

Jones. P

I'm afraid these relate mainly to presentation rather than substance. Presumably the text has gone through several iterations: it requires a thorough read through and edit before being finalised, in the interest of clarity and consistency. What I've picked out is far from comprehensive.

Headings below correspond to the titles of the separate documents on the website,

Local Plan 2020

Principal not *principle* in heading on p3.

The Vision and Objectives

On the map on p2 it seems eccentric (and possibly insensitive) to identify only the Buddhist temple and the Ahmaddiyya mosque as Major Places of Worship. Why is Morden Hall Park not named, being the second or third most significant open space in the borough?

On p3, *publicly* not *publically* (in bottom row)!

The first mention of affordable housing appears on p6: should this not be defined here?

In second line of 1.29 (p7) *bog* should be *big*...

In the map on p9, the Wimbledon-Sutton line is mysteriously marked as going towards Gatwick Airport (in fact most easily reached via Clapham Junction) and the M25 (by train?). As the Sutton Link consultation is still under way, should not its Options 2 and 3 be shown, as well as Option 1?

Health and Wellbeing

On p5 the section on hot food takeaways is unclear as to what extent the council can control the existence and location of hot food takeaways: what is meant by *manage and monitor*?

Morden

The map on p3 is out-of-date: it does not identify the mosque as a *PW*, and the Post Office left the location shown as *PO* more than thirty years ago.

The reference on p7 to *Metroland-type development* is inaccurate: Metroland, north-west of London, was aimed more upmarket than the lower-middle-class private housing in Morden, while most of Morden was developed as part of a vast council estate.

The policy on tall buildings (p16) should mention the need to protect views out of Morden Hall Park: the tallest buildings should be away from its boundary.

I would query whether concentrating residential development in the town centre is compatible with encouraging a *vibrant* (i.e. boozy) *night-time economy* (p17): experience in Wimbledon is that their proximity creates endless friction.

Housing

The third sentence in paragraph 4.1.13 (p4) is unintelligible.

In 4.1.16 (p7) what does *subsidy to be recycled* mean?

Transport

6.4.1 on p4 regards on-street parking as necessarily bad, but by narrowing the carriageway and forcing drivers to take more care it can have a calming effect.

Economy

In b(vi) on p2 I think *end life* should be *resultant end-use*. In (c) the text numbered (ii) and (iii) in fact should be a continuation of i (so iv becomes ii, and so on).

7.10.5 on p50 is inaccurate: the cumulative impact zone does not restrict the number of licences, but gives the licensing authority stronger powers of refusal.

Environment

The map of Play Areas (p17) shows only those provided by the Council, and so omits that in Morden Hall Park.