supersedes proposed Policy EP R5(a). It is recommended that Council amend Policy EP R5 to read as follows: Development proposals must provide public open space to address any identified deficiency in access to Local
Ravensbury Residents Association	Ravensbury	10.0 Policy EP R7: Landscape Nothing has been said of the extensive area at the southern end of Ravensbury Grove and how the Ravensbury area will be made worse by the overdevelopment of this uniquely sensitive area. Excessive height here impact on the entirety of Ravensbury estate and will also damage the park itself. The public	Environment	Onen Spaces in accordance with London Plan Policy The Estates Local Plan contains a number of policies which promote the enhancement of biodiversity on site and the requirement for new developments impact on the adjacent SINCs, Open Space and MOL land. Policies EPR(3), EP(R6)(g) and (i) specifically require new development proposals to assess impacts upon surrounding habitats and to enhance biodiversity on site. In addition any application to develop the site would be assessed against policy CS13 of Mertons Core Strategy which requires the protection and enhancement of biodiversity and that developments likely to affect a SINC's, SSSI, or MOL land be required to not adversely affect nature conservation values of these types of land.

Ravensbury Residents Association	Ravensbury	11.0 Policy EP R8: Building Heights We think that "taller buildings must be located around the edge of the estate" is open to misinterpretation, and that more specifically Morden Road should be defined as the location for slightly higher buildings. Ravensbury Grove must not receive taller buildings and neither must the southern boundary with Ravensbury Park. It should be noted that Ravensbury Court is actually a part 3 and part 4 storey building. The part 3 storey is closer to the park and does not attempt to compete with the surrounding tree canopy. This should inform future buildings to not exceed 3 storeys in height. The 4 storey element of Ravensbury Court actually serves to screen the industrial estate. Therefore any building of 4 storeys in height will effectively screen the tree canopy of Morden Hall Park and Ravensbury Park, which should be fully proscribed. We think it unfortunate that no mention of storey heights has been made in section EP R8. The character of Ravensbury is made up of the scale of the buildings. Along Ravensbury Grove, buildings should not exceed 3 storeys, preferably with the uppermost storey being contained within the roof. In fact 3 storeys incorporating roof space living is an ideal height around Ravensbury as it enables higher density without excessively impacting on the character and environment. Mansard roofs could be employed to good use in this respect. It is important not to compete with the 4 storey element of Ravensbury Court as the result will ruin the character of the area.	Design	Noted. Policy. EP.R8 "building heights" states "while there is a need to increase density, to do so too much would undermine the landscape character of the area. Building heights must not compete with established mature trees which envelope the estate. Any strategy for building heights should make a positive contribution to the existing townscape, character and local distinctiveness of the area"
Ravensbury Residents Association	Ravensbury	4.0 Conclusion: Whilst we appreciate this opportunity to guide the future of Ravensbury, we also think that many opportunities are being lost. Namely those that will preserve the unique character and environment of Ravensbury. The now 4 year old push for regeneration has resulted in a great deal of anger from residents. This has been due to the high levels of disregard meted out by the housing association's regen team towards existing members of the Ravensbury community. Many residents are only too aware of the beauty that resides in Ravensbury and the fact that any redevelopment will effectively scar the setting of the area and result in a net loss of area afforded to social housing and quite possibly the loss of quality public space.	Design	The council's Estates Local Plan is based on a through site analysis of the Ravensbury Estate and the area in which is site. The vision for Ravensbury is based around a surburban parkland setting. The policies in the Estates Local Plan for Ravensbury focus on maintaining the secluded parkland setting set out in the vision for Ravensbury. The Estates Local Plan will not scar the setting of the area nor will it result of quality public space nor will it result in a net loss of affordable housing.
Ravensbury Residents Association	Ravensbury	The fact that the self same regen team meet with the council's Future Merton on a regular basis only serves to muddy any possible concept of impartiality during the assembly of the Estates Local Plan. We therefore hope that the information we have provided enables the independent Planning Inspector to judge this document appropriately & fairly.	General	The council's officers regularly met with residents, Clarion Housing Group, statutory consultees and other interested parties whilst preparing the Estates Local Plan. This is set out in the council's Statement of Consultation.

Consultation's Responder	Estate	Comment	Policy Area	Officer Response
Savills / Clarion / Latimer	All Three	Dear Sir / Madam Submission Draft Estates Local Plan Consultation Representations made on behalf of Latimer Developments Limited Further to the issue of the 'Submission Draft Estates Local Plan – Stage 3 Consultation (December 2016 – February 2017) we write to make formal representations to the consultation on behalf of Latimer Developments Limited (Latimer). Circle Housing Merton Priory and Latimer Developments Limited The Submission Draft Estates Local Plan (ELP) refers to Circle Housing Merton Priory (CHMP) as the body to which the three estates were transferred to. Merton Priory Homes (which trades as Circle Housing Merton Priory) was formed in 2010 as a result of the transfer of stock from Merton Council and at that time became a subsidiary within the Circle Housing Group. Circle Housing Merton Priory owns and manages around 9,500 homes across Wimbledon, Morden and Mitcham including the Eastfields, High Path and Ravensbury estates. In November 2016 the Circle Housing Group merged with the Affinity Sutton Group (through a merger of the two parent companies, Circle Anglia Limited and Affinity Sutton Group Limited) to become Clarion Housing Group. Clarion Housing Group is the largest housing group in the country with over 125,000 homes. The merged organisation comprises the parent company, Clarion Housing Group Limited, a number of charitable housing associations, including Circle Housing Merton Priory, a charitable foundation and a commercial company called Latimer Developments Limited As part of the Merton Regeneration Project, Latimer and Circle Housing Merton Priory plan to regenerate the Eastfields, High Path and Ravensbury estates.	General	Noted. Minor amendment proposed throughout plan: Circle-Housing Merton Priory Clarion Housing Group.
Savills / Clarion / Latimer	All Three	Overview Latimer welcomes the Council's support for regeneration and intensification of the estates as set out in the Draft Local Plan and for the broad changes and alterations made since the Stage 2 Consultation. Latimer (and Savills as their planning agent) also request to participate in the examination hearings on Merton's ELP and to be notified when the document is adopted. As you will be aware, Latimer is at an advanced stage of preparation of the outline planning applications for the three estates and it is anticipated that these will be submitted prior to the examination hearings. Following a thorough review of the latest draft ELP, we have a number of minor comments and suggested amendments that we discuss in the following sections.	General	Noted
Savills / Clarion / Latimer	All Three	Comprehensive Regeneration Latimer has undertaken an extensive feasibility and discounting exercise in selecting these three Estates for regeneration. Latimer has considered a number of alternative options, such as meeting Decent Homes Standards only, as set out in the Case for Regeneration and after extensive assessment recognises that the full regeneration of High Path and Eastfields and the partial regeneration of Ravensbury presents the greatest opportunity to realise significant physical, social, economic and environmental benefits for not only the Estates but the wider Borough. Latimer is therefore supportive of the Council for bringing forward the DPD to aid the comprehensive regeneration of each of the Estates. The DPD at paragraph 2.21 refers to "comprehensive regeneration". Whilst Latimer is committed to the delivery of all three schemes, in planning terms planning permission could be granted for them individually. As such it would be helpful if the DPD recognised that the schemes are not mutually dependable and that they could therefore be granted planning permission separately should this be required.		Noted, whilst the applications could be submitted separately, Clarion Housing Group have advised that delivery of regeneration of the three estates is extricably linked due to viabilty. Clarion Housing Group have decided that regeneration is the most cost effective way of delivering longer term sustainable Decent Homes through the provision of new well designed energy efficient homes that will meet the needs of residents now and in the future. The regeneration of Eastfields and Ravensbury is also financially dependent on High Path regeneration coming forward.

Savills / Clarion / Latimer	All Three	Development Plan The draft ELP will form part of the development plan and as such it is important to make it clearer, that any planning application must have regard to the whole development plan, including The London Plan (2016), the Merton Core Strategy and Sites and Policies DPD, in accordance with Section 38(6) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004, unless material considerations indicate otherwise. This is an important legislative context that should be included within the DPD. This is currently not clear in paragraph 2.8 of the draft ELP.	General	Explanatory wording to be added alongside the existing diagram on page 21 to further clarify the components of the Statutory Development Plan, which includes the Mayor's London Plan 2016.
Savills / Clarion / Latimer	All Three	Large Sites and Surrounding Character As we stated in our previous representations, the London Plan Policy 3.7 identifies that large sites (measuring 5ha or more) are able to create their own distinct character and support higher densities. This is further supported within the GLA Housing SPG paragraph 1.3.35 which refers to sites over 2ha being a large site and therefore able to create their own character and define their own setting. Whilst it is recognised that development proposals should integrate with the wider area, as each of the estates are large sites, the policies should provide flexibility for the development proposals to create their own distinct character. Eastfields and High Path are large sites as defined by London Plan Policy 3.7 and all three sites are large sites as defined in the Housing SPG. It is therefore considered appropriate for this to be recognised and referred to throughout the DPD. The draft ELP frequently refers to the 'suburban setting' in which the Eastfields and Ravensbury estates are situated. Given that they are large sites which can create their own character whilst integrating with the surrounding area, this should be made clearer. Furthermore, it would be prudent for the draft ELP to replace the definitions of both urban and suburban within the glossary to those that are set out within the London Plan Table 3.2. This would ensure conformity with the London Plan.	Urhan Design to	All the estates, to an extent define their own character and it is not wholly inappropriate for them to do so. However, this issue itself could cause problems of integration with the surrounding context. This is particularly noticeable with High Path and Eastfields, where the urban form is either fragmented or alien to what it surrounds. It is an important design aim, reinforced in policy at various levels, that design should relate and respond positively to its context. It is the aim of the Local Plan to ensure that new development on the estates fits well and harmoniously with its surroundings and does not make the mistakes of the past repeat past mistakes, some of which are the reasons why regeneration is considered necessary now. It is therefore not considered appropriate to over-emphasise or unduly encourage new proposals to significantly contrast with their surroundings. They are not intended to be architectural or townscape 'set pieces' and must integrate well, and not be percieved as a barrier to movement or legibility through the wider urban area.
Savills / Clarion / Latimer	All Three	The London Plan describes suburban sites as 'areas with predominantly lower density development such as, for example, detached and semi-detached housing, predominantly residential, small building footprints and typically buildings of two to three storeys'. Whereas an urban area is classified as 'areas with predominantly dense development such as, for example, terraced houses, mansion blocks, a mix of different uses, medium building footprints and typically buildings of two to four storeys, located within 800 metres walking distance of a District Centre, or along main arterial routes'. Taking these definitions into account, alongside the size of the sites we do not regard it appropriate to describe the estates as suburban.	Design	In accordance with the London Plan Density Matrix Design to respond

Savills / Clarion / Latimer	All Three	Conflict Between Policies and Inconsistencies The design teams have undertaken an extensive review of the draft ELP and wider Development Plan and have developed outline masterplan proposals on the basis of detailed urban design analysis. We are broadly supportive of the changes the Council has made to rectify the conflicts between policies in the previous draft. Notwithstanding this, we have identified the following areas where amendments should be made: Policies EP H7, EP E7, EP R7 contain restrictive landscape requirements which do not align with the requirements contained under other policies. For example, Policy EP H7 'Landscape' requires a number of mature trees to be retained in the playground to the north of the 'Marsh Court' block which is in direct contradiction with the urban design requirements for a building to be located fronting Pincott Road We suggest therefore that Policies EP H7, EP E7 and EP R7 should read that where possible, existing trees will be retained, however retention should be based upon a robust arboriculture and urban design analysis in line with Policy 7.21 of the London Plan.	Environment	The NPPF acknowledges that some areas will (also) be at risk of flooding from sources other than fluvial. All sources must be considered when planning for new development including: flooding from land or surface water runoff; groundwater; sewers; and artificial sources. The exception test is a method set out in the NPPF to help ensure that flood risk to people and property will be managed satisfactorily, while allowing necessary development to go ahead in situations where suitable sites at lower risk of flooding are not available. The two parts to the Test require proposed development to show that it will provide wider sustainability benefits to the community that outweigh flood risk, and that it will be safe for its lifetime, without increasing flood risk elsewhere and where possible reduce flood risk overall. We would refer applicants to the advice and flow chart within section 8 of our Level 1 SFRA.
Savills / Clarion / Latimer	All Three	. In accordance with national policy there is no requirement for the Exception Test to be undertaken for Eastfields and High Path. Reference to this should be removed from the justification sections for Policies EP E6 and EP H6.		No requirement for the Exception test on Eastfields and Ravensbury (remove from E6 and H6) // H6 - unreasonable and unfeasible for air quality assessment to consider buildings that are ouside the control of the applicant and or the site // H6 provide evidence of culverted watercourse //
Savills / Clarion / Latimer	All Three	. The PTAL rating at High Path varies across the site from between 4 and 6a. Eastfields and Ravensbury also have a PTAL rating of up to 3, and at Eastfields there is potential for this to improve through an increase in Thameslink services. These more accurate PTAL ratings could be recognised in the draft Local Plan rather than the blanket figure currently set out for each estate.	Transport	Modify High Path PTAL rating to varies between 6a and 4, Modify Ravensbury PTAL rating to varies between 3 and 2. Modify Eastfields PTAL rating to varies between 3 and 1b. Proposals to increase Thameslink service frequencies remain unfunded with no delivery date in sight and can therefore not be taken into consideration
Savills / Clarion / Latimer	All Three	. The High Path red line plan does not include St John's Hall which is within the forthcoming outline application boundary for the estate regeneration. It is recognised that the red line boundary for the applications can differ to those included in the DPD. However, for completeness we have provided an updated Plan for High Path at Appendix 1 which we would encourage the Council to utilise.	General	Noted but do not accept. The Estates Local Plan covers the regeneration of the three Estates. The boundary for this plan appropriately reflects this. It would be inappropriate to include St Johns Hall as this falls outside of the housing estates boundaries.

Savills / Clarion / Latimer	Prescriptive Policies Paragraph 2.5 of the draft ELP introduces the plan as a 'wholly design-led' document and is stated as being 'pitched at a high level; [with] specific building details will be developed by applicants such as CHMP and determined by the council through the planning application process'. This general approach is supported. However, this approach has not always been followed through in the policies and supporting text of the draft Plan. We welcome the increased flexibility in the draft ELP since the Stage 2 Consultation. However, there remain a few policies which are considered overly prescriptive. Any planning application for the regeneration of the Estates would have to be determined in accordance with the whole development plan, and not just the policies within the ELP. The ELP should not therefore be applied mechanistically without regard to other Development Plan policies, and this could be made clearer within the DPD wording. Furthermore, as already noted, these are all large sites capable of creating their own character; therefore, the ELP should provide the flexibility required to allow this. Paragraph 59 of the NPPF, states that 'design policies should avoid unnecessary prescription or detail'. The Planning Practice Guidance (PPG) (ref: 12-010-20140306) also states that Local Plans "should concentrate on the critical issues facing the area – including its development needs – and the strategy and opportunities for addressing them, paying careful attention to both deliverability and viability." Further, given that the regeneration of the Estates will be delivered over a number o years it is considered entirely appropriate that flexibility should be built into the ELP to allow development proposals to respond to changing circumstances in order to create successful places. This approach would be entirely consistent with the NPPF and the PPG.	General	Policy EP R5(a) requires any future development to re-provide this open space to a suitable location within the development. The Council officer's report for Application 16/P1968 confirms that Council considers that the proposed re-provision options submitted by the applicant did not meet the quality and quantity tests for Council to designate these proposed pieces of land for open space use. Council Committee resolved to approve this application.
Savills / Clarion / Latimer	We support the increased flexibility in the draft policies; however set out below are a few examples of where additional flexibility could be incorporated: . Townscape - Policy EP H1 (b) states that 'Streets must be designed to allow for clear unobstructed views along the whole length of the street particularly along Pincott Road and Nelson Grove'. As highlighted within our previous representations, the PPG (Reference ID: 26-008-20140306) notes that 'Development proposals should promote accessibility and safe local routes by making places that connect appropriately with each other and are easy to move throughfor this reason streets should be designed to be functional and accessible for all, to be safe and attractive public spaces and not just respond to engineering considerations. They should reflect urban design qualities as well as traffic management considerations and should be designed to accommodate and balance a locally appropriate mix of movement and place based activities'. There are design and existing utilities constraints which will impact on the ability to provide a straight street through the site. Staggered streets create character and can reduce vehicular speeding. As such, this policy should allow for flexibility in how the central street is designed.		An answer to this point was given in the previous consultation. Clear unobstructed views along the whole length of Pincott and Nelson Grove Roads is included to maximise the visual connection from within the estate to surrounding areas and through the estate from outside it - specifically to make places that connect well with each other and feel safe - a key means of ensuring it fits in seamlessly with its surroundings. Removing or altering this this would undermine the aims the respondent is stating are important. The respondent gives no detail or example of the 'design and existing utilities' constraints they mention. Similarly, this point was made and answered in the previous consultation. Utilities, streets and buildings will be fundamentally changed across the estate and keeping one small element makes no sense in this context. Vehicle speeds in the streets will be managed by a whole range of measures not related to the positioning of buildings. All of the other streets on the estate are shown as straight, so it is unclear why one particular instance is being selected where it is claimed it is inappropriate. Based on the assertion that straight streets can encourage speeding - implied by the statement - the whole rationale for the proposed estate layout is fundamentally flawed. The rest of the layout is not criticised, so the whole statement appears to be flawed. The assertion that straight streets encourage speeding is not supported. Plenty of other factors contribute to why people speed. Character is created by a range of means and staggering streets is not necessary to do this. Plently of towns and cities have regular

Savills / Clarion / Latimer	All Three	Street Network - Policy EP H2 (b) provides prescriptive policy as to the street network to be retained and altered. Following the PPG guidance as noted above, providing a junction from Nelson Grove onto Morden Road may have traffic impact and movement issues, including being too close to the Merton High Street signalised junction. It would require all traffic to instead route through the masterplan site. As such, this policy could be amended to allow highways proposals to be developed at application stage through consultation with the relevant highways authorities.	Transport	Whilst this policy forms the basis of the road layout within the estate. Access onto Morden Road would be restricted to pedestrians and possibly cycles due to road safety and capacity concerns
Savills / Clarion / Latimer	All Three	Movement and Access - Policy EP H3(c) refers to including measures to reduce the physical barrier of Morden Road. It is suggested this is amended to refer to "where possible" to take account of this being outside of the site boundary and proposals to be developed in conjunction with the relevant highways authorities.	Transport	This is an important requirement to improve connective and promote reduced car dependancy, actice modes of transport and London's heathy streets policies. Changes would represent a significant weaking of this policy
Savills / Clarion / Latimer	All Three	. Open Space – Policies EP E5 (d), H5 (c) and R5 (d) state that 'All new houses must have gardens that meet or exceed current space standards'. This blanket approach is too restrictive and does not take into account the nature of various styles of properties. It is common for both mews and town house properties to have smaller garden spaces, reflecting their historic design. As such, the policy could incorporate greater flexibility to reflect the various characteristics of different housing types and character areas.	Environment	Policy EP R5(a) requires any future development to re-provide this open space to a suitable location within the development. The Council officer's report for Application 16/P1968 confirms that Council considers that the proposed re-provision options submitted by the applicant did not meet the quality and quantity tests for Council to designate these proposed pieces of land for open space use. Council Committee resolved to approve this application.
Savills / Clarion / Latimer	All Three	. Environmental Protection – Policy EP H6 refers to investigating the potential air quality benefits of a CHP on existing buildings outside the High Path estate. It is considered unreasonable and unfeasible for an air quality assessment to consider the potential benefits to existing buildings which are outside the control of the applicant and the application site. In addition, Policy EP E6 refers to the existing culverted watercourse, which as set out in our earlier representations, has not been identified by extensive technical surveys. As such reference to this should be removed or evidence provided by the Council to demonstrate the existence of the watercourse.	Environment	CHP Noted and accepted. Para h (iii) to be removed. Flood Risk/Exception Test response: The NPPF acknowledges that some areas will (also) be at risk of flooding from sources other than fluvial. All sources must be considered when planning for new development including: flooding from land or surface water runoff; groundwater; sewers; and artificial sources. The exception test is a method set out in the NPPF to help ensure that flood risk to people and property will be managed satisfactorily, while allowing necessary development to go ahead in situations where suitable sites at lower risk of flooding are not available. The two parts to the Test require proposed development to show that it will provide wider sustainability benefits to the community that outweigh flood risk, and that it will be safe for its lifetime, without increasing flood risk elsewhere and where possible reduce flood risk overall. We would refer applicants to the advice and flow chart within section 8 of our Level 1 SFRA.

Savills / Clarion / Latimer	All Three	Landscaping – Policies EP H7, E7 and R7 each request that some existing trees on site should be retained. As previously mentioned some of these requirements are in direct conflict with other policies contained within the draft ELP. Furthermore, their restrictive nature limits the design-led process which the Council supports. The policy should therefore note that "where possible" existing trees will be retained; however retention should be based on a robust arboriculture and urban design analysis. This addition would reflect the wording contained within the High Path 'Issues and Opportunities: Good quality landscaping and vegetation'' section (Page 102) which incorporates the statement 'unless there are other compelling reasons that provide benefits to outweigh this'. It is also noted that Policy EP R7 requires the widening and enhancement of the entrance to Ravensbury Park. This Policy should suggest the investigation of such measures only and be subject to feasibility.	Environment	It is considered that the proposed policies EP E7, EP H7 and EP R7 ensure that new development retain existing trees that are of value on site and that will help to ensure the development of a such as Policies EP E7, EP H7 and EP R7 At planning permission stage when design options for the development of green corridors on site. Whilst areas have been indicated for the development of the site, any applications to develop the site where design options for the development of these areas requires the potential removal of a tree this would be assessed by Councils processing officer who would look at the affects of this, any proposed mitigation and make an assessment of the proposal against the relevant policies.
Savills / Clarion / Latimer	All Three	. Design Requirements (Pg 174 – 179) – This section of the draft ELP provides 'detailed guidance to applicants that they will be expected to focus on in more detail to demonstrate that the Vision, Urban Design Principles and Site-Specific Policies of the Plan can be delivered'. The section continues to refer to the design principles as guidance throughout this section. The title 'Design Requirements' thereby gives the impression of strict requirements and as such the section could be more suitably titled 'Design Guidance' to allow the masterplan proposals to respond accordingly. As set out previously, the draft ELP could also recognise that the level of detail to be provided with applications should be commensurate to the type and nature of the application i.e. an outline application will have less detail than a full application.	Design	These are requirements as they give an indication of the information the Council requires in order to assess any application. REMOVE THE SUBHEADING GUIDANCE PG174 BEFORE PARA. 4.5
Savills / Clarion / Latimer	All Three	. Design Requirements – This section makes reference to providing communal bin stores for refuse storage. This could be amended to allow other solutions to be considered, for example Underground Refuse Systems, which will be subject to agreement with the Council's waste team.	Design	Proposed minor amend to para 4.19 "Identify a strategy for the design and operation of refuse storage and collection. All proposals for refuse stores must be ensure they are convenient for residents and for collection, be robust and well integrated into buildings and accord with the council's guidelines on this. Flats should have communal bin stores that are well integrated into their building. Houses must have individual bin stores within the property boundary of each dwelling that are well integrated into the front defensible space. Bin stores for houses should not form part of a communal system. The council may also consider alternative refuse collection methods, such as subterranean street-based refuse bins. Such systems must be convenient for residents and collection as well as being seamlessly and unobtrusively integrated into the townscape.
Savills / Clarion / Latimer	All Three	. Whilst the drawings provided within the draft ELP provide helpful imagery as to the potential opportunities of the estates, these should not be regarded as rigid design requirements and therefore a statement noting that the drawings are for indicative purposes only could be added.	Design	Images, plans and graphics include a combination of specific requirements and general guidelines. Where information is given as guidelines, this is indicated, either in the text or in the relevant key (eg. Street Network Policies diagrams H2). It would therefore be misleading and inaccurate to provide a catchall statement stating all images, plans and graphics are for indicative purposes only.

Savills / Clarion / .atimer	All Three	Planning Application Specialist Document Requirements The draft ELP identifies a number of required documents to be submitted as part of a planning application on each Estate. An example of this is Policy EP E6 'Environmental Protection' where section (k) states that 'Development proposals must be accompanied by a working method statement and construction logistics plan'. The level of detail to be submitted as part of a planning application should be commensurate to the type and nature of the application. Additionally, policy requirements should not be so onerous as to require details not normally required for planning application validation purposes. Taking account of this, planning conditions should be used in which to secure the further details of outline planning applications for the three estates. This is acknowledged in the latter parts of the draft Plan; however, is not clear in some earlier policies.	Design	This is a standard requirement for a scheme of this scale and nature.
Savills / Clarion / Latimer	All Three	Intensification Areas Policy 2.13 of the London Plan identifies South Wimbledon / Colliers Wood as an Intensification Area. High Path is within this Intensification Area and this should be acknowledged within the Estates Local Plan. Further, it could also be recognised that London Plan (paragraph 2.59) identifies that higher densities can be supported in Intensification Areas.	General	Although the London Plan Area for Intensification is a relevant planning steer, we believe that other ways of explaining the benefits of the estate's location - such as its excellent access to public transport – are more effective in explaining why High Path represents an appropriate location for intensified development. Merton is currently working with the neighbouring boroughs of Kingston, Sutton and Richmond on the establishment of a South London Opportunity Area. It is considered that the collective physical and strategic links of these boroughs provides an appropriate approach to identifying and establishing a future growth strategy for the borough. The Area for Intensification has been part of the London Plan since 2006 and its targets have already been met. Moreover the AFI targets have already been met for Merton.
Savills / Clarion / Latimer		Financial Viability Part 05 (Delivery, Implementation and Monitoring) Paragraph 5.4 states that 'CHMP have committed to an open book accounting process to facilitate the understanding of the impact on residents and council services' with regards to financial viability. Latimer would like to confirm that they are committed to an Signature PNG open book accounting process with the Council; however it would not be appropriate for this to be made available in the public domain due to commercially sensitive information.	General	Merton is looking at improving the transparency of financial viability submissions, in line with other boroughs and the Mayors draft affordable housing and viability SPG. We are seeking to do this through changes to our planning application validation checklist, including that we accept submissions on the basis that they can be published, in full. The Council will need to ensure that it is consistent in its approach in this regard. It might be that in exceptional circumstances information can initially be withheld from full publication while a final decision on the application is outstanding. See paras 1.21 and 1.22 of the Mayors draft Housing and Viability SPG below for more information. However, applicants will need to be prepared for authorities having to make all elements of the submission public immediately following grant of planning permission, particularly given recent EIR Tribunal decisions and their responsibilities in best serving the public interest.

Savills / Clarion / Latimer	Summary The Council's support for the regeneration and intensification of the three Estates is welcomed, and the alterations made to date from previous consultations are broadly supported. There are however some remaining concerns with the draft ELP, highlighted in detail above. Latimer welcomes the opportunity to discuss these concerns with the Council in order to ensure flexibility within the policies can be achieved. Latimer reserve the right to submit additional representations to those set out, having regard to the detailed planning, design, technical and viability analysis that they are undertaking as part of the preparation of the masterplans for the three estates. Should you have any queries please do not hesitate to contact me or my colleague Catherine Bruce on 020 3320 8286 / cbruce@savills.com. We look forward to receiving convenient dates to meet to discuss the evolving DPD.	General	Noted
--------------------------------	---	---------	-------

Consultation's Responder	Estate	Comment	Policy Area	Officer Response
l Veacock	High Path	For High Path, unlike the other two ,more self contained areas, there are a multiplicty of problems and challenges which have not been properly acknowledged, and therefore not properly resolved	General	Noted. Clarion Housing Group have decided that regeneration is the most cost effective way of delivering longer term sustainable Decent Homes through the provision of new well designed energy efficient homes that will meet the needs of residents now and in the future.
l Veacock	High Path	Planting should ideally be of British Native plants, that will encourage native wildlife, subject to such wildife or plants / trees not being injurious to health affecting skin, breathing or toxins from accidental consumption of leaf, flower or berry. Ideally they should be managed to ensure growth is not excessive, and complementary for bloom and foliage and providing nesting and food source for birds.	Environment	The development will be required to achieve improvements in fabric efficiency and energy performance through planning - as detailed under London Plan Policy 5.2 and LBM Core Strategy Policy CS15.
l Veacock	High Path	We also have the internal transport and access, and the existing cut off from Meretun Way is a problem. Ideally High Path should be converted to a quiet way for bicycles and pedestrians only, with access to Merton Abbey School, The Resource (disabled persons) centre, Elim Church and Domex Office and service yard being onto a speed-limited Meretun Way, however TfL and Mayor's Office have consistently insisted that this is a relief road, without realising the development I have outlined above has altered the character and actual use of the road from its original – literally half-baked- purpose.	Transport	
I Veacock	High Path	Since transfer CHMP have generally failed to provide a reasonable schedule of maintenance for common internal parts of flats, and for external parts, particulary review of upstands on rooves, gutters, rainwater goods, pointing, facias and soffit boards box gutter enclosures. They also fail to respond promptly to ingress of water and drips and overflows from water and header tanks with failed float operated valves in roof spaces. Likewise there is no greenspace management on appropriate cutting of types of shrubbery, clearance of broken tree branches and the like, which all conspire to make Merton as a whole look shabby. Tenants can inform you of the problems of actually getting competant persons to assess internal defects correctly and arrange timely remedial works, I have three items which CHMP failed to do inside our own flat (this was as a supposed quid pro quo for failure to adhere to an advised apppointment to replace the garage door of the garage my mother rented – not turning up for one day without advice prior or on the day). I wont bore you with the details but suffice to say a hole in brickwork was 'repaired' with plumbers non-setting rubberised compound, and no effort to correctly affix wash hand basin in bathroom to wall at 90degrees are part of the incompetance we have to put up with. This has been compounded with the plans of CHMP to demolish and re-build the area they supposedly have responsibility for. Residents have been treated by officers, agents, and staff as less than second-class citizens in a patronising manner, and vulnerable persons have had verbal promises made by persons whom have no authority so to do. The whole procedure has been handled in a stress-inducing manner and has (in my opinion) hastened to an early death at least 4 persons nearby on the estate.	Clarion	Noted. Issues relating to maintenance sit with Clarion Housing Group. It is outside the remit of the Estate Local Plan. Clarion Housing Group response "CHG place a strong focus on providing responsive, reliable services to residents and will continue investing in the communities. With regards to the repairs service CHG acknowledge that there have been problems in the past so they put an improvement plan in place that involved working with Councillors and Residents to identify areas where they needed to refocus efforts to deliver the best possible service for residents. The improvements implemented have resulted in a more consistent and better quality service with locally based delivery and dedicated surveyors for each neighbourhood."
I Veacock	High Path	The Mansion Blocks all share the generallity of being predominantly four stories high	Design	Noted.

		This has been compounded with the plans of CHMP to demolish and re-build the area they supposedly have responsibility for. Residents have been treated by officers, agent and staff as less than second-class citizens in a patronising manner, and vulnerable persons have had verbal promises made by persons whom have no authority so to do. The whole procedure has been handled in a stress-inducing manner and has (in my opinion) hastened to an early death at least 4 persons nearby on the estate.	I	Noted. Issues relating to maintenance sit with Clarion Housing Group. It is outside the remit of the Estate Local Plan. Clarion Housing Group response "CHG place a strong focus on providing responsive, reliable services to residents and will continue to do so"
l Veacock	k High P	Priory Close - The first block to be built (c1953-57) , mostly on the site of larger villas, including Mulberry Cottage, the home of Lord Nelson's gardener if I read the maps correctly. Its U shape gives a light and airy view across to St Johns Church , with all flats being at lease dual aspect and maximising the south facing aspects where possible. There is scope to add mansard roof or similar cedar clad box dwelling units if the water storage tanks are replaced with modern mains-valve controlled supplies to water services in the mains flats. Similar style flats elsewhere in London (including where as part of consultation participation Stockwell Park) have had, albeit inelegantly, lift shafts built to the stairwells giving step free access to all flats. This could be done, finance by sale or market rental of the mansard units. Priory Close also overlooks the toddler play area, which is good. The roadway around the internal of Priory Close is unnamed but specified as unadopted as Priory Close – High Path The road to the north of Priory Close had always known as Nelson Grove Road, but was renamed Rowland Way at the same time as the roads of Hayward Close and Dowman Close were extended northwards in 1977 to form the housing areas and Rowland Way is confirmed as being adopted by Merton Council Highways Department. The double height archway within Priory Close presumably allows for two flats to be larger than others within the block . Argueably it is confusing in that 4 entrances to the flats are within the internal of the U, with two stairwells to the external facing Rowland Way. One could only ask the logic of this of the orginal architect and their brief – more logically the entrances on Rowland Way woul have been better named and numbered as a separate block name.	I Design	Noted.
I Veacock	k High P	Gilbert Close / Beckett Close - This pairing form complementary, but slightly different layouts, to the east and west of the courtyard that is the ball court for young people. Access to upper storeys for persons with disabilities or infirmaties has same issues as the other mansion and low rise blocks across the existing high path estate. Again opportunities exist for providing dwelling accomodation to double or single mansard level (similar is on flats to similar style at Hatfield Mead on London Road, Morden) It is unclear if Highways department are responsible for maintainance of road surface as in their list of adopted roads they exclude a number of un-named roads across the borough but do not specifically exclude this roadway around the ball court. The garage block to the north takes advantage of the difficulties of building dwelling units adjacent to the large electricity transformer station of London Underground. The northern end of Beckett Close is determined by the access area to The Dark House (Kilkenny Tavern) on Merton High Street and other former land in private hands in Merton High Street prior to acquisition by Merton Council in the 1970s.	Design	Noted.
l Veacock	K High P	Gilbert Close is part built on a Congregational Church former burial ground. Beckett Close broadly on an area owned by fairground and traveller families – mostly the Bonds – Caroline Bond was killed by aircraft machine gun fire in WW2 on this site, there were also farmlands serving shops in Merton High street prior to 1955 and possibly was the site of an Italian Prisoner of War Camp during WW2.	Design	Noted.

I Veacock	High Path	Ryder House - Broadly a block of flats facing east/west for dual aspect flats, with two stairwell cores on Hayward Close, and Two on area of named roads (arguably the East end of Rowland Way, or the, as I would say, the West extension of Nelson Grove Road, short arms to north and south form an effective [shape, with the far extensions thereof looking like elegance bay extensions, but again flat rooves suffer from the same lack of attentive maintenance. The main part of the block has an Italianate red tiled pitched roof, again the formation of mansard flats would not be impossible, along with extending the end flats over the flat rooves, to provide larger dwellings assisting with overcrowding. Much of Ryder House is built on the former repair works of Pilcher Motor Bodies (who moved to Andover in the 1950s)	Design	Noted.
l Veacock	High Path	Eleanor House - This provides a strange duplex of flats in an L shape. The external is not unattractive but access is difficult and appears impossible to improve for persons with disabilities, one solution would be to gut internally the unit, and re-configure as duplex maisonettes instead, forming a new block a little further north on part of the parking areas and/or extending into the 'bear pit' play area, which has never, since construction with the tower blocks, been a suitable place to play, in forming a better courtyard area to the east of existing Eleanor House a better working of accommodation and space could be made at minimal disruption for a good gain.	Design	Noted.
l Veacock	High Path	Ramsey House - The lounges of this have an elegant view over the playing fields of the primary school and although steam trains no longer puff along the railway there is external movement to be noticed. Access to the two stairwell cores is poor and the bin stores and washing areas need re-working. The roadway again is unspecifed as to adoption by merton council. The verandas are the only ones on the estate to have elegant 1950s decorative metalwork on them. The four storeys only work here on high path itself because the building is set back from the road and behind a grass area, there is scope to break-out the ground floor flats as gardens, but gardens need time and maintenance which not every householder is able to devote effectively	Design	Noted.
l Veacock	High Path	Pincott Road - With only The Trafalgar Public house standing from the original terrace of houses, it is important that whatever is decided is desirable that such building remains as a viable business. The terrace of houses, again with Italianate Roofing, are solid, desirable and quite spacious, with space for offroad parking and rear gardens giving amenity. Quite how these were originally allocated is a mystery to me, I am sure a lot of people at the time would have liked much of high path estate to be built like these.	Design	Noted. The Trafalgar pub is outside and adjacent to the Estates Local Plan area.
l Veacock	High Path	Tanner House Built quite late into the end of the 1970s what should be a good sized rooms was built unnecessarily small to my view, with a cramped form of the L Shape. But there is scope to extend at the east to build three larger flats, and with re-work of bin store, break the L and provide two separate blocks, otherwise overall I am personally unworried about the loss of this property IF a building or buildings of quality can be placed on its footprint.	Design	Noted
l Veacock	High Path	Mychell House Has the two commercial units built into the estate, one was a convience store, replacing Lee's Store on the site of May Court and a replacement for WW Lamperts from Merton High Street, at present there is an office and convience store, which I use from time to time and it is important for a retail offering on the south side of merton high street accessible by all persons. All flats are 2 Bed but they are smaller than other two bed units, sensitive re-construction maybe extending toward nelson grove road may provide some better space and more dwellings.	Design	Noted

	•			
l Veacock	High Path	Doel Close The former estate office – then police station, lies unused, it should be brought back into use ideally as estate hub for residents use and for caretakers /community support persons etc, or converted into residential use. Our independent representatives midway into earlier consultation were of the opinion that an estate and community centre was desirable where issues could be raised and solved promptly, our visit to Stockwell Park, an estate of similar size and density, had such, including strong local management by resident representatives, re-inforced this need, which is ignored by merton council and CHMP.	Design	Noted In February 2017 planning permission was granted for the former policce office on Pincott Road to change use into a community centre. The centre will be run by South Wimbledon Community Association. CHECK FUTURE PROVISION - See Policy EP H4
l Veacock	High Path	Vanguard House - Probably exemplifies some of the worse construction on the estate, small internal units, dark internal stairwell and corridor, dual entrance yet only four units per landing core – two per doorway, no access for wheelchairs to upper floors. I am afraid that demolision is the only sensible thing, and allowing nibble of the green space and trees to Merton High Stree there is scope for a quality, four to three storey building in a modern (but not the brick and metal window design proposed) - a white render with flying V balconies and some vertical timber cladding should look quite good on this corner, possibly rounded into the curve.	Design	Noted
l Veacock	High Path	Dole Close Stane Close Hayward Close Dowman Close - The houses seem acceptable as they are. Stane Close on the site of the Dog and Partridge does have a present day meaningless hump of grass in front of it. Councillors have suggested an open-air market on new proposals, this area, if re-modelledwith green draining hardstanding could be suitable as it is. Houses themselves too small for me, presumably residents are happy with them. The other closes have houses built that obliterated much of the commercial side of Merton High Street. Built as replacement for houses demolished for the All Saints Estate many owners have already moved once. Some problems with the sheer busyness of Merton High Street Traffic and pedestrian usage of the estate roadways, but the use of the high street wont go away with new development on the similar footprint. Houses themselves too narrow for my personal use, but fairly large, and most people I know are happy with what they have, or have bought (I can quote four persons from the roads with ease). It appears proposed replacements are planned for Abbey Road, but we on Abbey Road do not want to move from our existing area and its present landscaping. There is scope to extend north and south to similar style the houses in these roads by a couple of properties in each direction, if one does not mind loss of housefoot print greenspace.	Design	Noted.
l Veacock	High Path	Merton Place - Duplex Two BedMaisionettes, a little small, but function well, the large steps up and building on higher ground presumable reflects the pile of Nelson's Merton Place allegedly on this site, and possiblity of the former moat of the house running under the foundations thereof.	Design	Area is located to Merton Place APA therefore any developer will be required to investigate with an qaulified archaeological expert. In addtion Policy EP H1 (d) references the need to highlight local history particularly Lord Nelson
l Veacock	High Path	De Burgh House - Raised on its plinth for no real reason that I can understand, slightly small one bed flats have little to commend them, as long as replacement can be no greater than 3 storeys on Nelson Grove Road and 4 storeys to a facing of Hillborough Close then if parking, amenity and a way around the plane trees to the north solved there is little that could be worse. Ideally where possible sound tiles, bricks, metalwork and timbers should all be set aside and re-used on the grounds of saving the earths scarce resources.	Design	Noted.
l Veacock	High Path	Will Miles Court - Its own little community of flats, in desparate need of proper painting to timberwork and front doors. No one wishes to move from there, the last units on the estate to be built, almost as an afterthough, but still leaving space for merton high street to be widened.	Design	Noted.

l Veacock	High Path	Hillborough Close – internals see Norfolk House Biggest problem is outlook is mostly to the north over not a lot, bedrooms and lounges face south, which is generally good. Solid construction, completed earlier just after merton place. Has Block and Brick construction thoughout, cavity wall and roof insulation in loft space of tiled pitched roof. Possibly build mansard flats into roofspace if water tanks relocated.	Design	Noted.
I Veacock	High Path	Norfolk House - Good, some 2 beds have triple aspect flats, spacious internals, 2msq (7mcu) approx ground floor storage, 2msq (6mcu external storage on 2 beds, 3mcu on 1 beds). 1 Beds have internal builtin bedroom wardrobe and plenty of storage space. Separate kitchens mean easy to entertain with guests overnighting in lounge if required. Original space heating Coal fire with back boiler to immersion heater – quite efficient some have back radiator to a bedroom. Design could have been better but overall 16foot by 12ft lounge beds 12ft by 12ft and good sized kitchen/diner with plenty of coat hanging etc space in hallways. Difficult to find larger flat in any purpose built block private or council house anywhere in South London or Surrey. Delays in completing original construction from 1959 to 1962 possibly led to some concrete failure by assured by Mr Harold Turner of Merton Housing Department in the 1980s this was not a problem. As long as day-to-day maintainance is completed a budget of £1800 a year on service charges would not be unreasonble to assure this, build lift to stairwells, not impossible. Extend West Flank to turn 2 beds into 4 bed properties for overcrowing and south wing toward nelson grove road to create 2/3 bed units and reform doorways there to avoid the ground floor dark spot under overlooked for crime minimisation. ice central gardens provide visual amenity for the North facing windows, wide spacing from Nelson Grove Road means good airy and sunny southern view. Most Vistors ' I Never Knew Your Flat was so spacious', 'I never knew these flats were so nice' (Comment from someone visting to third floor from west end of High Path for the first time). The gardens are also, being a better distance from building than DeBurgh House gardens, attractive for wildlife, we have visting Robins, Magpie, Crow, Pidgeon, Seagulls, Starlings, Sparrows and Wagtail. There are fewer birds than there used to be, this is due to loss of nearby tall trees at the 1 Nelson Grove Road development and tall dense trees th	Design	Noted.
I Veacock	High Path	Lovell House - Tile Hung in 1960s style with black brickwork not unpleasant to view, 3 Bed maisionetts, 2 bed flats, seem spacious enough to be desired on a regular basis, definitely better than adjoining new build. Could build in same style town house 5 bed to the north of the block without loss of amentity.	Design	Noted
I Veacock	High Path	Garage space- best turned over into a community land trust for novel affordable housing solution OR if no extention to Lovell House, create 4 mulit-generational units using part of existing sheds space and shared pavement over some grass area. Reprovide Flat sheds in flat gardens.	Design	Noted. As at February 2017, Clarion Housing Group have submitted a planning application to Merton Council to provide new homes on the garages and adjacent site.
I Veacock	High Path	Overall Hillborough , Norfolk and Lovell are rarely considered as part of High Path estate,	Design	Noted.
I Veacock		Tower Blocks - Cracking to concrete external faces appears no more than surface stress cracks are not important, give the external a wash down to improve. Some damage to roof from where cradles for double glazing installation works were hung. From a distance, including Wimbledon Hill Road and roads up Wimbledon hill and Alexander Road, these identify home, externally not displeasing having interesting mosaics to murals to fronts. Improvements – build and sell two off penthouse glazed flats to roof level provide ground floor conseiege space and convert side accesses to storage areas to community uses, storage for gardening materials, coffee room, table tennis room etc. Like all tower blocks the ground floor areas attract gale force winds from the generally prevailing westerlies. All replacement buildings should have wind flow modelled to ensure not to excess to detrement of persons or chattels. Noted that original kitchen units not as well built as say Norfolk House, drawers have hardboard bottoms rather than plywood for example. Noted that as vacant units pass back to CHMP kitchens, bathrooms and flooring are replaced, wether needed or not it seems. As built space heating by means of gas-fired warm air system (not communal) didnt work (my Grandmother and Cousin have lived in these blocks in the 70s and 80s), so darned cold in winter, less so now conventional central heating and double glazed. Kitchens smaller and dont work as diners but replacement properties seem little gain for the pain involved, unless good now conventional central heating and double glazed. Kitchens smaller and dont work as diners but replacement properties seem little gain for the pain involved, unless good now conventional central heating and double glazed. Kitchens smaller and dont work as diners but replacement properties seem little gain for the pain involved, unless good now conventional central heating and double glazed. Kitchens smaller and dont work as diners but replacement properties seem little gain for the pain involved, unless	Design	Noted.

	_		1	
l Veacock		General - It is important that the Scheme developer provide dimension details of all of the flat & house types including areas of usable loftspace, gardens correctly measured, sheds where not in gardens, and all internal space including integrated storage space of the foregoing to the inspector for independent review of the assertation that replacement properties will in fact be larger than each flat they seek to replace and that the same amount of storage and dwelling space be provided.	Design	In planning terms new dwellings will be expected to comply with or exceed current space standards I n place at the time of the planning application.
l Veacock		It is also contended that new properties will be easier to heat. As all of the existing have insulation in roof spaces where there are pitched rooves, most have infilled cavity, and all tenanted properties have double glazing. The only improvement would be if all rooves were pitched and insulated (admittedly today one would build with purledeck insulation to flat rooves which existing do not have- they could be retrofitted), triple glazed and solar panels contributing to space heating.	Environment	It is considered that this decision supersedes proposed Policy EP R5(a). It is recommended that Council amend Policy EP R5 to read as follows:
l Veacock	High Path	Garages could be built over using YMCA Y Cube design, would enhance area – see Eastfields development already completed we could have housed 12 families by now over all the talking we have had.	Design	Noted
l Veacock	High Path	Extremely confusing having block of flats and a road having same name. Someone needs to rename one of them. Brexit Close would be topical	Other	Noted. Responsibility for road renaming sits within the highways department. It is outside the remit of the Estate Local Plan.
l Veacock	High Path	Road requires resurfacing – is listed as adopted road – as patchwork repairs have failed and do not direct rainwater to existing drains correctly.	Other	Noted. Responsibility for road maintenance sits within the Highways Department. It is outside the remit of the Estate Local Plan.
l Veacock	High Path	Abbey Roadnew build does not comply with regulations and conditions , particulary on disabled access. Better to acquire and demolish if a comprehensive build to the area is imperitive.	Design	Noted
l Veacock	High Path	Kelmscott House - Better to acquire and put into plan area if a truly comprehensive plan is desired, otherwise little justification for demolish and rebuild of Lovell or Norfolk House.	Design	Noted. Kelmscott House is outside but adjacent to the Estates Local Plan boundary.
l Veacock	High Path	Rodney Place -The loss of the curved road isolating the cottages is to be missed and people here use the garages as the small cul-de-sac has little safe parking access. If one is soley talking about building, then the presence of the houses to the east end precludes efficient re-developement of Lovell House site, however under the present plans – which could be enhanced existing if Lovell House is retained as is, a large area of potential private greenspace which could be good for wildlife.	Design	Noted

l Veacock	High Path	Nelson Grove Road - In truth the planning permission should never have been granted for 1 Nelson Grove Road, built on greenspace on the last undeveloped land of highpath – the pub garden had been part of an orchard from and onwards from Nelson's Merton Place lands. Its amenity and waste space depended on access over roadway to Lovell House Garages, which was not in the public road network, therefore there are unsightly recycling boxes and large Eurobin to the front concrete area, the eurobin is frequently overflowing with bin bags and polystrene as tenants move in and out. Merton Evangelical (Baptist) Church is postally Rodney Place, it is in need of a little external improvement, but most faith groups are excluded from applying for public and private funds that other community organisations could use, and by faith would not seek lottery funding, however it should be retained and protected its corrigated cladding and asbestos tiled roof is one of the few buildings in the borough to still have these features, although the extended baptistry area is build of conventional brick and tile work. The Hope Mission has been associated with Merton since the 1880s as a temperance coffee house in High Street, Merton and opened its additional church facilities in the 1920s when Rodney Place would have been created, for many years when I attended there the church was affiliated with the Shaftsbury Society, my mother ran the primary classes in the mid 1970s and it was only dispute with a new church leader on expression of christian faith that caused me and my mother to leave. 68 Nelson Grove Road (with its garden annex 68a) remain as the sole representative of assorted detached houses that were around Nelson Grove Road from the 1920s onward. Quite why it remains is a mystery, but I suspect part of the reason the adjacent garage block was not built as houses was part of an agreement with the house owner as relating to building heights and over-looking, the council must provide full detail of land covenants from its 1950s/60s a	Design	Noted. The role of the Inspector in examining the Estates Local Plan will not involve the legal consideration of previous property transactions.
l Veacock	High Path	Navigation and signage - A criticism of the existing is difficulties in finding ones way around, and walkways that are designed inducing fear of crime. These problems can be overcome without wholesale demolition of the site. I have already stated that duplication of Hillborough Close is confusing, but many signs, and noticeboards provided by CHMP are in the wrong places and not viewed by residents, nor are easy to read at a distance by vehicle drivers, or pedestrians. The choice of white lettering on an orange background is unreadable, most signs are too small and located on flanks which do not face where the need to see them is.	Design	Noted.
l Veacock	High Path	The use of brick is not exclusive, the key contrast of stonework natural and cast concrete is a feature of window lintels and cills along with some dash rendering and painted surfaces. The natural development would be one of terraced housing (with the problems of on-street parking), and provision of differing sizes would be welcome for families, but it is only the 1 Bed flat that is really suitable for smaller households and single persons – sharing in multiple home situations is not dignified when there are plenty of options in the area for those that form living. Overall the honey yellow stock brickwork with the odd banding contrast works well, Lovell House is different, but on its own is not displeasing to the eye, but increasing the mass too much and fitting 'sad eyes' window shapes does not work at all well, the mix of brick and concrete in the existing towers work well as external finishes, and indeed are similar to chequerboard finish of some mansion blocks in the Victoria area of London. The red brick mansions work well – because of the bond, white narrow pointing and depth of bricks used they trick the eye into reducing the height and mass of the blocks, it is good visual design and should be followed through, with improvement, in any new build.	Design	NOTED. The vision statement for High Path on para 2.34. Although the ELP does specify this level of detail, we expect deisgn codes to be deleveloped by the applicant as per detailed design guidance in Part 04. Details will be assessed at planning application stage and we will welcome further comments at this time.COMMENTARY ON MANSION BLOCK APPRECIATION?
l Veacock	High Path	Overdevelopment - As proposed the scale and mass in brutalist shape (if not style) appears to be excessive for the area. We are suburban Zone 3, not a central location, and our roots remain in Surrey as much as London. The key has to be that buildings are properly 'set back' from most of the main roads, to maintain airspace, and to ensure a feeling of clastrophobia does not occur. Enclaves of enclosed doorways around courtyards should be avoided. Ideally garden squares similar to Belgravia could be created with a variety of dwelling types behind the facings. Blockwork built can be quick to construct, and provided disabled access can be maintained there is a possibility of up to four storeys plus mansard being the ideal type across the main part of the estate.	Design	

г	Т			ı	
	Veacock	High Dath	The biggest problem is that there have not been a limited range of options brought forward and assessed in public or presented to the residents, this should be done rather than ram-roading through one single option. Housing Assocications must take as their priority the affordabilty of housing those in greatest need, while respecting those whom have bought under right to buy having respect in their property, but providing assistance when the likes of maintaining ones garden or externals to ensure a good and pleasing environment.	General	Clarion Housing Group "A Case for Regeneration has been prepared and this demonstrates that the comprehensive regeneration of the Estate is the most sustainable option, and this has taking into account surveys of the existing building stock as well as consideration of issues such as environmental performance, housing need, urban design, sustainability and economic viability. CHGs residents offer allows for the replacement of leasehold and freehold homes with homes of the same type designed to a modern standard and layout."
	Veacock	High Path	Affordability of New Build - Although some of my ideas may be an uncosted expensive wish list, it is still imperitive that any build for rent must be at an affordable level. We cannot lift the drawbridges of quality affordable homes for the working man that we and our parents enjoyed in the properties that did replace some old, tired and substandard properties let at insecure tenancies with rents that could rise without control, and we must ensure new generations have that embedded into the new build that 100% must be affordable, there should be no aim in the High Path development to seek to cross-subsidise other parts of the Scheme Promotors portfolio. Rents should be set at affordable levels for new numbers of flats, but at no greater levels than existing for replacement units. For Freeholders, many have affordable properties, they own , outright , as they stand, their domains or have already in place finance for the limit of what they could afford to pay. In no way should they be undervalued or the theft of their landspace be compromised.		Noted. Details of the tenant offer sit with Clarion Housing Group. It is outside the remit of the Estate Local Plan. Clarion Housing Group response "As part of their planning application process, the local community will continue to be consulted, and the Estates Local Plan advises that CHG will need to consult with residents to ensure that they continue to have a say in how their neighbourhood will be developed. Residents needs and priorities have been the focus of our consultation and engagement with residents since 2013. The layout of replacement homes is not yet determined but houses will be capable of being built either as open plan or with separate kitchens and living space. Where the design allows bathrooms will have windows but the priority will be to provide natural light and ventilation to habitable rooms."

	1		1	
I Veacock	High Path	Lack of Alternative Proposals with regard to Externals - I have already mentioned this in respect to consultation events. My personal dislike of the kind of building happening in the likes of Colindale/Hendon airport knows no bounds. The completed style at Wimbledon Chase is OK in small doses, but not in the main. Key points must be Kitchens to have external windows with natural daylight – this is important for herbs on the window cill and use of sunlight to dry dishes.	General	Clarion Housing Group "A Case for Regeneration has been prepared and this demonstrates that the comprehensive regeneration of the Estate is the most sustainable option, and this has taking into account surveys of the existing building stock as well as consideration of issues such as environmental performance, housing need, urban design, sustainability and economic viability. CHGs residents offer allows for the replacement of leasehold and freehold homes with homes of the same type designed to a modern standard and layout. Residents who take up the offer of replacement homes will own those homes on the same basis as their current homes (i.e. as leaseholders or as freeholders)."
I Veacock	High Path	Commercial - Where commercial development is proposed, business rents should also be set at affordable levels for retail and office and manufacturing functions (we still make stuff in merton – nearby - ovens, staircases, ductwork for restaurants and hotels to name just three). This was promised as an aim in Wimbledon Forum by Councillor Andrew Judge in 2016 that Merton would seek to promote, and this must be included in any new build for commercial classes.	Other	The Estates Local Plan sets out the principles to guide regeneration proposals. Prescriptive detailing on the allocation of reasonable space for buisiness use will be determined at the planning application stage.
l Veacock	High Path	I would request that all materials produced by the Scheme Promoter and The Scheme Developer for public display be forwarded to the inspector for them to draw their conclusions as to fitness for purpose and ask if the reasonably educated man could comprehend what was being put in front of them. Additionally all briefing notes internally (excluding costings) between CHMP, Merton Council, All Councillor and opposition missives to residents, Minutes of meetings with residents representatives and scope of terms of engagement of independent respresentative and all correspondence subsequent by email or otherwise between Newman Francis and CHMP and/or Merton Council be provided to the planning inspector. Additionally all briefings to appointed public relations advisors to the schemes be provided to the inspector, for reasons of understanding choice of wording.	General	Noted
I Veacock	High Path	Key to this is that High Path estate should not be the cash cow for funding other areas. Our profits arising from capital development in part belong pro-rata to existing freeholders, and no corners cut to overdevelop or build undersize removing space from existing residents to feed funds to elsewhere should be permitted. Development should be to quality, with no compromise to the space or structure many of our residences have at the present time	General	Noted

I Veacock	High Path	Offer and Comparison to Compulsory Purchase Procedures - I speak as having an interest in a leasehold interest, so this is my main area of concern, although similar applies to outright freeholders and service charge paying freeholders and tenants too would have some concerns.	Clarion	Noted. Details of the tenant offer sit with Clarion Housing Group. It is outside the remit of the Estate Local Plan. Clarion Housing Group response "As part of their planning application process, the local community will continue to be consulted, and the Estates Local Plan advises that CHG will need to consult with residents to ensure that they continue to have a say in how their neighbourhood will be developed. Residents needs and priorities have been the focus of our consultation and engagement with residents since 2013. The layout of replacement homes is not yet determined but houses will be capable of being built either as open plan or with separate kitchens and living space. Where the design allows bathrooms will have windows but the priority will be to provide natural light and ventilation to habitable rooms."
l Veacock	High Path	Alternative accommodation on the estate. Ideally we would wish to remain in Abbey Road, despite the traffic issues, and although we understand a lift can be costly my mothers present circumstances mean that would be funded under disabled grant terms with means tested contribution, aside from this we have demonstrated above that the existing flat just about meets our needs, but only to the extent that the external storage is retained, the opportunity to rent a garage – indeed it is unfairly prejudicial to tenancy types that a for historic reasons a family may have had a house with integral on plot garage, when the original offer of accommodation to ourselves was one of a flat with the possibility of garage to rent – which we have so done since 1968 and the completion of DeBurgh House, and the provision of charge-free parking space on first come first served basis, this provides the initial package of minium like for like. As I have previously made clear in terms of a replacement home any reduction in the existing floor space including all integral and external storage areas within the demised lease will not be accepted, nor any reduction in the running length of any wall or reduction in door aperture width or height including any wall or window space above doors. We will also not accept any enclosed common parts entrance lobby, and although ground floor might be nice, we are currently on the first floor, which gives a good compromise for looking over external areas and roads and generally being nosey neighbours participating in community activities. Additionally no window to be smaller than existing (and, for reasons of privacy) no larger than 10% of existing and none other than lounge window to be to floor level, this is to maintain the wallspace which we use for shelving and storage, finally no reduction in the running length of window dow cills (for our cat's perch and plant pots) nor reduction in the size of kitchen cupboards – ideally we would like to move the existing to any new accommodation. Naturally a	Clarion	Noted. Details of the tenant offer sit with Clarion Housing Group. It is outside the remit of the Estate Local Plan. Clarion Housing Group response "As part of their planning application process, the local community will continue to be consulted, and the Estates Local Plan advises that CHG will need to consult with residents to ensure that they continue to have a say in how their neighbourhood will be developed. Residents needs and priorities have been the focus of our consultation and engagement with residents since 2013. The layout of replacement homes is not yet determined but houses will be capable of being built either as open plan or with separate kitchens and living space. Where the design allows bathrooms will have windows but the priority will be to provide natural light and ventilation to habitable rooms."

I Veacock		Funding, although we see no reason to pay more for replacement accommodation given the block, brick, filled cavity, double glazed, pitched tiled roof construction of our the existing we struggle to see any enhancement over this alhough prepared to agree to additional value in the provision of solar panels for heating and electric feed in direct to the flat or the common parts as a revenue stream credit to service charges, and for the provision of triple glazing.		Noted. Details of the tenant offer sit with Clarion Housing Group. It is outside the remit of the Estate Local Plan. Clarion Housing Group response "As part of their planning application process, the local
	High Path		Clarion	community will continue to be consulted, and the Estates Local Plan advises that CHG will need to consult with residents to ensure that they continue to have a say in how their neighbourhood will be developed. Residents needs and priorities have been the focus of our consultation and engagement with residents since 2013. The layout of replacement homes is not yet determined but houses will be capable of being built either as open plan or with separate kitchens and living space. Where the design allows bathrooms will have windows but the priority will be to provide natural light and ventilation to habitable rooms."
l Veacock	High Path	Funding Offer – having noted the foregoing, if It is considered that a replacement property has an additional value beyond the £££ value agreed for the property being relinquished one accepts that the lien or charge on the property at the staircasing years proposed by the scheme developer are not unacceptable as long as it is clear that the scheme developer being less than the agreed value at the time of grant of new lease or freehold, and that a transfer of lease or freehold title by way of operation of law following the decease of any lessee or freeholder to any other family member by probate under a will or letters of administration under intesticy shall not be deemed to trigger a disposal for consideration requiring any payback of any equity shared claimed by the scheme developer. Now the offer is confining in respect of if the Scheme Developers of fire of for occupiers for intestic to the other and to some extent we have similar (but smaller) flats to compare prices with – Falcon house from 1971 in Morden Road where a £per £q £t can be used as guidance for flats, Victory in revolution for house in Price 1864 and are good examples. Values of flats already sold are not necessary that smay have been sold under stressful durees following specific family needs. I note deceased occupier ground floor maisonette is currently being offered at £325,000. which seems a little low as one is undercertain what rear garden is included, the size and layout is also smaller by about fisms go the swell will be supposed to the price is calculated on a 4.5% good per month rental and obviously is discounted as should for example my other family members buy that property they are excluded from the Scheme Developers' offer, this is of course unfair on the family members of that seller, they are getting less than the market value, even if they sell at MV+10% because we cannot calculate a fair market value with no development planned, one could expect, given that smaller new builds being offered at 1 bed nearby at £499,000 that a tw	Clarion	Noted. Details of the tenant offer sit with Clarion Housing Group. It is outside the remit of the Estate Local Plan. Clarion Housing Group response "As part of their planning application process, the local community will continue to be consulted, and the Estates Local Plan advises that CHG will need to consult with residents to ensure that they continue to have a say in how their neighbourhood will be developed. Residents needs and priorities have been the focus of our consultation and engagement with residents since 2013. The layout of replacement homes is not yet determined but houses will be capable of being built either as open plan or with separate kitchens and living space. Where the design allows bathrooms will have windows but the priority will be to provide natural light and ventilation to habitable rooms."

I Veacock	High Path	One must question if within the offer to tenants of white new goods in kitchens can be construed as a bribe or inducement thereby invalidating tenants responses to like or dislike the plan in accepting short term gain at the expense of pain of others of different tenure.	Clarion	Noted. Details of the tenant offer sit with Clarion Housing Group. It is outside the remit of the Estate Local Plan. Clarion Housing Group response "As part of their planning application process, the local community will continue to be consulted, and the Estates Local Plan advises that CHG will need to consult with residents to ensure that they continue to have a say in how their neighbourhood will be developed. Residents needs and priorities have been the focus of our consultation and engagement with residents since 2013. The layout of replacement homes is not yet determined but houses will be capable of being built either as open plan or with separate kitchens and living space. Where the design allows bathrooms will have windows but the priority will be to provide natural light and ventilation to habitable rooms."
l Veacock	High Path	Proof Of Applicablity of Human Rights Act To be protected from being unfairly denied of ones interest in land is a basic part of this and one should ensure that all forms of tenancy and land holding are treated equally. This is the implication of the Southward decision. We have problems with residents whom are tenants of affected non-resident owners not having an entitlement to re-homing on the estate, which is grossly unfair, and also of the May 26 th cut-off date as we consider all whom join in our community for any reason have the offer applied to them. The Scheme Developer seeks to reduce the quantum of houses in the proposals by not providing replacement houses for those that it is acquiring prior to development on the open market not to be included in the number of houses presently available for social rent or replacement ownership. As stated it is not preferable that we are currently discriminated against the potential of acquiring a house, if it meets our needs, either pre or post development simply because when first moved here we were allocated a flat, there should be opportunity to acquire, on same shared ownership terms excluding down staircasing on the difference in price, a house, if we so desire and it is determined, against our wishes, that our existing flat be demolished, and sufficient houses for this purpose should be provided within the proposed development.	Other	Noted. Clarion Housing Group are proposing the creation of the Merton Community Panel. The Panel is proposed to be a consultatitive panel. The council have worked with Clarion Housing to review the terms of reference for the Panel in order to ensure that any new structure ensures that the voice of residents is heard and that there is accountability for their performance. The Estates Local Plan will help deliver new homes, including affordable homes, for exisiting amd future residents, helping Merton to meet its share of London's new homes of all types, sizes and tenures.
l Veacock	High Path	Locked In Carbon - Following From Central Hill Estate Development Calculations. https://architectsforsocialhousing.wordpress.com/2017/02/02/embodied-carbon-estimation-for-central-hill-estate-report-by-model-environments/ Central Hill is an estate of about 400 properties, mostly of concrete, resulting in 7300tonnes of embodied CO2, with an estimate of 154tonnes of CO2 involved in demolition. High Path has more properties, mostly of brick, so one could assess something in the region of 14,000 tonnes of embedded CO2 and 280tonnes of CO2 = 14,280. Adding in CO2 required for new build is going to be similar, slightly more if window frames are proposed as extruded aluminium, so magnitude total 26,000th for 600 replacement dwelling. Now the average household is estimated to use for space heating about 1.7885 tonnes pa, allowing for 25% reduction in new build this would be 1.35th per annum. Assuming 600 households this amount of CO2 is equivalent of 22 years of household use a, actually the saving of 25% is .44th, which for 600 housing units is a payback period of 96 years.	Environment	Noted. Development will be required to achieve improvements in fabric efficiency and energy performance through planning - as detailed under London Plan policy 5.2 and LBM Core Strategy Policy CS15.

Consultation's Responder	Estate	Comment	Policy Area	Officer Response
GLA	All Three	Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 (as amended); Greater London Authority Acts 1999 and 2007; Town and Country Planning (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2012 Re: Merton Estates Local Plan Pre-Submission Thank you for consulting the Mayor of London on the Merton Estates local Plan Pre-Submission regarding its general conformity with the London Plan under section 24 (1) (b) of the Planning and compulsory Purchase Act 2004. This letter covers matters from the GLA and TfL.	General	No comment required
GLA	All Three	General. The Local Plan is supported in principle and confirms with the London Plan in aiming to bring forward the redevelopment of existing municipal housing and the delivery of new housing within the Merton Housing Zones. In particular the Local Plan aims to provide significant additional housing through making efficient use of land in line with policies in Chapter 3 of the London Plan.	General	The Estates Local Plan will form part of the development plan once adopted, and any planning application must have regard to the whole development plan, including the London Plan (2016), the Merton Core Strategy (2011) and Sites and Policies Plan (2014), in accordance with Section 38(6) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004. The Estates Local Plan covers a significant regeneration and investment period of 15 years. It sets out a strategically pitched framework to guide regeneration over the 15 years, with the level of prescription, such as the quantum of housing to be determined at the planning application stage in accordance with relevant planning considerations and requirements set out by the whole development plan.
GLA	All Three	The Local Plan makes clear that the redevelopment will include the protection of open space. This is welcomed and in line with London Plan 7:18, and is n important element in providing a high quality environment for future residents.	Environment	Noted with thanks
GLA	All Three	However, there does not appear to be an indication of the quantum of new development or even a range of new and reprovided homes for each of the three sites. Such a figure or range will be important to help set the context for most readers. The GLA and TfL are aware of the broad quantums envisaged through our involvement with Housing Zones designations but this will not be the case for many others.	General	The Estates Local Plan covers a significant regeneration and investment period of 15 years. It sets out a strategicially pitched framework to guide regeneration over the 15 years, with the level of prescription, such as the quantum of housing to be determined at the planning application stage in accordance with relevant planning considerations and requirements set out by the whole development plan. The Housing Market Assessment accompanying the Plan sets out potential ranges of the number of homes that could be provided within each estate.

GLA	All Three	Affordable Housing The Council will be aware that the Mayor has recently consulted on his Affordable Housing and Viability Supplementary Planning Guidance and Local Planning Authorities are strongly encouraged to follow the approach set out in the SPG. While this document is still in draft form, Merton is encouraged to take account of its approach and set a threshold level for viability for schemes coming through the planning system without any public subsidy (see SPG for detailed guidance) and have a clear approach to seeking to increase the amount of affordable housing delivered to 50% using grant (as set out in the recently published Affordable Housing Programme Funding guidance) and other public subsidy. The SPG also offers guidance in relation to Vacant Building Credit.	General	Noted. Merton Council welcomes and has responded to the consultation on the Mayor's draft Affordable Housing and Viability SPG. In addition, Merton Council is working with the GLA on the Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment and other housing research to inform the London Plan review. The council is starting its own borough-wide Local Plan which, when adopted in 2019, will replace the borough-wide policies in the Core Planning Strategy and Sites and Policies Plan. The Mayor's approach to affordable housing will be actively considered then.
GLA	All Three	Detailed Site and Design Policies The Plan includes an appropriate level of detail in relation to landscape and environmental protection, including flood risk and drainage, (which are recognised as significant issues in some locations) for the three housing estates where development will be focused. The Local Plan also contains a range of more detailed points and policies relating to the design and height of buildings within the new developments, these are largely a local matter but are broadly in line with London Plan design policies.	Design	Noted with thanks
GLA	All Three	Transport Issues TfL welcomes the reference to estate car parking being provided in accordance with London Plan maximum standards wand would recommend that reference is also made to cycle parking conforming with London Plan minimum standards. As stated previously, TfL would encourage the estate street networks to accord with TfL's Street Types guidance.	Transport	Minor amendment to Plan: refer to cyle parking according to London Plan standards
GLA	All Three	High Path Page 106 f) "Future extensions of the north-south streets ending at High Path southwards towards to Merantun Way must be a possibility, subject to TfL's support" TfL would recommend that 'must b a possibility' is replaced with 'should be explored'. As stated previously TfL would be unlikely to support additional vehicle access points onto Merantun Way.	Transport	Agreed. Minor amendment proposed Policy EP H2 Street Network (f) Future extensions of the north south streets ending at High Path southwards toward to Merantun Way must be a possibility should be explored subject to TfL's support."

G Bigmore		I don't think for one moment my opinion means anything to anyone involved in the above. I now realise that despite a lot of hard work on behalf of the residents/tenants we were on to a loser right from the beginning with the council coming at us from one side and Circle housing from the other side determined to take our homes away from us. Mitcham is becoming as the song goes a town of Llittle Boxes and soon the only green space left will be the common .	General	
G Bigmore		As for building a road leading to Grove Road I think it won't be long before there are serious accidents as it so close to the bend.	Transport	
E Cohen	High Path	There is much in the Estates Local Plan (High Path) to commend it.	General	Noted with thanks.
E Cohen	High Path	I would like to make the following comments:- There is a grave danger of turning the High Path Estate and south Wimbledon into an inner city enclave instead of an integral part of the wonderful borough of Merton - by increasing the density dramatically and allowing tall buildings to be developed. This will totally spoil the character and feel of the area as well as having a grave impact on services and amenities.	Design	Policy EP H8 sets out policy for general building height and specifc character areas. "Redevelopment of the estate must create a consistent character that fits in harmoniously with the surrounding developmenttaller buildings may be considered appropriate to facilitiate intensified use of the site. Such buildings must be located in appropriately and relate well to the surrounding context and public particularly at street level." The overall vision for the High Path is the creation of a new neighbourhood with traditional streets and improved links to its surroundings, that supports the existing local economy while drawing on the surrounding area's diverse heritage and strong sense of community.
E Cohen	High Path	The recent survey regarding Wimbledon town centre identified that people do not want tall buildings. This applies to the areas outside the town centre as well as within the town centre.	Design	Noted. This plan deals with building heights specific to its local context. The guidance on building heights is appropriately based on this, rather than the opinion of people in another part of of the borough being consulted on a different piece of guidance

E Cohen	High Path	There was little in the Estates Local Plan regarding the internal living space. For example, no mention was made * that all rooms should have windows * that there should be sufficient family/communal rooms within a family dwelling so that there is space for different activities to be carried out at the same time, for example children have a quiet space for doing homework and not just one room serving as kitchen, dining room and living room. * that new homes will have at least as much space as existing homes and with an appropriate layout providing sufficient space within different room types.	Design	Noted. Matters of internal layout are detailed matters which will be appropriately addressed at the planning application stage to ensure development proposals are in accordance with the Statutory Development Plan which includes requirements on internal layouts (e.g. London Plan Table 3.3. minimum space standards for new dwellings). The Estates Local Plan sets out policies and principles to guide the regeneration of Eastfields, High Path and Ravensbury Estates and as such the red line boundaries appropriately covers the three estates.
E Cohen	High Path	The Estates Local Plan does not take into account the proposed new school on High Path - The Harris Academy Wimbledon.	Other	The proposed Harris Academy location is not within the Local Estates Plan Masterplan red-line and as such is not a matter for consideration in this plan. In addition no planning permission has been granted to establish this school in a new location.
E Cohen	High Path	The following are my comments on specific sections of the document:- 2.44 p 37 - Defensible space - I welcome the statement that all perimeter blocks should have active frontages with well designed appropriate defensible space. I would like to suggest, from the perspective of a pedestrian, that the most pleasant defensible space in front of buildings such as flats, houses and office blocks, are those that have railings or a wall to waist height with greenery between the building and the boundary, the greenery thus visible from the street. In addition, if the boundary is defined by a wall, greenery on top of the wall can be an attractive feature if it is well maintained. The use of the railings or wall gives a feeling that the building is not encroaching on the pavement whilst requiring very little distance to separate the building from the street.	Design	Noted. The materials approach to defensible space will be assessed by the Council at planning application at which point we would welcome comments.
E Cohen	High Path	2.47 p 37 - Promoting sustainable development - does this take into account the carbon emissions etc of the building materials, machinery, equipment etc used in the construction as well as the carbon footprint of the finished buildings over the years of usage? If not, it should do so. There is no point in having a low carbon footprint building if it has used many times the carbon to build.	Environment	The carbon perofrmance of the development will be dealt with as part of the planning application. However national, regional and local policies currently only require CO2 emissions of use to be calculated; not full embodied carbon through out the development lifecycle.
E Cohen	High Path	p 88 - The current site analysis might be correct at this time, however, with the proposed new school to be built on High Path in the very near future, this needs to be taken into account in the site analysis.	Design	AGREE - POINT TO BIT WHERE WILL HAVE TO DO THIS

E Cohen	High Path	p 101 Issues Summary 3.116 - I see no problem with green space fronting onto Merton High Street - it gives an aspect of open-ness and relaxation rather than frenetic and hemmed in.	Environment	The carbon peformance of the development will be dealt with as part of the planning application. However national, regional and local policies currently only require CO2 emissions of use to be calculated and no full embodied carbon.
E Cohen	High Path	3.117 - Morden Road has not been enhanced by developments such as the grossly unattractive Spur House especially at ground level. It is not appropriate for the council to make comments regarding the lack of cohesion in this road when they have allowed developments such as Spur House to take place.	Design	SPUR HOUSE WAS RECLAD. Was won on appeal.
E Cohen	High Path	3.119 - Whilst I acknowledge that the estate is badly designed in terms of buildings and space and that it has a high PTAL rating, this does not automatically mean that the area can sustain a huge increase in density on the estate and the surrounding area, where much development is also taking place. The local transport links can only support a finite number of people, ditto other services. Is enough being done to ensure, for example (amongst other amenities and services), sufficient sewage waste extraction and medical facilities.	Transport	Major new development is expected to prepare a detailed transport assessment to evaluate potential impacts and provide recommendation as to how these might be managed/mitigated. This review typically includes consideration of other significant planned development nearby such as the proposed High Path School, bus and tube impacts.
E Cohen	High Path	p 102 Opportunities Summary 3.123 - Whilst Morden Road is perceived as a wide road, there is a danger the buildings will be too high and too close to the pavement (ie without defensible space) changing the aspect from a wide road to a hemmed in, over-shadowed road. This 'wide road' has nothing like the width of the roads say in Vauxhall where tall buildings do not give a feeling of imposition to the pedestrian or road user.	Design	Policy EP H8 sets out policy for building heights in relation to specific character areas such as Morden Road which is addressed by EP H8 c). This should be read in conjunction with further guidance para. 3.205 which aims to ensure height to street porpotions do not result in poor pedestrian environment.
E Cohen	High Path	3.128 - Whilst creating views to Merton Abbey Mills is a commendable intention, Merton Abbey Mills itself has been grossly neglected over very, very many years and has none of the vibrancy it originally had. Unless Merton Abbey Mills is to be fully utilised as a key asset to the vicinity, there seems little point in providing views. However, providing views to the Wandle and green area alongside is well worthwhile.	1	Noted. One of the urban design principles is to highlight positive local context. 2.51 states "Opportunity must be taken to strenghten local character by drawing on its positive characteriestics"
E Cohen	High Path	3.129 - I welcome the suggestion that the estate should be designed to guide future developments outside the estate. Currently, there seems to have been no thought given to integrating developments with the surrounding area - Spur House being a typical example.	Design	The Council's design aspiration is to sucessfully integrate developments into their surroundings. Please see Policy EP H8 C) which refers specifically to the character of Morden Road and the need to development a consistent building height taking cues from emerging buildings.

E Cohen	High Path	p 104 - Site Specific Policies EP H1 d) A focal point or space to highlight the area's links with Lord Nelson needs to be carefully thought out so as to be recognised as such and not thought of as a waste of space or a lost opportunity.	_	Noted. The detailed design of space / focal point will be assessed at planning application stage at which time we welcome further comments.
E Cohen	High Path	3.132 - Morden Road - Do not make the buildings too high as is the current policy. The road might be deemed wide enough but in reality tall buildings will result in a feeling of domination and being hemmed in and overlooked.	Design	Policy EP H8 sets out policy for building heights in relation to specific character areas such as Morden Road which is addressed by EP H8 c). This should be read in conjunction with further guidance para. 3.205 which aims to ensure height to street porpotions do not result in poor pedestrian environment.
E Cohen	High Path	p 106 - EP H2 Street Network 3.141 - Any links with Rodney Place should only be made with the approval of the existing residents/property owners in Rodney Place. p 107 - H2 Street Network map North-South future extensions into Merantun Way will not be possible with the development of the proposed new secondary school on High Path (Harris Academy Wimbledon). I think it is also fair to make the assumption that future extensions cannot pass through the existing primary school and it's land. It is misleading to show these suggested extensions on the map.	Transport	
E Cohen	High Path	p 108 - EP H3 Movement and Access 3.147 - The development of the proposed new school is a redevelopment of the land between High Path and Merantun Way - presumably this will include the redesign of Merantun Way into a boulevard? and will presumably be discussed with TFL (3.148)?	Transport	Noted. The new High School will also be expected to provide their own transport assessment.

E Cohen	High Path	p 126 - EP H8 Building Heights It is disappointing that the maximum building heights are not specified in the document. c) Morden Road - the document states that taller buildings are more appropriate along Morden Road and the heights should be guided by the newer developments springing up along Morden Road. These developments do not necessarily have the backing of residents and locals. The appallingly unattractive and indeed ugly buildings that are being developed with inappropriate heights - ie far too tall - are not a basis on which to guide the development of the High Path Estate on Morden Road. To create a boulevard feel it is not necessary to have extremely tall buildings. e) Any development along the north side of High Path must enhance the feeling of safety walking along the street at night. I do not think these buildings should be taller than the mews streets within the estate. f) Merantun Way - As I understand it, the south side of this road is currently industrial usage and likely to remain so? This is another street that will not benefit from tall buildings.	Design	Noted. c) Policy EP H8 sets out policy for building heights in relation to specific character areas such as Morden Road which is addressed by EP H8 c). This should be read in conjunction with further guidance para. 3.205 which aims to ensure height to street porpotions do not result in poor pedestrian environment. e)The urban design principles for the estate includes the aim that new development have permeable, legible and accessisble layout, as such streets should connect easily to surroundings and be easy to navigate and look like they lead somewhere. Streets must be safe and the buildings should include an active frontage which states that new developmnet must be designed to have buildings with entrances and windows facing the street. This creates a good level of natural surveillance to deter criminal activity. The layout of public space and the interface between the building and the public space creates safer environments, these principles can be sucessfully integrated into neighbourhoods with taller buildings. f) Noted.
E Cohen	High Path	p 129 - Indicative Street Sections - I am a puzzled by the diagramatic representations of a high street (eg Merton High Street), an urban boulevard (eg Morden Road) and a wider boulevard (eg Merantun Way). Currently there is insufficient width on all of these roads to accommodate 4 lanes of traffic or 4 lanes of traffic plus cycle lanes and footpaths. I cannot imagine how these roads will be widened sufficiently along their whole length to accommodate the additional lanes of traffic, cycles and pavements. I therefore have to assume these illustrations are not accurate and are misleading. In addition, the illustration of the urban boulevard in comparison with the high street shows just how inappropriately tall the buildings along the boulevard are.	Design	The diagrams are based on existing street widths, or in the case of Merantun Way, the potential for a more fundamental transformation of its character from urban motorway to urban street. The Merton High Street diagram shows existing single carriageway with parking either side, segregated cycle lane and existing tree planting on south side. Building heights are indicative and not necessarily to scale. Para. 4.16 on p178 gives guidanc eon ensuring the ration of building height to street width is appropriate.
E Cohen	High Path	4.4 - P176 - I am not sure if this paragraph leads on to point 4.5 and the following points or if there is some text missing "Notwithstanding the requirements of the council's validation checklist the applicant will be required to provide information to address the following:" There is nothing following this paragraph except the subsequent sections.	General	

E Cohen	High Path	4.5 - P176 - I am concerned that there is a danger the idea that different phases of development have their own character may in itself lead to a mismatch in design rather than mitigate the concern over monotony.	Design	Noted. The design requirement 4.5 P.176 goes on to state that architectural approach requires setting out some common rules and this could be in the form of a more formal design code.
E Cohen	High Path	4.7 - P176 - The materials should be in keeping with the existing local area. For example, in general brickwork of the buildings in the surrounding area tends to be London yellow stock, multicolour or red brick. Some buildings may be discoloured due to pollution through the years from coal fires and soot to modern day traffic pollution. Care should be taken to not assume dark bricks were originally dark bricks and thus lead to the use of inappropriate or out of context building materials.	Design	One of the urban design principles 2.51 is for the design and appearance of new development to take inspiration and ideas from the positive elements of the local built, natural and historic context. The design requirement 4.7 states the need to use materials complementary to their context. The detailed material palette will be assessed through the planning application process at which time we will welcome comments.
E Cohen	High Path	4.16 - P178 - Ensure that street furniture does not hinder the path of pedestrians especially, for example, people pushing buggies, pulling shopping trolleys or mobility scooters.	Design	Noted. para 4.16 deals with this but can be amended to better reflect this point. Amend the following sentence to read: "A palette of surface materials and street furniture should be developed that is well considered and well laid out to minimise street clutter, and includes landscaping guidance."
I Veacock	High Path	Sent to Clarion	N/A	N/A
I Veacock	High Path	Sent to Clarion	N/A	N/A
D Morgan		Apologies for the late submission but my original email was rejected due to a typo in the email address. With respect to the Merton Estates Local Plan, we had a question with regards to the detail of the plan thats sets out an objective to "reduce the severance on Morden Road" where the pink arrows indicate this is between the estate and Milner Road. Our main concern / question is that this is only about reducing pedestrian severance, rather than any proposal to remove the traffic barriers on Milner Road to allow for through traffic to go from Milner Road, cross Morden Road into the estate. The traffic barriers were installed onto Milner Road for a good reason and we want to ensure that they continue to be in place as part of this proposal.	Transport	

Y Rahli		Hello I live in Clay Avenue and I want know when the project will start because I'm going to decorate my house and changing a lot of stuff as well e.g. kitchen, bathroom etc. So if it will start soon I don't need to spend my money. Thank You!	Clarion	N/A
I Veacock	High Path	Sent to Clarion	N/A	N/A
GLA	All Three	Page 106 para 3.13*9 – It is important to reiterate that TfL will not pay for the reconfiguration of the station but should there be other funding mechanism for improvements, TfL would be willing to consider proposals. TfL welcomes reference to the tram extension to South Wimbledon and the requirement for developers to consult TfL on how to integrate the tram extension into development proposals on Morden Road. If you would like to discuss any of the representations in more detail please contact Kevin Reid who will be happy to discuss any of the issues raised.	Transport	Noted with thanks.
High Path Community Association	High Path	The following is a summarisation of comments by the *High Path Community Association's members regarding the document: "Estates' Local Plan Winter 2016/17". 2. Background, Key Drivers, The Case For Regeneration, The Vision, Urban Design Principles It is fair to point out that the residents' views was requested by Circle Housin gMerton Priory (CHMP) at regular intervals since the idea of an upgrading of the estate was proposed around 2013. Complaints about the repairs and maintenance programme had reached a tipping point and, as social tenants were voicing comments such as "tear it down", "pull it down and start again", in relation to a quick fix for restoring a well rounded aesthetic pride to the area, we need to note that itwas never clear what this work on the estate meant. The latter remark has been a constant theme throughout this entire process and moving forward it is hoped that the Secretary of State and whomsoever is heading up strategic positions for the entire timeline of the estate will bring about an energy to 1 regenerate an area such as those allocated (High Path, Ravensbury and Eastfields) for new works. Pop up exhibitions on the estate were strategically placed and passers by were asked their views as to how they felt about the state of the area. Fast-forwarding to when CHMP's draft masterplan was delivered (late Summer 2014) it had the unfortunate effect of clashing with Merton Council's 'Have Your Say' document. These two major documents which would ask a different set of questions but nevertheless wanted residents opinions on the ideas posed caused much confusion among the majority. As a result residents questioned who was delivering the overall improvement to the area and when one considers that a proportion of residents are still under the misguided impression that Merton Council still own and manage the estate then the general view is of bewilderment, and a over whelming sense of no control of the entire process. Set within this is the view of the homeowners (leaseholders) who have felt	General	Noted

High Path Community Association	High Path	We are minded to note that a regeneration is needed so that those who are living in overcrowded dwellings are rehoused suitably. Also the performance of some buildings, specifically the tower blocks are not in keeping with modern day standards and in some homes, specifically where overcrowding is evident this leads to an extensive build up of condensation and damp which in turn leads to a lowering of a resident's general state of health (physical and mental well being).	Design	Noted
High Path Community Association	High Path	The 'Estates Local Plan' refers to the Equality Act 2010, specifically "2.37.The Equality Act describes a disability as a physical or mental impairment which has a substantial and long-term adverse effect on ones ability to carry out normal day- to- day activities. All development proposals will be expected to have consideration to people with disabilities as de ned bythe Equality Act 2010.This includes physical and mental conditions - for example, dementia."	Other	Noted. It is beyond the scope of the planning system to dictate the ownership of premises. However in accordance with the council's Sites and Policies Plan (2014) major development proposals will be expected to provide opportunities for local residents and bisinessses to apply for employment and other opportunities during the construction of developments and in the resultant end-use. The council supports the replacement of the exisitng convenience shop (i.e shop selling everyday essential items) in Pincott Road.
High Path Community Association	High Path	We anticipate a wholesale improvement on the woeful promises (eg '91 Promises' and '10 Commitments') made by the resident provider in this regard as it is noted in the draft document of the stock transfer "WOULD MERTON PRIORY HOMES DO ANY WORK IN THE LOCAL COMMUNITY? Yes. Merton Priory Homes would work closely with residents, local councillors and public bodies like social services, education, the police, the health authority, GPs and voluntary agencies to help local communities tackle problems and improve the quality of life for residents. (Consultation on the proposal to transfer Merton Council's homes to Merton Priory Homes - Appendix 3, 2008/9) We have noted that as CHMP have not engaged with Merton NHS CCG as a community partner to the level we deem appropriate for a project of this magnitude, there is a concern on the part of not just the community on the whole and the services we use, if it is assumed a near tripling of the density of the population is to go ahead as preferred that in this context, we have a heightened concern for the increasing population of the elderly and the indigenous vulnerable cohort. Moreover CHMP have sought to remove staff for this particular service last year (June 2016) as it was not considered appropriate or within their remit as a resident provider and this was partly due to their inability to engage with the areas they covered (East and West Merton aka Merton Central). We need also to point out that in view of financial challenges in adult social care and the mitigating financial issues for the NHS in general then a more robust level of discussion needs to be had with the respective agencies related to health and wellbeing in our community.	Clarion	Clarion Housing Group response "CHG place a strong focus on providing responsive, reliable services to residents and will continue investing in the communities. With regards to the repairs service CHG acknowledge that there have been problems in the past so they put an improvement plan in place that involved working with Councillors and Residents to identify areas where they needed to refocus efforts to deliver the best possible service for residents. The improvements implemented have resulted in a more consistent and better quality service with locally based delivery and dedicated surveyors for each neighbourhood."

High Path Community Association	High Path	It is difficult to give a fair appraisal of the 'Estate Local Plan's Urban Design Principles as we have been told by architects on the events on numerous occasions that the finer details are yet to come. With that in mind we are concerned as to the general height of the build and most especially the 'right to light' aspect.	Design	Noted.
High Path Community Association	High Path	Open space within the plans show little in the way for what we currently have and if the density is to be propelled forward as intended (608 homes to 1,600 homes) then the whole estate will be making a mad dash to the proposed central park for their uptake of vitamin D.	Environment	Noted. Policy EP.H5 sets out that development proposals must provide public open space to address the current deficiency in access to Local Open Space found within High Path. It also sets out the requirement for suitably designed playspace, garden space standards; landscaping and other factors.
High Path Community Association	High Path	As with most new builds the building design is typical of the London vernacular and though we empathise with PRP's desire to have a modern outlook we regard this as an opportunity to harp back to the past and refer to the curves of yesterday for the facades of the buildings instead of the cold, Brutal preference. A way around this would be to work with another company of architects as PRP seem intent on stamping their Goldfingeresque footprint around the city. Most of the staff of said company have been laissez-faire and uninvolved when residents have opened up the conversation in public events to different designs to their own and this has not been lost by the indigenous population. Size of proposed dwellings has brought with it some contentious thoughts and this needs to be agreed upon and the task repeated because a number of residents have had misgivings as to the authenticity of surveys conducted by the likes of Savills.	Design	Noted.
High Path Community Association	High Path	In accordance with this are the materials for the build and given that we are supposedly a long way off we would ask that in the forthcoming workshops the leading designers look to incorporating sustainable materials for the proposed works. We say this because convention says that as this is a multi-million proposal the big companies will utilise the usual mediums to frame our new homes. This is an opportunity to work with materials and train residents within the process. If the intention is to rebuild the estate for more people and have homes that perform holistically then why not be forward looking and opt for different materials such as lime and straw? Our concern is that because of the urgency to appease central government and meet the targets for housing those in need that this will be a big moment lost. Working with what we know is the prevailing narrative amongst builders of this type of instead of being ground breaking.	Design	
High Path Community Association	High Path	The raw materials are there and readily available and presently going to waste - residents living in these homes will have lower fuel bills and the surrounding area will benefit with the reduced offset of pollution should we decide to build with such organic materials.	Design	Noted. We would encourage engagement on materials prior to any planning application being submitted and will pass this on to Clarion Housing Group.
High Path Community Association	High Path	the clean/environmental buffer for air pollution off the nearby highways.	Environment	Noted with thanks.

High Path Community Association	High Path	Last month we formed with other neighbouring resident groups the 'South Wimbledon Enhancement Plan' as the area is not only bereft of a neighbourhood plan but also any localised character. Heritage is important to those that live here and we are disappointed at the rapid advancement of planning for some heinous examples of design in the area. If 'Rose Cottage' in Hamilton Road is to go the way as planned then epic historical draws for outsiders will never happen and so againthis is an opportunity to funnel avenues towards the nearest transport hub or currently quiet Merton Abbey Mills. Containing the estate (as it currently is) and minimising traffic flow will give the new estate a homely feel and residents will havea place of community. The High Street will still act as a fulcrum for those travelling east to west (or vice versa) but the commercial premises must reflect and retain this connection with the estate. The estate is not to be a hub for the masses a la Oxford Street but we are mindful as work is nearing completion on the former Brown & Root building in Colliers Wood and the desire to increase the aesthetics by the SWEP in the area on the whole it would be preferred if ownership of such	Design	Noted. The council is supportive of this approach and officers and councillors have met with residents in the South Wimbledon area.
High Path Community Association	High Path	commercial venues was pitched at independent proprietors. The connectivity to thearea will then ease the transition to Wimbledon's Business Investment District and as Colliers Wood and us are twinned as an area of intensification then the fluidity will be simpler. A plan for working with the Council with SWEP can easily be formulated to keep everyone happy and if the opportunity to employ local residents in such establishments was to come about then this would be beneficial all round: residents will have less of a desire to work in 'town' and community spirit will beenhanced. A good example of this connectivity is 'Battersea Square' where residents are forced due to a lack of regular public transportation to socialisenearby and this enhances the neighbourhood both financially and collectively.	General	Noted. The council is supportive of this approach and officers and councillors have met with residents in the South Wimbledon area.
High Path Community Association	High Path	Given the fact that Crossrail 2 has not been reignited as a topic for sometime andthe Tram extension to the area has gone quiet too we would say that keepingcertain corridors of access open to change and, flexibility. Locking in plans now willbe difficult to change later and this is very evident in the road en route to Colliers Wood (near to the station there is always a bottle neck throughout this journey andthis ruins what could have been a pleasant ride if the small parade of shops on theleft were set back nearer to Wandle Park).	Transport	The street alignments will be aligned to better support movement across the estate. The opportunity will be taken to promote the health and community benefits of reducing car travel in favour of walking and cycling. Proposals for a tram stop at South Wimbledon are still at a early feasibility stage and may therefore change. The Council will continue to work in partnership with TfL to ensure that transport infrastructure opportunities are incorporated where viable.
High Path Community Association	High Path	Executive summary As a community we endorse a regeneration but this needs to be delivered in amanner that is in keeping with the implicit wishes of the community on the whole. Agood and sound example of this can be found in the paper: Estate RegenerationNational Strategy, December 2016 Department for Communities and LocalGovernment.	General	Noted.

	1			1
High Path Community Association	High Path	5. Residents' involvement in the management of estates The ongoing management of the estate is vital to its sustainability. Residents should have the opportunity to participate in the ongoing management of the regenerated estate. In some cases this may be through a formal tenant or resident management organisation or through a resident- led board. Ongoing opportunities should be provided for residents to influence decisions and develop the necessary skills to take on more responsibility, if they choose. Where elected or self-selected residents represent the estate, landlords should provide them with the resources to communicate and engage with all residents to ensure their representative approach is inclusive. This could include a place to meet or computers for preparing and distributing communication materials. Estate regeneration schemes can play an active role in identifying community facilities which can be owned and managed by resident and community groups. Where community assets are run by the community, people are more likely to have an active and sustainable voice in their neighbourhood. It is also important to undertake post-occupancy evaluation to understand the impact of regeneration, and to demonstrate an ongoing commitment to residents by acting on the results of any evaluations. This maintains trust with the local community, and encourages social sustainability and community cohesion. As important as the aforementioned is the need for clear and transparent dialogue between the resident provider, local authority and the residents. In particular is the Council's recent proposal with Harris Academy to build a secondary school on the area of South Wimbledor a venue as not only is it too small to accommodate the needs of its pupils but the proposed regeneration makes no mention of it and all affected stakeholders are wrought with anxiety, exacerbated by the impact of such a venture. Married to this is the large contingent of disadvantaged young people who attend the local primary school that live on th	Clarion	Clarion Housing Group response "CHG is commitmented to rehousing existing residents as early as possible in the regeneration plans. It will be some years before High Path sees any population growth (and resultant increased demand for local services), allowing time for a fuller assessment of future needs closer to the time when they will be actually required. The development would be liable to a Community Infrastructure Levy Payment which the Council could use to fund community infrastructure including healthcare facilities. CHG has been working with the public health service and regularly meets with statutory and non-statutory service providers across Merton though the Local Strategic Partnership. CHG is represented at all levels of the LSPand provides information and briefings to staff and representatives across a range of services. In 2016 CHG worked with LBM's public health services and LBM to draft and submit an NHS Healthy Towns bid for specifically focussing on High Path."
High Path Community Association	High Path	EP H6 and h) The feasibility of CHP and district heating must be investigated. As a minimum this should include: (i) An assessment of the secondary heat sources within a 400 metre radius of thesite boundary (e.g. river water heat recover from the Wandle; heat extraction from the London Underground). (ii) Evidence to demonstrate ongoing engagement with key stakeholders associated with the potential secondary heat sources such as Transport for London and the Environment Agency feasibility. (iii) Consideration of air quality issues should include an investigation in to the potential benefits that a district heat network could deliver to the wider area throughthe connection to existing buildings or development sites outside of the high pathregeneration. (iv) Energy strategies should clearly demonstrate that development delivers energy efficiency improvements at each level of the Mayors Energy Hierarchy whencompared to the existing buildings on the estate. Outlining how improvements havebeen achieved according to the hierarchy of; improved building fabric, increasing the efficiency of supply and renewable energy generation, and how this compares to existing development on the sites. *'High Path Community Association' is a constitutionalised residents group basedon the High Path Estate, South Wimbledon, SW19, which works with the following community partners: (alphabetical order) Baitful Futuh Mosque, Catch 22, Circle Housing Merton Priory, Cooperative Foods, Duke of Edinburgh Awards (Merton), Healthwatch Merton, HighPath Resource Centre, Independent Merton Greenspace Forum, Merton Cll, Merton Council, Merton Abbey Primary School ('Governors' and 'Friends'), MertonHeritage Forum, Merton Tenants Residents Federation, Merton Voluntary ServiceCouncil, Prostate Cancer UK, Safer Neighbourhood Panel (Abbey ward), St JohnDivine Church, Sustainable Merton, WIFFA (West Indian Families and Friends), and YMCA.	Environment	Noted.

High Path Community Association	All Three	Dear Planning, Many thanks for consulting Natural England Regarding the Estates Local Plan pre-submission version; Apologies for the delay in providing our response. Having taken a look at the documentation submitted it's clear that there is a desire to see the environment take a front and centre role (Policy ELP1) in the life of these estates in future both in terms of improvements on the ground now and also when accounting for climate change in years and decades to come. There is a big benefit to be seen from the proposed use of SuDS within the redevelopment on the three estates as this will help to improve water quality and quantity going into the River Wandle in the long term and also help reduce the surface water flood risks on the sites which are the primary area of concern from future changes to weather patterns from climate change.		Noted with thanks.
Natural England	All Three	The Sustainability Appraisal (SA) has been used to help ensure that there are realistic choices made during the process which enable redevelopment to go ahead while still achieving gains for the environment which are key to combating climate change and improving the health and wellbeing of those living in London where air quality is an issue. The options chosen give a good account of the reasons why and allow for a wide scope of improvements to the biodiversity on site across the three sites, with links to green corridors possible as well as green or brown roof spaces a possible feature.		Noted with thanks.
Natural England	All Three	Overall Natural England believes that provided the above elements are taken forward and there is a tangible improvement seen at the three estates as a result of redevelopment work then the environment will see benefits in the long term locally and more widely within London. The changes being suggested are not proposing huge modifications to the numbers of homes on the sites so it is unlikely that there would be a detrimental impact upon either Wimbledon Commons SAC or Richmond Park SAC however the Habitats Regulations Assessment process needs to be followed through to ensure that this is taken into account and mitigation is considered at the early stage to reduce risks in the first instance before impacts are possible. Natural England will of course consider further comment when next consulted either during or after examination. Broadly however we do not have any major concerns to highlight.	Environment	Noted with thanks.