

| Ref No. | Respondent |                     |                                       |   |   | To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following aspects of the councils draft Estates Local Plan?                           |  |                            |                            |                            |                            |                            |                            |                            |                            |  |       | How did you hear about this consultation? |         |           |                        |                  | Other information  |  |
|---------|------------|---------------------|---------------------------------------|---|---|--|--|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|--|-------|---|---------|-----------|------------------------|------------------|--|--|
|         | Estate     | Tenancy             | Which of the following describes you: | Which of the following describes you: Other (e.g. other register provider tenant; living near the estate; do not want to say) | Which of the following describes your client: | Which of the following describes your client - Other (e.g. other register provider tenant; living near the estate; do not want to say) | Having read and considered the councils draft Estates Local Plan and supporting documents please indicate your preference at this stage for regeneration | Townscape                  | Street Network             | Movement and access        | Land use -                 | Open space                 | Environmental protection   | Landscape                  | Building heights -         | Open-Ended Response  | Email | Letter                                    | Website | Newspaper | Other (please specify) | Other - Specify  | How well did you understand the councils draft Estates Local Plan?   | Do you have any other comments about the councils consultation process that you would like considered?                             |
| 70 EP   | Eastfields | Freeholder          |                                       |   |   | Option 3   | Strongly disagree  | Strongly disagree          | Strongly disagree          | Strongly disagree          | Strongly disagree          | Strongly disagree          | Strongly disagree          | Strongly disagree          | Strongly disagree          | I think the council should invest in the current homes to bring them up-to-date instead of demolishing them.   |       | Yes                                       |         |           |                        |                  | Not very well  | I am strongly against demolition of our homes. The council or Merton Priory homes should renovate the homes instead of demolition. |
| 71 EP   | Eastfields | Other               | L & Q Tenant                          |   |   | Option 3   | Disagree   | Strongly disagree          | Strongly disagree          | Strongly disagree          | Strongly disagree          | Strongly disagree          | Strongly disagree          | Strongly disagree          | Strongly disagree          | Refurbish the estate as they are doing at Pollards Hill. CHMP just want to make money and don't have residents best interests at all   | Yes   |   |         |           |                        | Very well        | Stop CHMP running rough shod over residents and actually carry out repairs.  |  |
| 72 EP   | Eastfields | Freeholder          |                                       |   |   | Option 3   |  |                            |                            |                            |                            |                            |                            |                            |                            | Like for like offer for Freeholders including where we want to live and no 11yrs offered by circle housing we should be able to pass offer onto our children if we pass away we want a fair deal   | Yes   |   |         |           |                        | Not at all       | Not enough done for the community  |  |
| 73 EP   | Eastfields | Freeholder          |                                       |   |   | Option 1   |  |                            |                            |                            |                            |                            |                            |                            |                            | Are any of the houses going to be for sale on open market  |       | Yes                                       |         |           | Other (please specify) | Leaflet from ERA | Not very well  |  |
| 74 EP   | Eastfields | Freeholder          |                                       |   |   | Option 1   | Disagree   | Agree                      | Agree                      | Strongly disagree          | Strongly agree             | Strongly agree             | Strongly agree             | Strongly agree             | Disagree                   | - Unfortunately, there is no detailed plan included of how the Council will work with TFL or Network Rail to address the issues highlighted on page 48 of the Eastfields plan. - No clear provision for additional land designated for commercial use, speci   | Yes   |   |         |           |                        | Reasonably well  |  |  |
| 75 EP   | Eastfields | Leaseholder private |                                       |   |   | Option 3   |  |                            |                            |                            |                            |                            |                            |                            |                            |  |       |   |         |           | Other (please specify) | ERA              |  |  |
| 76 EP   | Eastfields | Freeholder          |                                       |   |   | Option 2:  | Agree  | Agree                      | Agree                      | Neither agree nor disagree | Disagree                   | Agree                      | Disagree                   | Agree                      | Disagree                   | I chose to move to Eastfields, as a freeholder, because of the open spaces currently around my property, which give an light, open feel. I am extremely disappointed that, if re-generation goes ahead, these spaces will disappear, leaving properties much closer together.  | Yes   |   |         |           |                        | Reasonably well  |  |  |
| 77 EP   | Eastfields | Freeholder          |                                       |   |   | Option 1   | Agree  | Disagree                   | Agree                      | Strongly agree             | Disagree                   | Strongly agree             | Agree                      | Disagree                   | Disagree                   | The plans are good and while very thorough, the draft plans require some more explaining. Because this will affect everyone in the immediate locality, the fact that there are two varying and separate plans for the regeneration is quite baffling to me. Both Merton Council and Merton Priory should have come together at the beginning to draft plans to improve development within the local community and the Borough. This would have given residents the opportunity for decision making for one set of plans albeit a joint venture rather than two different approaches causing more stress, uncertainty and confusion for many involved. I firmly believe that the estate needs rebuilding as several aspects of the internal and external construct are outdated, problematic and a source of repeated cost to the organisations running it and to the freeholders. 2.38. I think the current layout is a warren and creates hidden and unsafe areas which need to be more visible, well lit and accessible to users. I agree that building heights should be to a minimum and welcome the council's view of 2 - maximum 4 stories. I do not accept the suggestion of having anything higher e.g. 5 or 6 stories as this would block much needed sunlight and views and leave some areas too dark and appear crowded. 2.39 The proposal to have possibly one large open space with smaller spaces conflicts with the suggestion of building higher blocks in large open spaces - There is only one such space identified 2.44 Streets should be user friendly - wide enough, well lit and clearly sign posted at a height that enables visibility. Speed limits must apply to cater for children and families with children. Drivers should be able to have easy access to different streets 2.45 Homes should be rebuilt to reflect modernity and not cramped dwellings and high rise blocks, houses should be at the front where possible to create a residential atmosphere to the area get the balance right and create spacious living accommodation fit for the 21st century and beyond. 2.46 Parking is currently an issue with some residents parking in front of other residents garage. Also people from outside of the estate use the parking areas to leave their vehicles and go off to | Yes   |   |         |           |                        | Not very well    | More meetings with affected residents and tenants. Planned visits outside of Saturdays to view similar projects so that those who were unable to attend/visit during earlier offers would be better informed. These visits should be spread out to give ample choice for visits not just Tuesday or Saturdays as offered by Merton Priory. There is too much delay in the process - lots of information and activity, then nothing for months then suddenly action. There is too long a gap in between information and activity. E.g. why is the council only just putting their views across when most people have taken decisions on whether to stay or leave? |  |
| 78 EP   | Eastfields | Freeholder          |                                       |   |   | Option 1: Demolish and redevelop the entire Estate.  |  |                            |                            |                            |                            |                            |                            |                            |                            |  |       |   |         |           |                        |                  |  |  |
| 79 EP   | Eastfields | Freeholder          |                                       |   |   | Option 3   | Strongly disagree  | Neither agree nor disagree | Neither agree nor disagree | Strongly disagree          | Disagree                   |                            | Agree                      | Strongly disagree          | Disagree                   |  |       | Yes                                       |         |           |                        |                  | Reasonably well  |  |
| 80 EP   | Eastfields | Freeholder          |                                       |   |   | Option 3   | Neither agree nor disagree   | Disagree                   | Neither agree nor disagree | Neither agree nor disagree | Disagree                   | Neither agree nor disagree | Neither agree nor disagree | Neither agree nor disagree | Neither agree nor disagree | Concerns over through road becoming busy and temptataion to use as a rat run.Do not like losing open space we have and as a freeholder do not agree with the 11 years tapering off, would consider a 5 year tapering off period, consider not accept as Circle seem to use a lot of smoke & mirrors.   | Yes   |   |         |           |                        | Very well        | No concerns over council consultation process, but I remain sceptical over Circles' consultation and have no trust in them.  |  |
| 81 EP   | Eastfields | Freeholder          |                                       |   |   | Option 3   | Disagree   | Disagree                   | Disagree                   | Disagree                   | Disagree                   | Disagree                   | Disagree                   | Disagree                   | Disagree                   | Keep the existing buildings but improve its roof and drainage make it better with what we have not to demolish.  | Yes   |   |         |           |                        | Very well        |  |  |
| 82 EP   | Eastfields | Leaseholder private |                                       |   |   | Option 3   | Disagree   | Disagree                   | Disagree                   | Disagree                   | Disagree                   | Disagree                   | Disagree                   | Disagree                   | Disagree                   |  | Email |   |         |           |                        | Reasonably well  |  |  |
| 83 EP   | Eastfields | Freeholder          |                                       |   |   | Option 1   | Agree  | Agree                      | Agree                      | Agree                      | Agree                      | Agree                      | Agree                      | Agree                      | Agree                      | When will the demolishing start and what will happen to the people that has freehold? what kind of help will they get? Also, Where will they live whilst the demolishing start?  | Yes   |   |         |           |                        | Reasonably well  |  |  |
| 84 EP   | Eastfields | Freeholder          |                                       |   |   | Option 1   | Agree  | Agree                      | Agree                      | Agree                      | Agree                      | Agree                      | Agree                      | Agree                      | Agree                      |  |       | Yes                                       |         |           |                        | Reasonably well  |  |  |
| 85 EP   | Eastfields | Freeholder          |                                       |   |   | Option 1   | Neither agree nor disagree   | Neither agree nor disagree | Neither agree nor disagree | Neither agree nor disagree | Neither agree nor disagree | Neither agree nor disagree | Neither agree nor disagree | Neither agree nor disagree | Neither agree nor disagree | Freeholder properties should be separate from tenant residents.  | Yes   |   |         |           |                        |                  | Reasonably well  | Wheeler bins and garage parking with driveway.   |
| 86 EP   | Eastfields | Freeholder          |                                       |   |   | Option 1   | Neither agree nor disagree   | Neither agree nor disagree | Neither agree nor disagree | Neither agree nor disagree | Neither agree nor disagree | Neither agree nor disagree | Neither agree nor disagree | Neither agree nor disagree | Neither agree nor disagree |  | Yes   |   |         |           |                        | Reasonably well  |  |  |

|       |            |               |  |  |  |          |                            |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |  |     |     |  |                        |               |                 |   |
|-------|------------|---------------|--|--|--|----------|----------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|--|-----|-----|--|------------------------|---------------|-----------------|---|
| 87 EP | Eastfields | Freeholder    |  |  |  | Option 1 | Agree                      | Agree          | Agree          | Agree          | Strongly agree | Strongly agree | Agree          | Strongly agree | The draft estate plan looks very good as so much hard work has gone into it. I think demolishing the existing structures and building new homes is a huge price but would be worth it at the end. However, I am concerned about the density proposed. Increasing the number of homes to over 620 will create a new ghetto caused mainly by parking issues as we have seen in most estates in Mitcham. I am also opposed to the height of the building if it goes over 4 storeys as CHMP are proposing 7 storeys towards the banks of Acacia road. We all talk about the utopia of getting people off the cars into bikes. The reality is that while many people are taking to bikes, majority households own 2 or more cars. I will therefore suggest underground car parks especially in the flats but it can also be done to the houses. Traditional streets and brick houses with pitched roof NOT flat roof is another thing to be considered as such homes require less maintenance. I noted that there has been talks about suitable piece of land nearby to build where residents of Eastfields could move into to allow demolition. Yes, there is need to keep the homogeneity of the existing community but CHMP should consider moving people temporarily with the option to move them back when the building are completed. It is inconveniencing but that is something that could be considered and the buybacks are very good vehicles for this. In fairness leave St Marks School out of it. | Yes | Yes |  | Other (please specify) | Word of mouth | Reasonably well |   |
| 88 EP | Eastfields | Freeholder    |  |  |  | Option 1 | Neither agree nor disagree | Agree          | Agree          | Agree          | Agree          | Agree          | Agree          | Agree          | It looks good on paper. But what will happen to local amenities, tenants and lease holders during regeneration work?   | Yes |     |  |                        |               | Reasonably well | A 1 day window on the 13th February is not ideal for people like myself who have to work. |
| 89 EP | Eastfields | Circle tenant |  |  |  | Option 1 | Strongly agree             | Strongly agree | Strongly agree | Strongly agree | Strongly agree | Strongly agree | Strongly agree | Agree          | The sooner regeneration starts at eastfields the better.   | Yes |     |  |                        |               | Very well       |   |