

S

Received 21 March 2012



RAYNES PARK AND WEST BARNES  
RESIDENTS' ASSOCIATION

SERVING THE COMMUNITY SINCE 1928

20 March 2012

Tara,

Sites and Policies Proposed DPD

At last I have managed to look at this and offer some comments, suggestions and possible amendments/ additions

Policy DM 01 Page 1-48

5 a Fully supported

5 b for " injured " read " impaired" or " harmed"

5 c fully supported

5.7 Amend " are likely to " to " may " ( Current wording may be too much of an invitation to potential developers )

5.8 Amend " normally" to " preferably" ( suggest this would be more compatible with 5 c ii as drafted - ie " should" should mean what it says ).

Policy DM 0 2 page 1-50

c ii Amend to read " clearly" outweighs the trees amenity value

Policy DM D 1 Design Page 1-52

Policy 6.4 Amend to read will " normally expect " a minimum etc ( I suggest this needs a bit more emphasis ).

Policy DM F 1 Page 1-69

a i " the sequential test ". Does this need a reference point?

Suggest add " as required by ( the former)PPG 25 " or perhaps

Policy CS 16 of the Core Strategy. Page 111 refers to the

Sequential Test but I am not sure this is intended to include

the Test required for possible development in Flood Zones. It does not seem to.

Policy F 2 Suds Page 1-73

Para 8.18 Suggest add Pyl Brook ( highly relevant in Lower Morden)

Para 8.20 Strongly supported

Para 8.21 Support in principle but how do you ensure " developer funds appropriate improvements " Suggest add ? " by S. 106

Obligations or CIL".

RP and WB RA continuation

Open Space Proposals Page 93 Cannon Hill Allotment Site

The address is Heath Drive not Parkway

Page 97 Site P 004 Sun Alliance Sports Ground

The address is Westway Close ( There WAS only one entrance to the site in Fairway which is now for the residential scheme only)

Page 95 Site M 001 Morden Hall Park is MOL

Page 97 Site P 002 Prince Georges Playing Fields also MOL

Are these included under Cannon Hill the MOL list on page 93 ?

Page 115 The heading " Saved UDP Policies Superseded " might confused some people. Would it be better to say " Remaining UDP Policies " or possibly Footnote the heading to explain these are the proposed new Policies from the UDP which were not replaced by the Core Strategy . Just a thought.

*Kind regards, David*

( Mr. D.J Freeman ) on behalf of the  
Committee

Tara Butler  
Future Merton Team  
LBM