

## APPENDIX A

### Representations received and officer comments on the representations 72-96 Home Park Road Character Appraisal

Respondent	Comment from Respondent	Officer's Response	Agree / Not Agree
Councillor Stephen Kerin	I approve the extension to include 72-96 Home Park Road	Supports proposal	Agree
Rt. Hon Sir John Wheeler	A good report which I support. I hope the Council will proceed with this.	Agree	Fully Agree
Sim Comfort	CA should include everything to the corner of Arthur and Home Park Road.	Corner properties not included as they form part of Arthur Road	Agree
M Comfort	No Comment		Agree
Lynne Heap	No Comment		Agree
Dr Elizabeth Nelson	No Comment		Agree
Richard Brookes	Does not think that these properties comprise an area of special architectural or historic interest and have character associated with the adjacent sub area. They have been unsympathetically altered. .... Widows replaced, front garden walls removed with hard standing. .... Article 4 unjustified. ....	<b>Yes, the dwellings have been altered but not vastly unsympathetically. The loss of front gardens and boundaries has been carried out under permitted development. By becoming a C.A. and introducing an Article 4 Direction these alterations will require planning permission and provide an opportunity to enhance the dwellings and the C.A.</b>	Not Agree
M A Shaikh	<b>I believe in evolution and not clinging to the past. ... These houses are not stately homes or ones of exceptional beauty.</b>	However they to contribute to the character of the area.	Not Agree
Owner	<b>These properties were excluded from the original proposals for good reasons. The fact that the owners have made request for them to be included is, surely irrelevant. This is an unremarkable parade of Edwardian houses, of a type, which can be seen throughout the City. The extent to which there have been altered and new constructions has diminished them. The houses opposite should have been excluded on similar grounds (save for 45)</b>	Yes, there have been alterations and extensions but many original features are maintained.	Not Agree
Francis Plowden	<b>I welcome the suggestion that Article 4 Direction should be involved and</b>	Is included.	Agree

	<b>extended to this Sub Area</b>		
Patrick A Tully	<b>Just more dictatorship and bureaucracy plus no doubt additional costs</b>	No comment	Not Agree
A D Jenkins			Agree
Adjacent Resident	<b>The railway line/Wimbledon Park is a better boundary for the Conservation Area than the middle of Home Park Road. This would prevent inappropriate construction opposite houses already in the area in Home Park Road.</b>	Supports proposal	Agree
G R Michel	<b>The pavement outside 72-96 could be improved.</b>	Supports proposal	Agree
David Hedges			Agree

31/7/07 updated 3/8/07 updated 9/8/07 updated 22/8/07 updated 12/9/07