NON-KEY DECISION TAKEN BY A CABINET MEMBER

See over for instructions on how to use this form – all parts of this form must be completed. Type all information in the boxes. The boxes will expand to accommodate extra lines where needed.

1. **Title of report and reason for exemption (if any)**
   RP CPZ extension & Waiting Restrictions – Statutory consultation

2. **Decision maker**
   Cabinet Member for Environmental Sustainability & Regeneration

3. **Date of Decision**
   16th October 2012

4. **Date report made available to decision maker**
   28th September 2012 (with appendices)

5. **Date report made available to the Chairs of the Overview and Scrutiny Commission and of any relevant scrutiny panel**
   N/A

**Decision of Cabinet Member**

6. Notes the result of the statutory consultation carried out between 04 May and 25 May 2012 on the proposals to include Camberley Avenue, part of Coombe Lane (92-148 and Sub station-109), Richmond Road, Somerset Avenue, Spencer Road and Taunton Avenue into the existing RP CPZ, operational Monday to Friday between 8.30am and 6.30pm.

7. Notes the result of the statutory consultation carried out between 04 May and 25 May 2012 on the proposals to introduce double yellow line (DYL) waiting restrictions at key locations such as junctions, cul de sacs and locations where traffic flow would be impeded by parked vehicles to include; Cambridge Road at its junctions with Coombe Lane, Oakwood Road, Richmond Road, Laurel Lane, Cambridge Close, Lambton Road and Pepys Road; Cottenham Park Road at its junctions with Cramford Close, Hillview, Cottenham Drive, Durham Road, Pepys Road and Hampton Close; Cottenham Drive at its junction with Copse Hill; Melbury Gardens at its junctions with Oakwood Road, Laurel Road and Durham Road; Pepys Road at its junctions with Durrington Park Road and Hunter Road; Montana Road at its junction with Conway Road, the cul-de-sac ends of Panmuir Road and Orchard Lane and an extension of the existing double yellow lines on Durham Road south of its junction with Cambridge Road.

8. Agrees to proceed with the making of the Traffic Management Orders
(TMOs) for the implementation to include Camberley Avenue, part of Coombe Lane (92-148 and Sub station-109), Richmond Road, Somerset Avenue, Spencer Road and Taunton Avenue into the existing RP CPZ, operational Monday to Friday between 8.30am and 6.30pm as shown in Drawing No. Z78-188-01-1 Rev B CPZ RP Ext in Appendix 1.

9. Agrees to proceed with the making of the Traffic Management Orders (TMOs) for the implementation to introduce double yellow lines (DYL) waiting restrictions at key locations such as junctions, cul de sacs and locations where traffic flow would be impeded by parked vehicles to include; Cambridge Road at its junctions with Coombe Lane, Oakwood Road, Richmond Road, Laurel Lane, Cambridge Close, Lambton Road and Pepys Road; Cottenham Park Road at its junctions with Cramford Close, Hillview, Cottenham Drive, Durham Road, Pepys Road and Hampton Close; Cottenham Drive at its junction with Copse Hill; Melbury Gardens at its junctions with Oakwood Road, Laurel Road and Durham Road; Pepys Road at its junctions with Durrington Park Road and Hunter Road; Montana Road at its junction with Conway Road, the cul-de-sac ends Orchard Lane and an extension of the existing double yellow lines on Durham Road south of its junction with Cambridge Road as shown in Drawing No. Z78-188-01-3 Rev B, waiting restrictions in Appendix 1.

10. **Reason for decision**

   (1) Because the inclusion of the roads in the CPZ would meet the wishes of the majority of those within the defined area, who have expressed a view for or against.

   (2) The introduction of double yellow line waiting restrictions will improve road safety and sightlines.

   (3) The proposals are supported by the ward councillors.

   (4) Given the chorus of disapproval from Panmuir Road Residents to the proposed double yellow lines at the end of the adopted road, I have excluded them from this decision. If the owners of the private property at the end of the road were, in the future, to prevent vehicles turning on that land, which residents vouch that they have been permitted in to do for many years (a sign by the private landowners allows this to occur) then the issue should be revisited. I accept that turning on the private land as at present may well be safer for large vehicles.

   (5) Consideration be given to the contention of the resident of Richmond Road who commented that:

   “There is possibly a small oversight on the map of Richmond Road. Directly outside No XX (the numbering sequence in our road is out of phase, this really is our opposite neighbour) a double yellow line is marked we all felt that this space between the existing crossovers is perfectly wide enough to provide a further Permit Holder residents bay and hope that this can be amended.”

   If officers take the view that this comment is merited, I ask that the proposal be amended to that extent.

   [Signature]
11. **Alternative options considered and why rejected**
Do nothing. This will not address the parking difficulties currently being experienced in the area and will be not respond to the demands made by those residents who took part within the consultations.

12. **Documents relied on in addition to officer report**
Consultation documents, drawings and results and email documents sent by officers to ward councillors

13. **Declarations of Interest**

14. **Publication of this decision and call in provision**
Send this form and the officer report* to democratic.services@merton.gov.uk for publication. Publication will take place within two days. The call-in deadline will be at Noon on the third working day following publication.