GREATERLONDONAUTHORITY

Development & Environment Directorate

City Hall The Queen's Walk London SE1 2AA Switchboard: 020 7983 4000

Minicom: 020 7983 4458 Web: www.london.gov.uk

Our ref: LDD05/01

Date: 22 August 2012

For the attention of: Tara Butler Sustainable Communities, London Borough of Merton, Merton Civic Centre, London Road, Mordan, SM4 5DX.

Dear Tara,

Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 (as amended); Greater London Authority Acts 1999 and 2007; Town and Country Planning (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2004 (as amended).

Re: Merton Draft Sites and Policies Development Plan Document and Draft Proposals Map Stage 2a

Thank you for your letter 2 July 2012 consulting the Mayor of London on the issues and options stage of Merton Council's DPD document. As you are aware, all development plan documents have to be in general conformity with the London Plan under section 24 (1)(b) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004. The Mayor has delegated authority to me to respond. The attached Appendices A and B will constitute his representations to the consultation.

The Mayor will issue his formal opinion on general conformity when requested at the presubmission stage. However, I hope that the policy concerns I have raised at the current stage can be resolved before then, through further informal discussions with Council officers.

Yours sincerely

Sir Edward Lister

Deputy Mayor and Chief of staff

cc Richard Tracey, London Assembly Constituency Member Nicky Gavron, Chair of London Assembly Planning Committee Ian McNally & John Pierce, DCLG Alex Williams, TfL

Direct telephone: 020 7983 4100 Fax: 020 7983 4057 Email: mayor@london.gov.uk

Map	
ls N	
OSa	
rop	
7	
Ora	
ocument and Draf	
nt and	
mer	
noc	
in De	
Pla	
lopment Plan D	
pmq	
/elo	
Dev	
Olicies Develo	
olic	
)==0==(
ites and	
Site	
aft	dix A
il Dr	ndix
ouncil D	ppel
	Stage 2a: Appendix
erton (3 2a
Merton C	tage
2	Ś

Representations from the Mayor of London 5 July 2012 Ref.

Representations London Plan Policy cross ē. Issue/Option para/page

Consultation period: ends 22 August 2012

Merton Council Draft sites and Policies Development Plan Document and Draft Proposals Map Stage Za DM.H3 Support for Affordable Housing

DM.H3 Policy

implementation of the 2011 London Plan and the approach proposed in the Revised We welcome Merton's approach that 60% of affordable housing should be for social and affordable rent and 40% for intermediate rent or sale. This reflects SPG on Minor Alterations in the London Plan (REMA). 3.11 and 3.12 Where affordable housing is to be provided in accordance with the ondon Plan and Merton's Core Planning Strategy policy CS.8

However, the policy must also reflect the London Plan and the NPPF requirement to circumstances of the London housing market which means boroughs should seek to address the full range of housing need and also acknowledge the unique address strategic as well as local housing need.

> should be for social and affordable rent and 40% for intermediate rent

affordable housing provision Housing choice, 60% of the

Capping affordable rent levels is therefore not in conformity with London Plan policy constrain delivery. Setting rent caps on affordable rent at 65% of market rent could (policies 3.11 and 3.12) or the Mayor's Housing Strategy and the government has More specifically, the policy should seek to maximise output and not attempt to constrain delivery and prevent the maximisation of affordable housing delivery. made it very clear that setting rent levels is not a matter for planning policy.

affordable housing is maximising supply, having regard to the availability of resources. planning system would compromise the flexibility necessary for the product to deliver national guidance and would not be in general conformity with London Plan (Policies (NPPF)). The approach which seeks to impose local, lower rent ceilings through the 3.11 & 3.12). This point is made strongly in SPG on implementing the 2011 London affordable housing in different circumstances and in turn will not be compliant with The nationally set definition of affordable rent product makes clear that it must be Plan and Grant Shapps (Appendix B) has confirmed it in the context of the NPPF available at rents up to 80% of market rent (National Planning Policy Framework The London Plan and the draft Housing Strategy emphasise that the priority for through his representations on Revised Early Minor Alterations (REMA).

objective. The borough's starting

ensure that rents are genuinely

affordable and will work with

Registered Providers to this

2011), the borough wishes to

affordable rent (07 November

interim policy statement on

In accordance with Merton's

required to have affordable rents

in their portfolio of lettings and the borough will work with the

that Registered Providers will be

Registered Providers to minimise

80% rents and set a range of

(target) rent. Merton recognises

tenancies as possible at social

point will be to see as many

|--|

2.					Ref.	Repres
Any proposal seeking to demolish an existing, structurally —sound dwelling house to create a new dwelling house in its place will be required to demonstrate that they have exceeded the minimum sustainability requirements outlined in Merton's Core Planning Strategy 2011 Policy CS15 through: (a) Limiting carbon dioxide emissions arising from the operation of the dwelling and its services in line with Code for	DM.H4 Demolition and redevelopment of		The borough will not support schemes where all rents are at 80% of market rents.	affordable rents up to 65% of market rent but no higher unless agreed as an exception.	Issue/ Option para/page	Representations from the Mayor of London 5 July 2012
5.2 Minimising carbon dioxide emissions	oment of a single dwelling house				London Plan Policy cross ref.	ondon 5 July 2012
GLA is supportive of this policy	ng house	We welcome Merton's approach of working closely with active registered providers in their borough to ensure the delivery of affordable housing.	properties of different sizes of affordable rent, then they would not be in general conformity with the Plan and its emphasis on maximising provision. As advised previously, affordable rented products are considered as part of the 60% for social rented housing, as a reflection of the national policy that it is intended to meet the same segment of housing need. This is a national model set up in response to the need for a more flexible form of affordable housing to meet needs hitherto addressed by social housing in a time of constrained resources.	The REMA refines and develops on the London Plan as published and its associated draft SPG - that if boroughs adopt planning policies that seek to restrict use of the	Representations	Consultation period: ends 22 August 2012

Representations from the Mayor of London 5 July 2012 CLA Ref. Issue/ Option para/page London Policy cross No. Sustainable Homes Level 5. (b) Improved the Fabric energy efficiency performance in line with Code for Sustainable Homes Level 5. (c) Made effective use of resources and materials in accordance with Metron's Core Planning Strategy Policy CST5 DM. EP4 Allowable solutions within the boroughl may be reviewed by the Allowable solutions within the boroughl may be reviewed by the Allowable Solutions which in the proposals are the most effective method of saving carbon and benefiting the local community. The views of the Allowable Solutions Working Group Allowable Solutions Working Group Allowable Solutions Working Group Allowable Solutions Working Group Allowable Solutions Working Group	T	on Council Draft Sites and I	olicies Development Pla	Merton Council Draft Sites and Policies Development Plan Document and Draft Proposals Map	
ref. with svel sure t bons ons roup		sentations from the Mayor of		Consultation period: ends 22 August 2012	
with with ons sure t bon roup		Issue/ Option para/page	London Plan Policy cross ref.	Representations	
with evel ons sure t bon roup	- 1	C. retainable Homor Loyal E			
ons sure t bon roup		Sustainable Homes Level 5. (b) Improved the fabric energy efficiency performance in line with Code for Sustainable Homes Level 5.			
ons sure t bon roup		(C) Made effective use of resources and materials in accordance with Merton's Core Planning Strategy Policy CS15			
(a) Planning applications that propose using Allowable solutions within the borough may be reviewed by the Allowable Solutions Working Group to ensure that the proposals are the most effective method of saving carbon and benefiting the local community. The views of the Allowable Solutions Working Group	i LLLI	P4 Allowable solutions		La company of the com	
would then become a material planning consideration. (b) Merton Council will establish the Merton Community Energy Fund to administer local projects		(a) Planning applications that propose using Allowable solutions within the borough may be reviewed by the Allowable Solutions Working Group to ensure that the proposals are the most effective method of saving carbon and benefiting the local community. The views of the Allowable Solutions Working Group would then become a material planning consideration. (b) Merton Council will establish the Merton Community Energy Fund to administer local projects finded and administer local projects finded and administer local projects		GLA is supportive of this policy	

Stage 2a: Appendix A Merton Council Draft Sites and Policies Development Plan Document and Draft Proposals Map

No. GLA Ref. Representations from the Mayor of London 5 July 2012 lssue/ Option para/page London Plan Policy cross ref. Representations Consultation period: ends 22 August 2012

	т	т —	1		T	r	_	_		_								r				т	1	_
17.	16.	15.	14.	13.	12.			10.	ļ	٥	8.			7.			പ			ណ់	4;			
Site 80 Crusoe Road Industrial Buildings	Site 78 191-193 Western Road	Site 77 26 Bushey Road	Site 76 2 South Gardens	Site 75 Former Mitcham Gasworks	Site 74 Southey Bowls Club	Site 73 117-125 London Road - Dreams		Site 72 Wolfson Centre on Copse	Road and Durnsford Road	Site 77 I and on Corner of Weir	Site 70 Haslemere Industrial Estate			Site 69 Sibthorp Road Car Park			Site 65 Kenly Road Car Park			Site 64 12A Ravensbury Terrace	Stadium	Part II Potential Additional Sites for New Uses		
								***************************************			177.4.4.4.4.	transport	and safeguarding land for	6.2 providing public transport	transport	and safeguarding land for	6.2 providing public transport	transport	and safeguarding land for	6.2 providing public transport		for New Uses		
No Issues – support Council's preferred use		No Issues – support Council's preferred use	יאס issues – subpoit couldi s preierrea use		No Issues – support Council's preferred use	London Plan policy 6.2 'Providing public transport capacity and safeguarding land for transport' and the 'Land for Transport Supplementary Planning Guidance (2012).'	building. These should be re-provided in any redevelopment, as outlined by the	London Buses currently have a driver mess room and toilets in the former public toilet	London Plan policy 6.2 'Providing public transport capacity and safeguarding land for transport' and the 'Land for Transport Supplementary Planning Guidance (2012).	for a tram interchange with the Northern line at Morden station, as outlined by the	This site has the potential to provide a bus standing which would help provide space	and safeguarding land for transport' and the 'Land for Transport Supplementary Planning Guidance (2012).	such, as outlined by the London Plan policy 6.2 'Providing public transport capacity	This site is currently safeguarded for Crossrail 2 and should continue to be treated as	No Issues – support Council's preferred use									

Merton Council Draft Sites and Policies Development Plan Document and Draft Proposals Map Stage 2a: Appendix A Representations from the Mayor of London Plan Policy cross Consultations Consultation period: ends 22 August 2012 GLA London Plan Policy cross Representations
No.

Draft proposal map revisions No lssues – support Council's preferred use Proposed designated industrial areas Proposed designated industrial Locally Significant Industrial Locally Significant Industrial Area No comment No comment 20. Proposed designated industrial Area CLA accept in principle that the Strategic Industrial Location CLA accept in principle that the Strategic Industrial Land designation can be changed areas. 20. Proposed designated industrial Location CLA accept in principle that the Strategic Industrial Land designation will have to go through the London Plan Review process scheduled for approximately 2013-14 before it can be fully validated. 21. Tram Line Network LDF Transport Proposal 9TN No comment deleted Tooting to Sutton

. .

Appendix B: Grant Shapps representation on Revised Early Minor Alterations (REMA)



Department for Communities and Local Government

Boris Johnson Mayor of London Greater London Authority City Hall The Queen's Walk London SE1 2AA The Rt Hon Grant Shapps MP
Minister for Housing and Local Government

Department for Communities and Local Government

Eland House Bressenden Place London SW1E 5DU

Tel: 0303 444 3460 Fax: 020 7828 4903

E-Mail: grant.shapps@communitles.gsi.gov.uk

www.communities.gov.uk

0 2 AUG 2012

Da 502.5

London Plan: Supporting affordable housing in London

Thank you for your letter of 20 June to the Secretary of State inviting comments on the revised early minor alterations to the London Plan. As Housing Minister, I though it would be beneficial to respond in relation to your position on affordable rent levels, given the broader implications for housing policy.

The approach you have set out in the revised minor alterations of the London Plan is aligned to the Department's objective of increasing the delivery of affordable housing.

I am aware that some local authorities' intention to intervene and set arbitrary rent caps is likely to have a detrimental effect on the delivery of the Affordable Rent homes by housing associations. Indeed, I note that the Affordable Rent model was recently praised by the National Audit Office as the most viable way of delivering new affordable housing given the requirements of the Government's deficit reduction programme.

More broadly, it is clear that unreasonable Section 106 agreements, planning conditions or affordable housing quotas do not result in more affordable housing; rather, they make new developments economically unviable, resulting in no development, no regeneration and no community benefits.

We should also recognise that reintroducing rent controls 'via the back door' of planning policy is likely to hinder the supply of affordable and private rented accommodation, reducing choice for tenants and simply meaning less housing is available to rent. This will not be in the public interest for Londoners.

Thank you for offering this Department an opportunity to participate in your consultation.

GRANT SHAPPS MP

.